

**FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM**

**1999 Session**

- ORIGINAL                       UPDATED  
 CORRECTED                       SUPPLEMENTAL

**LRB # 4232/1**

**INTRODUCTION # AB 716**

Admin. Rule #

**Subject**

Collection of data concerning motor vehicle stops, law enforcement training standards and granting rule-making authority.

**Fiscal Effect**

State:  No State Fiscal Effect

Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.

Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget  Yes  No

- Increase Existing Appropriation       Increase Existing Revenues  
 Decrease Existing Appropriation       Decrease Existing Revenues  
 Create New Appropriation

Decrease Costs

Local:  No local government costs

1.  Increase Costs  
      Permissive     Mandatory  
 2.  Decrease Costs  
      Permissive     Mandatory

3.  Increase Revenues  
      Permissive     Mandatory  
 4.  Decrease Revenues  
      Permissive     Mandatory

5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:  
 Towns       Villages       Cities  
 Counties     Others \_\_\_\_\_  
 School Districts     WTCS Districts

**Fund Sources Affected**

- GPR     FED     PRO     PRS     SEG     SEG-S

**Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations**

**Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:**

This legislation requires collection of specified data concerning motor vehicle stops, reporting of that data by the Department of Justice and requires the provision of training designed to prevent the use of race, racial profiling, racial stereotyping or other race-based discrimination in recruit training. The fiscal ramifications of the bill are two fold: costs to local government and costs to the state

**Local Law Enforcement Impact**

Under the bill, the Department of Justice is responsible for the development of administrative rules and forms to implement the reporting system. The Department has attempted to estimate the amount of time which will be required to collect the information required by SB 354. In Connecticut, authorities report that their form, which is very simple and collects minimal information, can be completed in an average of 30 seconds. The requirements contained in SB 354 are more complex than the requirements of Connecticut's law. For example, SB 354 requires that if a motor vehicle stop results in a warning, citation or an arrest, the officer record a listing of each warning, citation or arrest and the reason for the arrest. This listing is not required by the Connecticut law. In addition, SB 354 requires that separate information be recorded if a passenger, rather than the driver, is searched and that the officer record whether the search resulted in property being seized. The Connecticut law does not contain these requirements.

Despite the complexity of the information required to be collected under SB 354, it is the Department's intent to develop a check-off style form that will allow officers to collect the information required by the law as quickly as possible. The Department estimates that for 95-98% of motor vehicle stops, the form could be completed in 1 minute. Motor vehicle stops which involve a search of the vehicle or passengers or seizure of property by their nature require a larger amount of an officer's time. For these types of stops, and because of the requirements of SB 354, additional information will need to be collected and additional officer time will be required.

**State Costs:**

Development of the form, management of the reporting system and production of the required reports is estimated to require 1 FTE Program Planning Analyst 5 (permanent), 1 FTE Program Planning Analyst 3 (Project status for 2 years) and 1500 hours of consultant programming development costs. These positions should begin on October 1, 2000 to enable data collection to begin January 1, 2001. Details can be found on the following page.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications:**

See above

Prepared By: / Phone # / Agency Name  
Mike Roberts/266-7052/DOJ

Authorized Signature / Telephone No.

*Johnna Richard* 267-1932

Date

March 13, 2000

**FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET**

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

1999 Session

- ORIGINAL       UPDATED  
 CORRECTED     SUPPLEMENTAL

LRB # 4232/1  
INTRODUCTION # AB 716

Admin. Rule #

**Subject**

Collection of data concerning motor vehicle stops, law enforcement training standards and granting rule-making authority.

**I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):**  
 Program Planning Analyst 5 (one-time) \$5950 Program Planning Analyst 3 (one-time) \$5950 and Consultant services (one-time) \$81,000. Total one-time costs= \$92,900

<b>II. Annualized Costs:</b>	<b>Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:</b>	
	<b>Increased Costs</b>	<b>Decreased Costs</b>
<b>A. State Costs by Category</b>		
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$ 64518	\$ -
(FTE Position Changes)	( 2.0 FTE)	(- FTE)
State Operations - Other Costs	16,800	-
Local Assistance		-
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		-
<b>TOTAL State Costs by Category</b>	<b>\$ 81,318</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
<b>B. State Costs by Source of Funds</b>	<b>Increased Costs</b>	<b>Decreased Costs</b>
GPR	\$ 81,318	\$ -
FED		-
PRO/PRS		-
SEG/SEG-S		-
<b>State Revenues</b> Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	<b>Increased Rev.</b>	<b>Decreased Rev.</b>
GPR Taxes	\$	\$ -
GPR Earned		-
FED		-
PRO/PRS		-
SEG/SEG-S		-
<b>TOTAL State Revenues</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ -</b>

**NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT**

	<u>STATE</u>	<u>LOCAL</u>
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$81,318	\$
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$	\$

Prepared By: / Phone # / Agency Name Mike Roberts/266-7052/DOJ	Authorized Signature/Telephone No. <i>John M. Richard</i> 267-1932	Date March 13, 2000
---	---	------------------------