

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

STATE OF WISCONSIN

**Senate Journal**

**Eighty-Fifth Regular Session**

---

TUESDAY, March 30, 1982.

10:00 A.M.

The senate met.

The senate was called to order by the president of the senate.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 33.

Absent -- None.

Absent with leave -- None.

The senate stood for the prayer which was offered by Father Delbert Klink of St. Dennis Catholic Church, Madison.

The senate remained standing and Senator Thompson led the senate in the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

---

INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS

**Senate Resolution 24**

Relating to the 50th anniversary of the Wisconsin State Employees Union.

By Senators Bablitch, Risser and Flynn.

Read and referred to committee on Senate Organization.

---

COMMITTEE REPORTS

The committee on Labor, Government, Veterans Affairs and Tourism reports and recommends:

**Assembly Joint Resolution 66**

Requesting the legislative council to study the laws relating to pets, pet licensing and humane societies.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 4 -- Senators Van Sistine, Cullen, Frank and Kreul;

Noes, 0 -- None.

**Assembly Bill 286**

Relating to special elections, ballots, nominations, canvassing, election officials, recall, referenda, prohibited election practices, registration and voting procedure, qualifications for office, vacancies, notices and administration of elections and providing a penalty.

Introduction and adoption of senate amendment 1:

Ayes, 4 -- Senators Van Sistine, Cullen, Frank and Kreul;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 4 -- Senators Van Sistine, Cullen, Frank and Kreul;

Noes, 0 -- None.

**Assembly Bill 371**

Relating to reducing payments to hospitals that engage persons to defeat the organization of a collective bargaining unit by hospital employees.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 4 -- Senators Van Sistine, Cullen, Frank and Kreul;

Noes, 0 -- None.

**Assembly Bill 977**

Relating to election ballot formats and voting procedure.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 4 -- Senators Van Sistine, Cullen, Frank and Kreul;

Noes, 0 -- None.

JEROME VAN SISTINE  
Chair

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

The committee on Aging, Business and Financial Institutions and Transportation reports and recommends:

**Assembly Bill 887**

Relating to consideration for small businesses in state agency rule making.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Roshell, Moody, Maurer, Goyke, Bidwell, Engeleiter and Cullen;

Noes, 0 -- None.

**Assembly Bill 1023**

Relating to permitting vehicle trains consisting of empty liquid fertilizer tanks and providing a penalty.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Roshell, Moody, Maurer, Goyke, Bidwell, Engeleiter and Cullen;

Noes, 0 -- None.

**Assembly Bill 1024**

Relating to eliminating the flagging requirement for trains of agricultural vehicles crossing highway intersections.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Roshell, Moody, Maurer, Goyke, Bidwell, Engeleiter and Cullen;

Noes, 0 -- None.

TIM CULLEN

Chair

---

PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

State of Wisconsin  
Department of State

March 24, 1982

To the Honorable, the Senate:

I have the honor to transmit to you the following information pursuant to s. 13.695(8):

Yours very truly,  
VEL PHILLIPS  
Secretary of State

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

*Lobbyist's name, address, telephone number; principal's name, address, telephone number.*

Wimmer, James W., Jr., P.O. Box 1482, Madison, WI 53701 (608) 256-5223; Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Assn., 1155 15th St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 463-2147; 3, 10, 11, 13, 19, 20, 21.

**Lobbyist Termination:**

Abraham, William J., for Care Cabs, Inc., effective March 24, 1982.

Arnold, John D., for Care Cabs, Inc., effective March 24, 1982.

Earl, Anthony S., for Care Cabs, Inc., effective March 24, 1982.

Elliott, Fred M., for Northwest Orient Airlines, effective March 8, 1982.

**State of Wisconsin  
Claims Board**

**March 26, 1982.**

**Don Schneider  
Senate Chief Clerk  
State Capitol  
Madison, Wisconsin**

**Dear Mr. Schneider:**

Enclosed is the report of the State Claims Board covering claims heard on March 22, 1982.

The amounts recommended for payment under \$1000 on claims included in this report have, under the provisions of s. 16.007, Wisconsin Statutes, been paid directly by the Board.

The Board is preparing the bill (s) on the recommended award (s) over \$1,000, and will submit such to the Joint Finance Committee for legislative introduction.

This report is for the information of the Legislature. The Board would appreciate your acceptance and spreading of it upon the Journal to inform the members of the Legislature.

**Sincerely,  
EDWARD D. MAIN  
Secretary**

# JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

## State of Wisconsin Claims Board

The State Claims Board conducted hearings at the State Capitol Building, Madison, Wisconsin on March 22, 1982, upon the following claims:

Claimant	Amount
Carlton Pugh	\$7,500.00
Aaron Ben Woods	25,000.00

### THE BOARD FINDS:

1. Carlton Pugh claims \$7,500.00 as compensation for wrongful imprisonment under sec. 775.05, Wis. Stats. Claimant appeared at the hearing in person, represented by his attorney, Harvey J. Goldstein. The Department of Justice appeared on behalf of the State by Assistant Attorney General Alan Lee. Claimant requests compensation for his imprisonment for the alleged commission of a robbery which subsequently was proven to have been the act of another person. On November 13, 1979, claimant was arrested and later convicted for the crime of armed robbery of a gas station in Milwaukee County. Claimant's conviction was later vacated by Milwaukee Circuit Court Judge Wedemeyer on the basis of newly discovered evidence which was later used to convict Eugene Brown of the crime. On May 10, 1981, claimant was released from prison. Section 775.05, Wis. Stats., provides for a rate of compensation not greater than \$5,000 per year for the imprisonment, not to exceed \$25,000, if the Board finds the evidence is clear and convincing that the petitioner was innocent of the crime for which he suffered imprisonment and that he did not, by his act or failure to act contribute to bring about the conviction and imprisonment for which he seeks compensation. In view of the evidence presented to the Board and the time served by the claimant from November 14, 1979 to May 10, 1981, the Board concludes that the state, in good conscience, should compensate the claimant for one year and 180 days in the sum of \$7,459.00.

2. Aaron Ben Woods claims \$25,000.00 for compensation for innocent convicts under sec. 775.05, Wis. Stats., Claimant appeared at the hearing in person, represented by his attorney, Joel H. Rosenthal. The Department of Justice appeared on behalf of the State by Assistant Attorney General Alan Lee. Claimant requests compensation for his imprisonment for the alleged commission of the crimes of attempted murder and armed robbery. On August 11, 1976, claimant was arrested and later convicted of the crimes. Subsequently, on the basis of newly discovered evidence, the charges

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

against claimant were dismissed after Milwaukee Circuit Court Judge Marvin C. Holz issued a decision dated May 20, 1981, ordering a new trial. Section 775.05, Wis. Stats., provides for a rate of compensation not greater than \$5,000 per year for the imprisonment, not to exceed \$25,000, if the Board finds the evidence is clear and convincing that the petitioner was innocent of the crime for which he suffered imprisonment and that he did not by his act or failure to act, contribute to bring about the conviction and imprisonment for which he seeks compensation. In view of the evidence presented to the Board and the time served by the claimant, from August 11, 1976 to May 22, 1981, the Board concludes that the state, in good conscience, should compensate claimant for four years and 284 days, in the sum of \$23,890.80. (Member Kleczka dissenting.)

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin this 26th day of March, 1982.

GERALD D. KLECZKA  
Senate Finance Committee

VIRGIL D. ROBERTS  
Assembly Finance Committee

MARGARET S. LEWIS  
Representative of Governor

EDWARD D. MAIN  
Representative of Secretary of  
Administration

WILLIAM H. WILKER  
Representative of Attorney  
General

---

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS

State of Wisconsin  
Office of the Governor  
Madison, Wisconsin

March 25, 1982

To the Honorable, the Senate:

The following bills, originating in the senate, have been approved, signed and deposited in the office of the Secretary of State:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

Senate Bill	Chapter No.	Date Approved
68 -----	135 -----	March 25, 1982
107 -----	136 -----	March 25, 1982
163 -----	137 -----	March 25, 1982
189 -----	138 -----	March 25, 1982
290 -----	139 -----	March 25, 1982
356 -----	140 -----	March 25, 1982
683 -----	154 -----	March 25, 1982

Sincerely,  
LEE SHERMAN DREYFUS  
Governor

---

SENATE CLEARINGHOUSE ORDERS

**Clearinghouse Rule 81-130**

AN ORDER to repeal ch. H 32; and to create ch. HSS 132, relating to licensure requirements for nursing homes.

Submitted by Department of Health and Social Services.

Report received from agency, March 25, 1982.

Referred to committee on Human Services, March 30, 1982.

**Clearinghouse Rule 82-7**

AN ORDER to amend Ins 2.15-Appendix I, relating to annuity benefit solicitation.

Submitted by Office of the Commissioner of Insurance.

Report received from agency, March 25, 1982.

Referred to committee on Insurance and Utilities, March 30, 1982.

**Clearinghouse Rule 82-26**

AN ORDER to create ETF 1.01 (9) and ch. ETF 9, relating to the reestablishment of creditable service forfeited by employes under the Wisconsin retirement system.

Submitted by Department of Employee Trust Funds.

Report received from agency, March 29, 1982.

Referred to committee on Labor, Government, Veterans Affairs and Tourism, March 30, 1982.

**Clearinghouse Rule 82-33**

AN ORDER to repeal Ind 3.18 (14); and to create Ind 3.015 and 3.02 (34a), relating to mines, pits and quarries.

Submitted by Department of Industry, Labor and Human Relations.

Report received from agency, March 25, 1982.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

Referred to committee on Aging, Business and Financial Institutions and Transportation, March 30, 1982.

The committee on Insurance and Utilities reports and recommends:

**Clearinghouse Rule 81-242**

AN ORDER to repeal Ins 2.11 and 2.12 (5) (d), relating to franchise life insurance.

No action taken.

KURT A. FRANK  
Chair

---

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY

By David R. Kedrowski, chief clerk.

Mr. President:

I am directed to inform you that the assembly has passed and asks concurrence in:

**Assembly Bill 936**  
**Assembly Bill 1139**

Adopted and asks concurrence in:

**Assembly Joint Resolution 102**

Adopted:

**Assembly Joint Resolution 103**, and appoints Representatives Loftus, Thompson, D. Travis, Helbach, Hauke, Crawford, Goodrich and Radtke as conferees on its part

Concurred in:

**Assembly Bill 602**, senate substitute amendment 1  
**Assembly Bill 584**, senate amendment 1  
**Assembly Bill 757**, senate amendment 1  
**Senate Bill 250**, senate amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 1

Amended and concurred in as amended:

**Senate Bill 695**, assembly substitute amendment 1

Non-concurred in:

**Assembly Bill 501**, senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

**Assembly Bill 62**, agrees to a Committee of Conference and appoints Representatives Fischer, Metz and Hopkins as conferees on its part.

---

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY CONSIDERED

**Assembly Bill 1139**

Relating to allocation of grants under the point source pollution abatement program.

By Representatives Hephner, Thompson, Crawford, Loftus, Rogers, Porter, Dorff, Wood, Otte, Munts and McEssy, co-sponsored by Senators Harnisch and Hanaway, by request of city of Chilton.

Read first time and referred to committee on Agriculture and Natural Resources.

**Assembly Bill 936**

Relating to solid and hazardous waste management, imposing and revising fees, granting rule-making authority, creating a waste facility siting board, providing for a study and making appropriations.

By Committee on Environmental Resources, by request of Ad Hoc Committee on Hazardous Waste Management.

Read first time and referred to committee on Agriculture and Natural Resources.

**Assembly Joint Resolution 102**

Relating to the life and public service of Gerald Greider.

By Entire Membership of the Assembly, co-sponsored by Senator Offner.

Read.

Considered as privileged and taken up.

Concurred in by unanimous rising vote.

**Assembly Joint Resolution 103**

Relating to adopting a sessional joint rule concerning legislative redistricting.

By Representative Loftus.

Read.

Considered as privileged and taken up.

By request of Senator Maurer, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 11:07 A.M.

10:35 A.M.

---

RECESS

11:07 A.M.

The senate reconvened.

By request of Senator Flynn, with unanimous consent, **Assembly Joint Resolution 103** was placed at the foot of the calendar.

---

CALENDAR OF MARCH 30

**Senate Joint Resolution 51**

Relating to prohibiting denial of equal rights on the basis of sex (first consideration).

Read.

Senate amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Opitz.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 1?

Senator Flynn moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 1?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly substitute amendment 1?

Senator Opitz moved non-concurrence of assembly substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Non-concurrence of assembly substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 1; noes, 31; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senator Opitz -- 1.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 31.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

Absent or not voting -- Senator Engeleiter -- 1.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 32; noes, 1; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 32.

Noes -- Senator Opitz -- 1.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment was concurred in.

**Senate Bill 427**

Relating to nondiscrimination in state employment on the basis of arrest record or conviction record.

Read.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 1?

Senator George moved non-concurrence of assembly amendment 1.

The question was: Non-concurrence of assembly amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 13; noes, 20; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Flynn, Frank, George, Harnisch, Moody, Risser, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 13.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Goyke, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Offner, Opitz, Roshell and Theno -- 20.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 1?

Senator Braun moved that assembly amendment 1 be laid on the table.

## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The motion did not prevail.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Frank, Goyke, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Offner, Opitz, Roshell and Theno -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, George, Harnisch, Maurer, Moody, Risser, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment was concurred in.

### **Senate Bill 462**

Relating to permits to remove materials from waters of the state.  
Read.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly substitute amendment 1?

Senator Strohl moved that **Senate Bill 462** be referred to committee on Senate Organization.

The question was: Shall **Senate Bill 462** be referred to committee on Senate Organization?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz, Roshell and Theno -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

### **Senate Bill 501**

Relating to creating a metropolitan sewerage commission, increasing the financing powers of the commission and providing for the appointment of commissioners.

**JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]**

**Read.**

**By request of Senator Maurer, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 11:58 A.M.**

**11:42 A.M.**

---

**RECESS**

**11:58 A.M.**

**The senate reconvened.**

**By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 2:00 P.M.**

**11:59 A.M.**

---

**RECESS**

**2:00 P.M.**

**The senate reconvened.**

**QUORUM CALL**

**Senator Flynn called the attention of the chair to the possible lack of a quorum.**

**The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:**

**Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Engleiter, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Risser, Strohl, Theno and Van Sistine -- 28.**

**Absent -- Senators Bidwell, Offner, Opitz, Roshell and Thompson -- 5.**

**Absent with leave -- None.**

**Senate Bill 501**

**Relating to creating a metropolitan sewerage commission, increasing the financing powers of the commission and providing for the appointment of commissioners.**

**Read.**

**JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]**

**Senate amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Moody.**

**The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 1?**

**Senator Moody moved the senate non-concur in assembly substitute amendment 1 and appoint a Committee of Conference.**

**The question was: Shall the senate non-concur in assembly substitute amendment 1 and appoint a Committee of Conference?**

**The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 10; noes, 22; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:**

**Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, George, Moody, Risser, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 10.**

**Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Offner, Opitz, Roshell and Theno -- 22.**

**Absent or not voting -- Senator Bidwell -- 1.**

**So the motion did not prevail.**

**The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 1?**

**Senator Johnston moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 1.**

**The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 1?**

**The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:**

**Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Opitz, Roshell, Theno and Van Sistine -- 23.**

**Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, George, Moody, Offner, Risser, Strohl and Thompson -- 10.**

**Absent or not voting -- None.**

**So the motion prevailed.**

**Senate amendment 2 to assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Moody.**

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 2 to assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Moody.

By request of Senator Moody, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 2 to assembly substitute amendment 1 was placed after senate amendment 13 to assembly substitute amendment 1.

Senate amendment 3 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 501** offered by Senator Moody.

By request of Senator Moody, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 3 to assembly substitute amendment 1 was placed after senate amendment 2 to assembly substitute amendment 1.

Senate amendment 4 to assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Moody.

By request of Senator Moody, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 4 to assembly substitute amendment 1 was placed after senate amendment 3 to assembly substitute amendment 1.

Senate amendment 5 to assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators George and Berger.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 5 to assembly substitute amendment 1?

Senator Johnston moved rejection of senate amendment 5 to assembly substitute amendment 1?

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 5 to assembly substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Opitz, Roshell, Theno and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Braun, George, Goyke, Kleczka, Krueger, Moody, Offner, Risser, Strohl and Thompson -- 12.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 6 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 501** offered by Senators George and Berger.

**JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]**

**The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 6 to assembly substitute amendment 1?**

**Senator Adelman moved rejection of senate amendment 6 to assembly substitute amendment 1.**

**The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 6 to assembly substitute amendment 1?**

**The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:**

**Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Opitz, Roshell, Theno and Van Sistine -- 22.**

**Noes -- Senators Berger, Braun, Cullen, George, Goyke, Moody, Offner, Risser, Strohl and Thompson -- 10.**

**Absent or not voting -- Senator Krueger -- 1.**

**So the motion prevailed.**

**Senate amendment 7 to assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators George and Berger.**

**The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 7 to assembly substitute amendment 1?**

**Senator Adelman moved rejection of senate amendment 7 to assembly substitute amendment 1.**

**The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 7 to assembly substitute amendment 1?**

**The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 24; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:**

**Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Offner, Opitz, Roshell, Theno and Van Sistine -- 24.**

**Noes -- Senators Berger, Braun, Cullen, George, Goyke, Moody, Risser, Strohl and Thompson -- 9.**

**Absent or not voting -- None.**

**So the motion prevailed.**

**Senate amendment 8 to assembly substitute amendment 1 by Senators George and Berger.**

## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 8 to assembly substitute amendment 1?

Senator Hanaway moved rejection of senate amendment 8 to assembly substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 8 to assembly substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Opitz, Roshell and Theno -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Braun, Cullen, George, Moody, Offner, Risser, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 10.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Bablitch, Bidwell and Harnisch - - 3.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 9 to assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators George and Berger.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 9 to assembly substitute amendment 1?

Senator Van Sistine moved rejection of senate amendment 9 to assembly substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 9 to assembly substitute amendment 1?

By request of Senator George, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 9 to assembly substitute amendment 1 was laid on the table.

Senate amendment 10 to assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators George and Berger.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 10 to assembly substitute amendment 1?

By request of Senator George, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 10 to assembly substitute amendment 1 was laid on the table.

Senate amendment 11 to assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators George and Berger.

**JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]**

**The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 11 to assembly substitute amendment 1?**

**By request of Senator George, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 11 to assembly substitute amendment 1 was laid on the table.**

**Senate amendment 12 to assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Braun.**

**The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 12 to assembly substitute amendment 1?**

**Senator Kleczka moved rejection of senate amendment 12 to assembly substitute amendment 1.**

**The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 12 to assembly substitute amendment 1?**

**The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 24; noes, 8; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:**

**Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Offner, Opitz, Roshell, Theno and Van Sistine -- 24.**

**Noes -- Senators Berger, Braun, George, Krueger, Moody, Risser, Strohl and Thompson -- 8.**

**Absent or not voting -- Senator Bablitch -- 1.**

**So the motion prevailed.**

**Senate amendment 13 to assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Moody.**

**The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 13 to assembly substitute amendment 1?**

**By request of Senator Moody, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 13 to assembly substitute amendment 1 was laid on the table.**

**The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 2 to assembly substitute amendment 1?**

**Adopted.**

**The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to assembly substitute amendment 1?**

**Senator Johnston moved rejection of senate amendment 2 to assembly substitute amendment 1.**

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 2 to assembly substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Opitz, Roshell and Theno -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Engleiter, George, Goyke, Kleczka, Moody, Offner, Risser, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Bablitch and Krueger -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3 to assembly substitute amendment 1?

Senator Johnston moved rejection of senate amendment 3 to assembly substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 3 to assembly substitute amendment 1?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4 to assembly substitute amendment 1?

By request of Senator Johnston, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 4 to assembly substitute amendment 1 was placed after senate amendment 16 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 501**.

Senate amendment 14 to assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Adelman.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 14 to assembly substitute amendment 1?

Senator Berger moved rejection of senate amendment 14 to assembly substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 14 to assembly substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 28; noes, 2; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston,

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 28.

Noes -- Senators Adelman and Engeleiter -- 2.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Bablitch, Krueger and Theno -- 3.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 15 to assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Adelman.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 15 to assembly substitute amendment 1?

Senator Berger moved rejection of senate amendment 15 to assembly substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 15 to assembly substitute amendment 1?

The motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 16 to assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Adelman.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 16 to assembly substitute amendment 1?

Senator Berger moved rejection of senate amendment 16 to assembly substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 16 to assembly substitute amendment 1?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4 to assembly substitute amendment 1?

By request of Senator Moody, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 4 to assembly substitute amendment 1 was laid on the table.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly substitute amendment 1?

Concurred in.

**Senate Bill 269**

Relating to increasing general obligation, reducing revenue obligation and eliminating convertible obligation bonding authorization for veterans' housing loans.

Read a second time.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

Senator Harnisch in the chair.

4:05 P.M.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1?

By request of Senator Van Sistine, with unanimous consent, senate substitute amendment 1 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 2?  
Adopted.

Senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 2 offered by Senator Risser.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Van Sistine moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 29; noes, 3; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 29.

Noes -- Senators Braun, George and Risser -- 3.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Opitz -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

**Senate Bill 269**

Read a third time.

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 31; noes, 1; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 31.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

Noes -- Senator Risser -- 1.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Opitz -- 1.

So the bill passed.

**Senate Bill 791**

Relating to various changes in the unemployment compensation law.

Read a second time.

The question was: Shall the bill be indefinitely postponed?

The president of the senate in the chair.

5:00 P.M.

The question was: Shall the bill be indefinitely postponed?

The motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 3 offered by Senator Van Sistine.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3?

Adopted.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Flynn, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

**Senate Bill 791**

Read a third time.

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 31; noes, 0; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 31.

Noes -- None.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Harnisch and Opitz -- 2.

So the bill passed.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

**Assembly Joint Resolution 94**

Relating to the accomplishments of KIDS 4, Sun Prairie Children's Cable Television Channel.

Read.

Concurred in.

**Assembly Bill 205**

Relating to establishing pupil minimum competency examinations in public schools.

Read a second time.

The question was: Shall the bill be non-concurred in?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 13; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Engeleiter, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Kreul, Krueger, Lorge, Lorman, Risser and Van Sistine -- 13.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Hanaway, Johnston, Kleczka, Lasee, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Roshell, Strohl, Theno and Thompson -- 19.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Opitz -- 1.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1?

By request of Senator Offner, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 1 was placed after senate amendment 4.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2?

By request of Senator Offner, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 2 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3?

Senator Offner moved rejection of senate amendment 3.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 3?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Braun, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, Harnisch, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Strohl, Theno and Thompson -- 15.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

Noes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, George, Goyke, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, Risser, Roshell and Van Sistine -- 17.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Opitz -- 1.

So the motion did not prevail.

---

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By request of Senator Chilsen, with unanimous consent, Senator Opitz was granted a leave of absence for the balance of today's session.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 14; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, George, Goyke, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, Risser and Roshell -- 14.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Braun, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 18.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Opitz -- 1.

So the amendment was not adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4?

Senator Goyke moved rejection of senate amendment 4.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 4?

By request of Senators Lasee and Kreul, with unanimous consent, they were withdrawn as co-authors of senate amendment 4 to **Assembly Bill 205**.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 4?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 11; noes, 21; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bidwell, Braun, Engeleiter, George, Harsdorf, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Moody and Van Sistine -- 11.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno and Thompson -- 21.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

Absent or not voting -- Senator Opitz -- 1.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4?  
Adopted.

---

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By request of Senator Theno, with unanimous consent, he was granted a leave of absence for the balance of today's session.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1?

By request of Senator Offner, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 1 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 5?

By request of Senator Kreul, with unanimous consent, he was withdrawn as a co-author of senate amendment 5 to **Assembly Bill 205**.

By request of Senator Offner, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 5 was returned to the author.

Senate amendment 6 offered by Senator Braun.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 6?

Senator Offner moved rejection of senate amendment 6.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 6?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Cullen, Engeleiter, Frank, Hanaway, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, McCallum, Maurer, Offner, Roshell and Strohl -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Flynn, George, Goyke, Harsdorf, Lorge, Lorman, Moody, Risser, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Opitz and Theno -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 7 offered by Senator Braun.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 7?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

Adopted.

Senate amendment 8 offered by Senator Offner.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 8?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Frank, George, Hanaway, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Engleiter, Flynn, Goyke, Harsdorf, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Moody and Thompson -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Opitz and Theno -- 2.

So the amendment was adopted.

Senator George moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 8 was adopted.

Senator George asked unanimous consent that the motion to reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 8 was adopted be laid on the table.

Senator Offner objected.

Senate amendment 9 offered by Senator Offner.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 9?

Adopted.

Senator Goyke moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 9 was adopted.

Senator Goyke asked unanimous consent that the motion to reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 9 was adopted be laid on the table.

Senator Offner objected.

Senate amendment 10 offered by Senator Moody.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 10?

By request of Senator Moody, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 10 was returned to the author.

Senate amendment 11 offered by Senator Goyke.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 11?

**JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]**

By request of Senator Goyke, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 11 was returned to the author.

Senate amendment 12 offered by Senator Goyke.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 12?

Senator Offner asked unanimous consent that senate amendment 12 be placed after senate amendment 17 to **Assembly Bill 205**.

Senator Goyke objected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 12?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 13 offered by Senator Goyke.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 13?

By request of Senator Goyke, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 13 was returned to the author.

Senate amendment 14 offered by Senator George.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 14?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 15 offered by Senator Harsdorf.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 15?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 15 offered by Senator Thompson.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 15?

Senator Strohl raised the point of order that senate amendment 15 was not germane.

The chair took the point of order under advisement.

Senate amendment 16 offered by Senators Johnston, Harsdorf and Hanaway.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 16?

Senator Offner moved rejection of senate amendment 16.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 16?

The motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 17 offered by Senator Offner.

## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 17?

By request of Senator Offner, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 17 was returned to the author.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 8 was adopted?

Senator George asked unanimous consent that reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 8 was adopted be laid on the table.

Senator Offner objected.

---

### LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By request of Senator Lorman, with unanimous consent, she was granted a leave of absence for the balance of today's session.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 8 was adopted?

---

### LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By request of Senator Bidwell, with unanimous consent, he was granted a leave of absence for the balance of today's session.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 8 was adopted?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 12; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 4; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berger, Braun, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Flynn, George, Goyke, Harsdorf, Krueger, Lasee, McCallum and Risser -- 12.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Cullen, Frank, Hanaway, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Lorge, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 17.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Bidwell, Lorman, Opitz and Theno -- 4.

So the motion did not prevail.

## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

By request of Senator Goyke, with unanimous consent, he withdrew his motion for reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 9 was adopted.

Senator Chilsen moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 4 was adopted.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 4 was adopted?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 4; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berger, Braun, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Flynn, George, Goyke, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Roshell and Van Sistine -- 16.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Cullen, Frank, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Strohl and Thompson -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Bidwell, Lorman, Opitz and Theno -- 4.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 12; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 4; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Cullen, Frank, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Maurer, Offner, Roshell, Strohl and Thompson -- 12.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Braun, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Flynn, George, Goyke, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Moody, Risser and Van Sistine -- 17.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Bidwell, Lorman, Opitz and Theno -- 4.

So the amendment was not adopted.

---

### RULING OF THE CHAIR

The chair ruled the point of order raised by the senator from the 21st, Senator Strohl, that senate amendment 15 was not germane well taken.

The question was: Shall the bill be ordered to a third reading?

## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 4; as follows:

**Ayes** -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Hanaway, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Roshell, Strohl and Thompson -- 18.

**Noes** -- Senators Berger, Engeleiter, George, Goyke, Harsdorf, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Risser and Van Sistine -- 11.

**Absent or not voting** -- Senators Bidwell, Lorman, Opitz and Theno -- 4.

So the bill was ordered to a third reading.

Senator Bablitch asked unanimous consent that the bill be considered for final action at this time.

Senator Krueger objected.

Senator Bablitch moved that the bill be considered for final action at this time.

The question was: Shall the bill be considered for final action at this time?

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 4; as follows:

**Ayes** -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Hanaway, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

**Noes** -- Senators Berger, Chilsen, Engeleiter, George, Goyke, Harsdorf, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee and Lorge -- 10.

**Absent or not voting** -- Senators Bidwell, Lorman, Opitz and Theno -- 4.

Less than two-thirds having voted in the affirmative, the motion did not prevail.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, Assembly Bills 269, 574, 583, 684, 750, 803, 893, 942, 976, 1016, 1082 and Assembly Joint Resolution 103 were laid on the table.

---

### MOTIONS

Senator Krueger moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 1 to Senate Bill 427 was concurred in.

## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 30, 1982]

By request of Senator Krueger, with unanimous consent, the motion for reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 427** was concurred in was laid on the table.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent **Assembly Bill 765** was withdrawn from committee on Senate Organization and referred to committee on Judiciary and Consumer Affairs.

By request of Senator Kleczka, with unanimous consent, **Assembly Bill 238** was withdrawn from joint committee on Finance and referred to committee on Senate Organization.

Senator Chilsen moved that **Assembly Bill 240** be withdrawn from committee on Human Services and referred to committee on Senate Organization.

Senator Bablitch objected.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, all action was ordered immediately messaged.

---

### AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 607** by Senator Hanaway.

Senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 628** by Senator Kleczka.

Senate substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 750** by Senator Kleczka.

Senate substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 765** by Senators Thompson and Adelman.

Senate amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 976** by Senator Berger.

Upon motion of Senator Bablitch the senate adjourned until 9:00 A.M. Wednesday, March 31.

7:45 P.M.

---

CHIEF CLERK'S CORRECTION

Suggested by Legislative Reference Bureau

**Assembly Bill 286**, assembly amendment 6 to assembly substitute amendment 1

1. Page 2, line 13: substitute "SECTION 23t" to "SECTION 23m".

( NOTE: This correction reconciles the sequence of the material inserted by AA-5 and AA-6.)

**Assembly Bill 615**, assembly amendment 34 to assembly substitute amendment 1

1. Page 1, line 4: substitute "lutefisk" for "letefisk".