

State of Misconsin 2013 - 2014 LEGISLATURE



2013 SENATE BILL 679

March 19, 2014 – Introduced by Senator Grothman, cosponsored by Representative J. Ott. Referred to Committee on Judiciary and Labor.

- 1 AN ACT to amend 808.03 (1) (c) and 808.03 (1) (d) of the statutes; relating to:
- 2 appealing a municipal court matter after review by a circuit court.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, a final judgment or a final order of a circuit court may generally be appealed to the court of appeals. Under current law, a judgment or order of a circuit court in a traffic forfeiture or a municipal ordinance case is considered final when it disposes of the entire matter in litigation as to one or more of the parties, and is either reduced to writing and filed with the circuit court or, after the matter is prosecuted in circuit court, recorded in a docket entry.

In *Village of McFarland v. Zetzman*, 2012 WI App 49, the court of appeals held that in a traffic forfeiture case or other municipal ordinance case, for the purpose of determining whether a matter may be appealed, the matter may be considered "prosecuted in circuit court" if the matter was initially heard in municipal court and subsequently reviewed by the circuit court.

This bill clarifies the language in current law to conform with the Zetzman decision.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

Section 1. 808.03 (1) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:

SENATE BILL 679

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

(END)
prosecuted in, or following a decision in a municipal court, appealed to, circuit court.
808.03 (1) (d) Recorded in docket entries in municipal ordinance violation cases
Section 2. 808.03 (1) (d) of the statutes is amended to read:
convicted of a violation may be ordered to pay a forfeiture.
in, or following a decision in a municipal court, appealed to, circuit court if a person
808.03 (1) (c) Recorded in docket entries in traffic regulation cases prosecuted