

FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM

1999 Session

- ORIGINAL
- CORRECTED
- UPDATED
- SUPPLEMENTAL

LRB # 2183/1

INTRODUCTION AB 617

Admin. Rule #

Subject

Requirements that social security numbers be included on license, permit and other credential applications and on certain documents concerning marriage and children.

Fiscal Effect

State: No State Fiscal Effect

Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.

Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget Yes No

- Increase Existing Appropriation
- Decrease Existing Appropriation
- Create New Appropriation
- Increase Existing Revenues
- Decrease Existing Revenues

Decrease Costs

Local: No local government costs

- 1. Increase Costs
 - Permissive
 - Mandatory
- 2. Decrease Costs
 - Permissive
 - Mandatory

- 3. Increase Revenues
 - Permissive
 - Mandatory
- 4. Decrease Revenues
 - Permissive
 - Mandatory

5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:
- Towns
 - Villages
 - Cities
 - Counties
 - Others _____
 - School Districts
 - WTCS Districts

Fund Sources Affected

- GPR
- FED
- PRO
- PRS
- SEG
- SEG-S

Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:

This bill would not allow the Department of Justice to deny certification from the Law Enforcement Standards Board based solely on the refusal of an applicant to provide his/her social security numbers (SSN). Currently, if a SSN is not provided, the application shall be denied. However, DOJ would be able to enter into a memorandum of understanding with the Department of Workforce Development which would allow the board to refuse certification or re-certification if that individual failed to pay court-ordered payments of child or family support maintenance, birth expenses, medical expenses or other expenses related to the support of a child or former spouse, etc. Under the memorandum of understanding the board would also be able to disclose to DWD the SSN of the individual. This bill merely allows the applicant to refuse to provide their SSN and still be qualified to be certified or re-certified by the board.

DOJ does not anticipate that this proposal will have a fiscal effect on its operations.

However, DOJ did not review this bill for any legal implications for complying with federal law as it relates to child support. We assume the Department of Workforce Development will be providing that analysis.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications:

Prepared By: / Phone # / Agency Name

Authorized Signature / Telephone No.

Date

JoAnna Richard / 7-1932 / DOJ

JoAnna Richard 7-1932

12/15/99