## DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

January 20, 1999

I want to point out a few changes that I made to this draft:

1. I added s. 111.91 (2) (kc) so that the requirements under the bill are prohibited subjects for collective bargaining by the state.

2. I added s. 609.90. Doing so is not really necessary but, since most requirements related to insurers are cross–referenced in ch. 609, I wouldn't want the absence of a cross–reference to s. 631.95 to be taken as meaning that the requirements do not apply to managed care plans.

3. I changed an "or" to "and" in s. 631.95 (2m) (a), (b) 6. and (c) 4. because that seemed to state the intent better.

4. I added a cross–reference to s. 631.95 (4) (d) in s. 631.95 (4) (a) and (b) and made the language of s. 631.95 (4) (d) more direct and less passive.

5. Another change that I would suggest, but which I did not actually make, is to delay the effective date for six months and to address policies under a collective bargaining agreement with inconsistent provisions in the initial applicability provision. Although I doubt that any policies issued to state employes violate any of the provisions contained in this draft, if I delay any insurance provision for six months, state policies can comply, no matter when the act passes. If an act's effective date is anything less that six months after passage, whether state policies can comply depends on when the act passes. Perhaps you would like to run this by ETF to determine if there is any need to delay the effective date.

Pamela J. Kahler Senior Legislative Attorney 266–2682