1999 Session
LRB or Bill No. / Adm. Rule No.

DOA-2048 N(R 10/98) X ORIGINAL UPDATED	AB 617 LRB-2/83//		
	Amendment No. (If Applicable)		
CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL	Amenament to: (ii / ppiloable)		
Cubiast			
Subject Social security numbers in license and permit applications			
Fiscal Effect			
State: No State Fiscal Effect			
Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation Increase Costs - May be properties of the columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation			
or affects a sum sufficient appropriation to	Absorb Within Agency's		
	udget Yes No		
Increase Existing Appropriation Increase Existing Revenues			
	crease Costs		
Revenues			
Create New Appropriation			
Local: No local government 3. Increase Revenues 5. Tyl	oes of Local Governmental Unit		
Costs			
	owns Villages Cities		
Permissive Mandatory 4. Decrease Revenues	Counties Others		
2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory	School Districts WTCS		
Permissive Mandatory District	ets		
	ed Ch. 20 Appropriations		
X GPR FED x PRO PRS SEG SEG-S Multi	pie		
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate			
Under current law (s. 93.135, Stats), DATCP is required to collect social security numbers from over 50,000 p	persons applying for annual DATCP		
licenses. DATCP must compile a data base that includes the identities and social security numbers of the licen available to the Department of Workforce Development (DWD) for "matching" to determine whether any licen	se holders, and must make that data base use holders are delinquent in the payment of		
child support. If DWD certifies, based on this "matching," that a DATCP license holder is delinquent in child	support payments, DATCP must suspend		
that person's license.			
DATCP has begun the process of collecting social security numbers from license holders. DATCP will continue to collect this information as various			
annual license renewals become due. DATCP will collect social security numbers from most current holders of affected licenses by mid-2000, except that DATCP will not be able to collect social security numbers from dairy farmers. (Dairy plants, who submit dairy farm license renewals on behalf of dairy			
farmers, will not collect social security numbers from farmers.) DATCP will incur a one-time cost of approximately \$50,000 and an annual cost of			
approximately \$50,000 to implement this program. These estimates assume that DATCP does not become involved in litigation related to the accuracy of			
DWD certifications.			
AB 617 would repeal the requirement for DATCP to collect social security numbers from license applicants. If the bill passes, DATCP will not continue to collect social security numbers from license applicants. However, the bill authorizes DATCP to continue sharing, with DWD, social security numbers			
collect social security numbers from heense applicants. However, the bin authorizes BATCI to contain salaring, with BWB, seems security numbers from heense applicants. However, the bin authorizes BATCI to contain salaring, with BWB, seems security numbers from heense applicants. However, the bin authorizes BATCI to contain a salaring, with BWB, seems security numbers from heense applicants. However, the bin authorizes BATCI to contain a salaring, with BWB, seems security numbers from heense applicants. However, the bin authorizes BATCI to contain a salaring, with BWB, seems security numbers from heense applicants. However, the bin authorizes BATCI to contain a salaring with BWB, seems seems a salaring with BWB, seems seems and the salaring with BWB, seems seems seems and the salaring with BWB, seems seems seems and the salaring with BWB, seems se			
be required to suspend that person's license.			
If AB 617 were to pass, its fiscal effect on DATCP would depend, in part, on the date of passage. For purposes of this fiscal estimate, DATCP assumes			
that the bill will pass after DATCP collects half the social security numbers that it is required to collect from current license holders under current law.			
DATCP will incur certain fixed costs to establish (and share with DWD) this initial data base of social security numbers, even if the collection mandate is discontinued at some future date. DATCP will also continue to incur annual costs related to data sharing with DWD, and related to license suspensions			
resulting from DWD certifications. The bill would save costs related to the future collection of social security numbers that would no longer be required.			
Based on these assumptions, DATCP estimates that the bill would save approximately \$25,000 in one-time costs, and \$30,000 in annual costs. Since no			
funding was provided for current costs imposed by s. 93.135, Stats., the reduction in costs would not generate real savings. Program funds would be used			
for base program activities, as they were before s. 93.135, Stats., was enacted.			
Long - Range Fiscal Implications			
Agency/prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Aputhorized Signature/Telephone No.	Date		
Barbara Frapp	10/00/00		
I DATCP I VO	12/20/99		
James Matson, Counsel ス2 4 - くれるコー Barbara Knapp (608) 224-4746	1		

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET		1999 SESSION	
Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect X ORIGINAL UPDATED GODDESTED GODDESTED GODDESTED	LRB or Bill No/Adm.Ru 1999 AB617 人尺と-2/83/1	ile No. Amendment No.	
DOA-2047 (R10/94) CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL	1 LRB-2/83/1		
Subject Social Security Numbers from License Holders			
I. One-time Cost or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):			
Decrease costs \$25,000			
II. Annualized Cost:	Annualized Fiscal Impact on State funds from:		
A. State Costs by Category	Increased Costs	Increased Costs Decreased Costs	
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$	\$ 25,000	
(FTE Position Changes)	(FTE)	(- FTE)	
State Operations - Other Costs		- 5,000	
Local Assistance		-	
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$ - 30,000	
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
GPR	\$	\$ - 10,000	
FED		-	
PRO/PRS		- 20,000	
SEG/SEG-S			
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.	
GPR Taxes	\$	\$ -	
GPR Earned		-	
FED			
PRO/PRS		_	
SEG/SEG-S			
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$ '-	
NET ANNUALIZED FISCA	L IMPACT		
STATE		LOCAL	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS \$_(30,000)		\$	
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES \$		\$	
Agency Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Date			
Barbara Brass			
DATCP James Matson Counsel 224-5022 Barbara Knapp (608) 224-4746 12/20/99			