

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

STATE OF WISCONSIN

Senate Journal

Eighty-Fifth Regular Session

WEDNESDAY, July 8, 1981.

9:00 A.M.

The senate met.

The senate was called to order by Senator Flynn of the senate.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 32.

Absent -- Senator Risser -- 1.

Absent with leave -- None.

The senate stood for the prayer which was offered by Father Dale D. Coleman, Grace Episcopal Church of Madison.

The senate remained standing and Senator Braun led the senate in the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, Senator Risser was granted a leave of absence until 10:00 A.M.

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY

By David R. Kedrowski, chief clerk.

Mr. President:

I am directed to inform you that the assembly has passed and asks concurrence in:

Assembly Bill 133

Assembly Bill 160

Assembly Bill 377

Assembly Bill 602

Assembly Bill 641

Concurred in:

Assembly Bill 267, senate substitute amendment 1

Senate Bill 5

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY CONSIDERED

Assembly Bill 133

Relating to regulating the transportation, processing and disposal of dead animals, making an appropriation, granting rule-making authority and changing penalties.

By Representatives Everson, Hephner, Swoboda, Smith, Duren, Potter, Menos, Merkt, Paulson, Tregoning, Bradley, Conradt, Lewison, Porter, Laatsch, Crawford, Hasenohrl, Harer, Shoemaker, Becker, R. Travis and Barry, co-sponsored by Senators Harnisch, Harsdorf and Thompson.

Read first time and referred to committee on Agriculture and Natural Resources.

Assembly Bill 160

Relating to closing certain county offices.

By Representatives DeLong, Flintrop, Goodrich, Thompson, Ladwig, Porter, Knox, Dilweg, Klicka and Stitt, co-sponsored by Senator Lorge.

Read first time and referred to committee on State and Local Affairs and Taxation.

Assembly Bill 377

Relating to permitting minors to be present on the licensed premises of private tennis clubs.

By Representatives Potter and Otte, co-sponsored by Senator Opitz.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Read first time and referred to committee on Aging, Business and Financial Institutions and Transportation.

Assembly Bill 602

Relating to club liquor licenses.

By Representatives Schneider and Thompson.

Read first time and referred to committee on Aging, Business and Financial Institutions and Transportation.

Assembly Bill 641

Relating to the expiration date for collective bargaining impasse resolution procedures for local government employes other than law enforcement and fire fighting personnel.

By Representatives Schneider, Norquist, Wood, Barczak, R. Travis and Flintrop.

Read first time and referred to committee on Labor, Government, Veterans Affairs and Tourism.

SPECIAL ORDERS

Assembly Bill 66

Relating to state finances and appropriations, constituting the executive budget bill of the 1981 legislature, and making appropriations.

Read a second time.

By request of Senator Lasee, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 9:37 A.M.

9:07 A.M.

RECESS

9:37 A.M.

The senate reconvened.

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 109 to **Assembly Bill 66** by Senator Hanaway.

Senate amendment 139 to **Assembly Bill 66** by Senator McCallum.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Senate amendment 6 to senate amendment 125 to **Assembly Bill 66** by Senators Theno, Harsdorf, Lasee, Hanaway, Lorman, Bidwell, Kreul and Opitz.

Senate amendment 7 to senate amendment 125 to **Assembly Bill 66** by Senators Theno, Harsdorf, Hanaway, Lorman and Kreul.

Senate amendment 8 to senate amendment 125 to **Assembly Bill 66** by Senator Kreul.

Senate amendment 9 to senate amendment 125 to **Assembly Bill 66** by Senators Braun and Moody.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 124 to **Assembly Bill 66** by Senators Chilsen, Bidwell, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, that portion of Senate Rule 8(1) pertaining to the wearing of coats was suspended for the balance of the day.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 101, 102, 103, 104, 106, 107, 108, 110, 111, 127, and 128 to **Assembly Bill 66** were returned to the authors.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 26?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 26.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 26?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 24; noes, 8; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 24.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Harsdorf, Krueger, Lorge, Lorman and McCallum -- 8.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Risser -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 27?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 27.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 27?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 8; noes, 24; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bidwell, George, Goyke, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner and Thompson -- 8.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz, Roshell, Strohl, Theno and Van Sistine -- 24.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Risser -- 1.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 27 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 27 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Risser -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 28?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 28.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 28?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 29?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 29.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 29?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 30?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 30.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 30?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 11; noes, 21; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Braun, Flynn, Goyke, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Strohl and Thompson -- 11.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Frank, George, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz, Roshell, Theno and Van Sistine -- 21.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Risser -- 1.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 30 to **Assembly Bill 66** be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 30 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Risser -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 36?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 36.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 36?

The president of the senate in the chair.

10:02 A.M.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 36?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 12; noes, 21; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Braun, Flynn, Frank, George, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Strohl and Thompson -- 12.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz, Roshell, Theno and Van Sistine -- 21.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 36 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 36 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 71?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 71.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 71?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl and Thompson -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz, Theno and Van Sistine -- 16.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 72?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 72.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 72?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 11; noes, 22; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Johnston, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Roshell and Strohl -- 11.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Frank, George, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Offner, Opitz, Risser, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 22.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 72 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 72 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 12.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 73?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 73.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 73?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 8; noes, 25; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Frank, George, Goyke, Kleczka, Maurer, Offner and Van Sistine -- 8.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Moody, Opitz, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno and Thompson -- 25.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 73 to **Assembly Bill 66** be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 73 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 74?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 74.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 74?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 12; noes, 21; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, George, Goyke, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Roshell and Strohl -- 12.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz, Risser, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 74 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 74 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 93?

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 93 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 99?

By request of Senator Kreul, with unanimous consent, senate amendments 99 and 100 were returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 105?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 105.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 105?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 14; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Braun, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 14.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, George, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz, Roshell and Theno -- 19.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 105 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 105 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 109?

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 109?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 109.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 109?

QUORUM CALL

Senator Hanaway called the attention of the chair to the possible lack of a quorum.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 32.

Absent -- Senator Krueger -- 1.

Absent with leave -- None.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 109?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz, Roshell and Theno -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 112?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 112.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 112?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Strohl and Thompson -- 16.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz, Roshell, Theno and Van Sistine -- 17.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 112 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 112 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 113?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 113.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 113?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Risser, Strohl and Thompson -- 15.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Offner, Opitz, Roshell, Theno and Van Sistine -- 18.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 113 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 113 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 114?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 114.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 114?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 11; noes, 22; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, George, Goyke, Kleczka, Maurer, Offner, Risser and Strohl -- 11.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Frank, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Moody, Opitz, Roshell, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 22.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 114 to **Assembly Bill 66** be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 114 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 115?

Senator Cullen moved rejection of senate amendment 115.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 115?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 28; noes, 5; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 28.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Lasee, Lorge and Theno -- 5.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 117 to **Assembly Bill 66** by Senator Harsdorf.

Senate amendments 2 and 3 to senate amendment 124 to **Assembly Bill 66** by Senator McCallum.

Senate amendment 10 to senate amendment 125 to **Assembly Bill 66** by Senators Chilsen, Krueger, Lorman, Theno, Harsdorf, Lasee, Opitz, Johnston, Kreul and Bidwell.

Senate amendments 11 and 12 to senate amendment 125 to **Assembly Bill 66** by Senator Harsdorf.

Senate amendment 13 to senate amendment 125 to **Assembly Bill 66** by Senators Engeleiter and Opitz.

Senate amendment 14 to senate amendment 125 to **Assembly Bill 66** by Senator Harnisch, by request of Attorney General Bronson LaFollette.

Senate amendment 15 to senate amendment 125 to **Assembly Bill 66** by Senators Hanaway, Johnston, Lasee, Opitz, Theno, Lorman and Engeleiter.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 2:00 P.M.

12:05 P.M.

RECESS

2:00 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 116?

By request of Senator Bidwell, with unanimous consent, he was made a co-author of senate amendment 116.

Senator Flynn moved rejection of senate amendment 116.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 116?

By request of Senator Theno, with unanimous consent, he was made a co-author of senate amendment 116 to **Assembly Bill 66**.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 116?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 14; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Strohl and Van Sistine -- 14.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz, Risser, Roshell, Theno and Thompson -- 19.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 116 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 116 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 117?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 117?

Senator Flynn moved rejection of senate amendment 117.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 117?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Frank, George, Goyke, Kleczka, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, Opitz, Roshell and Theno -- 16.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 118?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 118.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 118?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 14; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Risser, Strohl and Van Sistine -- 14.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Offner, Opitz, Roshell, Theno and Thompson -- 19.

Absent or not voting -- None.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 118 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 118 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 119?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 119.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 119?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 13; noes, 20; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berger, Braun, Flynn, Frank, George, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Opitz, Risser, Roshell and Strohl -- 13.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Goyke, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Offner, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 20.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 119 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 119 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody,

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Offner, Opitz, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 120?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 120.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 120?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 121?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 121.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 121?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 122?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 122.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 122?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz, Roshell and Theno -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 123?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 123.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 123?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 124?

By request of Senator Lasee, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 3:36 P.M.

3:09 P.M.

RECESS

3:36 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 124 was placed after senate amendment 137.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 125 was placed after senate amendment 124.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 126?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 126.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 126?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Strohl and Thompson -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz, Roshell, Theno and Van Sistine -- 16.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 129?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 129.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 129?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Risser, Roshell, Strohl and Van Sistine -- 16.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Goyke, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Offner, Opitz, Theno and Thompson -- 17.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 129 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 129 to **Assembly Bill 66** be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 130?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 130.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 130?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Lasee, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell and Van Sistine -- 16.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lorge, Lorman, Opitz, Strohl, Theno and Thompson -- 17.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 130 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 130 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Lasee, McCallum,

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lorge, Lorman, Opitz and Theno -- 12.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 131?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 131.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 131?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz, Risser and Theno -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

**The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 132?
Adopted.**

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 133?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 133.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 133?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 134?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 134.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 134?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 135?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 135.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 135?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 136?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 136.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 136?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 9; noes, 24; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Braun, George, Goyke, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Risser, Roshell and Thompson -- 9.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Offner, Opitz, Strohl, Theno and Van Sistine -- 24.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 136 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 136 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 137?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 137.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 137?

The motion prevailed.

Senator Chilsen moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 137 was rejected.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 137 was rejected?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 14; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Ayes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 124?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 124.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 124?

By request of Senator Krueger, with unanimous consent, he withdrew as a co-sponsor of senate amendment 124 to **Assembly Bill 66.**

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 124?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Krueger, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz, Roshell and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 125?

Senator Flynn moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 125.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 125?

By request of Senator Maurer, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 6:12 P.M.

5:25 P.M.

RECESS

6:12 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 125 to **Assembly Bill 66?**

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 8; noes, 25; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Flynn, Goyke, Harnisch, Maurer, Moody, Offner and Thompson -- 8.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Frank, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno and Van Sistine -- 25.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Flynn moved that senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 125?

Senator Flynn moved rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 125.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 125?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 11; noes, 22; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Kleczka, Maurer, Risser, Roshell and Thompson -- 11.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Strohl, Theno and Van Sistine -- 22.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 125?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 125.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 125?

By request of Senator Lasee, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 7:00 P.M.

6:30 P.M.

RECESS

7:00 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 125?

By request of Senator Hanaway, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 125 to **Assembly Bill 66** was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 125?

Senator Flynn moved rejection of senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 125.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 125?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 18.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Bablitch -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 125?

The amendment failed to be adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 6 to senate amendment 125?

Senator Flynn moved rejection of senate amendment 6 to senate amendment 125.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 6 to senate amendment 125?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Kleczka, Lorge, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Strohl and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz, Roshell, Theno and Thompson -- 16.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 7 to senate amendment 125?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 7 to senate amendment 125.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 7 to senate amendment 125?

Senator Hanaway moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 125 failed to be adopted.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 7 to senate amendment 125?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Kleczka, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Risser, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 16.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, Offner, Opitz, Roshell and Theno -- 17.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 7 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 7 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 8 to senate amendment 125?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 8 to senate amendment 125.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 8 to senate amendment 125?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 14; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Cullen, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Lorge, Maurer, Moody, Offner and Risser -- 14.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Absent or not voting -- None.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 8 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 8 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Lorge, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 9 to senate amendment 125?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 10 to senate amendment 125?

Senator Flynn moved rejection of senate amendment 10 to senate amendment 125.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 10 to senate amendment 125?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 16.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 11 to senate amendment 125?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Senator Flynn moved rejection of senate amendment 11 to senate amendment 125.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 11 to senate amendment 125?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Strohl and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz, Roshell, Theno and Thompson -- 16.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, future motions to table an amendment will be dispensed with and the senate will use a roll call of 19-14 in favor of tabling.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 12 to senate amendment 125?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 12 to senate amendment 125.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 12 to senate amendment 125?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 16.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 13 to 125?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 13 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 13 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 14 to senate amendment 125?

By request of Senator Bablitch, senate amendment 14 to senate amendment 125 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 15 to senate amendment 125?

By request of Senator Hanaway, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 15 to senate amendment 125 was placed after senate amendment 27 to senate amendment 125 to **Assembly Bill 66**.

Senate amendment 16 to senate amendment 125 offered by Senators Theno and Lorman.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 16 to senate amendment 125?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 16 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 16 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Kleczka, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 22.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Hanaway, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Senate amendment 17 to senate amendment 125 offered by Senators Theno, Chilsen, Opitz, Engeleiter and Lorman.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 17 to senate amendment 125.

Senator Flynn moved that senate amendment 17 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 17 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 18 to senate amendment 125 offered by Senators Theno and Lorman.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 18 to senate amendment 125?

Senator Flynn moved that senate amendment 18 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 18 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Kleczka, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 22.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Hanaway, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Senate amendment 19 to senate amendment 125 offered by Senators Theno, Harsdorf, Chilsen, Krueger, Lorman and Kreul.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 19 to senate amendment 125?

Senator Flynn moved that senate amendment 19 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 19 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 20 to senate amendment 125 offered by Senators Kleczka, Risser, Flynn and Bablitch.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 20 to senate amendment 125?

Senator Chilsen asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and **Assembly Bill 66** be returned to the Assembly.

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended and **Assembly Bill 66** be returned to the Assembly?

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 11; noes, 22; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berger, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Lasee, Lorman, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bidwell, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Krueger, Lorge, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 22.

Absent or not voting -- None.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Less than two-thirds having voted in the affirmative the motion did not prevail.

Senator Chilsen raised the point of order that both the majority and minority parties agreed **Assembly Bill 66** was unconstitutionally before the senate.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, the record will show that the majority party does not concede that **Assembly Bill 66** is unconstitutionally before the senate.

The chair ruled the point of order not well taken.

Senator Chilsen appealed the ruling of the chair.

The question was: Shall the decision of the chair stand as the judgement of the senate?

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Lorge, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorman, Opitz and Theno -- 12.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the decision of the chair shall stand as the judgment of the senate.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 20 to senate amendment 125?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Kleczka, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 22.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman and Opitz -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment was adopted.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Senate amendment 21 to senate amendment 125 offered by Senator Kleczka.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 21 to senate amendment 125?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 22 to senate amendment 125 offered by Senator Strohl.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 22 to senate amendment 125?

By request of Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 22 to senate amendment 125 was returned to the author.

Senate amendment 23 to senate amendment 125 offered by Senators Lorman, Opitz, Harsdorf and McCallum.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 23 to senate amendment 125?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 23 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 23 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 24 to senate amendment 125 offered by Senators Lorman, Theno and McCallum.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 24 to senate amendment 125.

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 24 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

The question was: Shall senate amendment 24 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Hanaway, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 12.
Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 25 to senate amendment 125 offered by Senators Theno and Harsdorf.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 25 to senate amendment 125?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 25 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 25 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 26 to senate amendment 125 offered by Senator Kleczka.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 26 to senate amendment 125?

Adopted.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Senate amendment 27 to senate amendment 125 offered by Senators Hanaway, Johnston, Engeleiter, Lasee, Theno, Opitz, Lorman, Chilsen, Bidwell and Krueger.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 27 to senate amendment 125?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 27 to senate amendment 125.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 27 to senate amendment 125?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 9; noes, 22; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berger, Braun, George, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Strohl and Thompson -- 9.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Offner, Opitz, Risser, Roshell, Theno and Van Sistine -- 22.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Cullen and Krueger -- 2.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 27 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 27 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 15 to senate amendment 125?

By request of Senator Hanaway, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 15 to senate amendment 125 to **Assembly Bill 66** was returned to the author.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Senate amendment 28 to senate amendment 125 offered by Senator Johnston.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 28 to senate amendment 125?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 28 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 28 to senate amendment 125 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 29 to senate amendment 125 offered by Senator Johnston.

By request of Senator Johnston, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 29 to senate amendment 125 was returned to the author.

Senate amendment 30 to senate amendment 125 offered by Senator Moody.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 30 to senate amendment 125?

Adopted.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 125 was rejected.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 12; noes, 21; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum and Theno -- 12.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Lasee, Maurer,

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Moody, Offner, Opitz, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Hanaway moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 132 to **Assembly Bill 66** was adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 125?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment was adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 138?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 138 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 138 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Kreul, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine - - 20.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 139?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 139 be laid on the table.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

The question was: Shall senate amendment 139 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendments 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152 and 153 to **Assembly Bill 66** were introduced at this time.

Senate amendment 140 offered by Senator Johnston.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 140?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 140 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 140 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 141 offered by Senators Chilsen, Lorman, Johnston, Theno and Engeleiter.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 141?

By request of Senator Chilsen, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 141 was returned to the author.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Senate amendment 142 offered by Senators Chilsen, Bidwell, Lasee, Opitz and Kreul.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 142?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 142 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 142 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 143 offered by Senator Chilsen.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 143?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 143 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 143 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 144 offered by Senator Johnston.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 144?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 144 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 144 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 145 offered by Senator Johnston.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 145?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 145 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 145 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Kreul, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 146 offered by Senators Harsdorf and Theno.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 146?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 146 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 146 be laid on the table?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 147 offered by Senator Johnston.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 147?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 147 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 147 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 148 offered by Senator Johnston.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 148?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 148 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 148 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 149 offered by Senator Johnston.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 149?

By request of Senator Johnston, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 149 was returned to the author.

Senate amendment 150 offered by Senators Lorman, Cullen and Theno.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 150?

By request of Senator Lorman, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 150 was returned to the author.

Senate amendment 151 offered by Senator Johnston.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 151?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 151 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 151 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 152 offered by Senators Hanaway, Johnston, Engeleiter, Lasee, Theno, Opitz, Lorman, Chilsen, Roshell and Krueger.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 152?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

By request of Senator Hanaway, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 152 was returned to the author.

Senate amendment 153 offered by Senator Chilsen.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 153?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 153 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 153 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 132 was adopted?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 10; noes, 23; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Lorman, Opitz and Theno -- 10.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 23.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

By request of Senator Berger, with unanimous consent, the following was ordered spread upon the journal.

To the Honorable the Senate

I would like the journal to show that provisions substantially the same as those contained in Senate Bill 491 are contained in section 1561 of Engrossed Assembly Bill 66. Senate Bill 491 was introduced in support of the Joint Committee for Review of Administrative

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [July 8, 1981]

Rules' objection in part to those portions of Clearinghouse Rule 81-14, which specified a two-part photo operator's license.

The language in section 1561 was originally authored by the joint committee on Finance and was included in Assembly substitute amendment 1 in Assembly Bill 66. The language specifies a one part photo operator license. The language of the bill if adopted would support the committee's objection to the proposed rule and would alleviate the need for the passage of Senate Bill 491.

DAVID G. BERGER
Chair

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Assembly Bill 66

Read a third time.

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Lorge, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the bill was concurred in as amended.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, all action was ordered immediately messaged.

STATEMENT OF POSITION

Pursuant to Senate Rule 75, Senator Risser wished to be recorded as voting aye for rejection of senate amendment 26, no for rejection of senate amendments 27 and 30 and aye to table senate amendments 27 and 30 to **Assembly Bill 66**.

By request of Senator Bablitch, the senate adjourned in honor of the birthday of Senator Lorge.

Upon motion of Senator Bablitch the senate adjourned until 2:00 P.M. Thursday, July 9.

10:03 P.M.

GUESTS

During today's session, the following visitors honored the senate with their presence:

Mrs. Chuck Woodruff and children of Port Washington were guests of Senator Opitz.