

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0203/P1dn
PJK:wlj&jlg:ijs

November 20, 1998

1. Do any of the following need to be amended to account for the creation of s. 645.68 (3m), which was formerly part of s. 645.68 (3)?

(a) Section 646.31 (6)

(b) Section 646.35 (6) (a)

2. Since new s. 645.68 (3m) was formerly part of s. 645.68 (3), should sub. (3m) also be subject to a \$200 deductible? Should it include language about not including any portion of a loss for which indemnification is provided by other benefits or advantages?

3. Does s. 601.13 (2) need to be amended, since now there are two new subsections before s. 645.68 (4)?

4. I made the assumption that, by excepting federal government claims from the subsection dealing with interest, the intention was to give interest on federal government claims the same priority as the claims themselves. The intention could also have been not to give interest on federal government claims any priority or to give interest on all federal claims the priority under sub. (3c). Let me know if you want the priority that I have given interest on federal government claims changed in any way.

5. As we discussed, this draft can be compiled with the draft on the insurance security fund, if you wish.

Pamela J. Kahler
Senior Legislative Attorney
266-2682