

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0203/P2dn
PJK:wlj:kjf

September 8, 1999

1. I added the sections included in the second initial applicability subsection in LRB-0204 to the initial applicability subsection for LRB-0203 (the first initial applicability subsection in this draft). Thus, what was used to refer to liquidation orders *issued* on the effective date now refers to liquidation orders *entered* on the effective date. Is this okay?

2. I changed s. 646.13 (3) (c) 1. to 18 months after the date of *entry* of the liquidation order, rather than 18 months after the *date* of the order. Is this okay?

3. Notice how I changed s. 646.13 (3) (a) and (b) with respect to the cross-reference to s. 645.61 (2). I thought this more accurately reflected your intent. Okay?

4. Is s. 646.31 (3) (c) correctly drafted to set up the proper relationship with pars. (a) and (b)? In other words, if the liquidator finds a late filing excusable, may that late filing even exceed 18 months beyond the entry of the liquidation order? Alternatively, should par. (c) be the maximum limit?

Pamela J. Kahler
Senior Legislative Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-2682
E-mail: Pam.Kahler@legis.state.wi.us