FISCALESTIMATE DOA-2048 N(R10/98)	☒ ORIGINAL ☐ UPDATED ☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEMENTAL	1999 Session LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No. AB 335 (99-2460/1) Amendment No. if Applicable

Subject

Allowing Municipal Courts to Handle Refusal Hearings

Fiscal Effect

State: ☐ No State Fiscal Effect

Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.

☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Increase Existing Revenues
☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues
☐ Create New Appropriation

☐ Increase Costs – May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐Yes ☐No

☐ Decrease Costs

Local: ☐ No local government costs

1. Increase Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory
2. Decrease Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory
3. ☐ Increase Revenues ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory
4. ☐ Decrease Revenues ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory

5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:
☐ Towns ☐ Villages ☐ Cities
☐ Counties ☐ Others ____
☐ School Districts ☐ WTCS Districts

Fund Sources Affected

☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S

Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Presently, if a person is cited for a first offense OWI municipal court violation and refuses to submit to a BAC test the OWI charge is held in the municipal court while the refusal hearing must be held in the circuit court of the county in which the violation occurred. This bill would allow the municipal court to handle both proceedings.

It is not known how many such refusal hearings are actually held in circuit court but it is expected that the decrease in workload will be minimal. No increase in municipal court workload is expected because both proceedings would more than likely be handled at the same time.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)	Authorized Signature/Telephone No.	Date
Director of State Courts Office	Sheryl Gervasi 6-6684	6/4/99