

FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM

1999 Session

ORIGINAL
 CORRECTED

UPDATED
 SUPPLEMENTAL

LRB #-1599/2

INTRODUCTION # AB 689

Admin. Rule #

Subject

Interference with the custody of a child and providing a penalty.

Fiscal Effect

State: No State Fiscal Effect

Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.

Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget Yes No

Increase Existing Appropriation Increase Existing Revenues
 Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues
 Create New Appropriation

Decrease Costs

Local: No local government costs

1. Increase Costs
 Permissive Mandatory
 2. Decrease Costs
 Permissive Mandatory

3. Increase Revenues
 Permissive Mandatory
 4. Decrease Revenues
 Permissive Mandatory

5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:

Towns Villages Cities
 Counties Others _____
 School Districts WTCS Districts

Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:

Local: This bill would require local law enforcement to enforce child custody placements and may result in families taking advantage of this law to file false acquisitions for retribution. Local law enforcement would have to verify with the courts whether the order was currently the one in effect. This may cause much background work by local law enforcement. According to one typical local law enforcement agency that the department contacted, it was estimated that an average case would require 6-8 hours of staff work. This enforcement requirement may result in agencies having to shift their priorities from other serious law enforcement issues to work on these cases. Overall this will affect the workload of individual law officers.

State: No fiscal effect.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications:

Indeterminate

Prepared By: / Phone # / Agency Name
 Mike Roberts/266-7052/DOJ

Authorized Signature / Telephone No.

Wanna K. Richard

267-1932

Date
 February 9, 2000