| •   |                                       |   |                  | 1999 Session                        |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|------------------|-------------------------------------|
|   |                                       |   |                  | LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No.       |
|   | XX ORIGINAL                           | ☐ UPDATED                               |                  | 0920/1 AB 87                        |
| FISCALESTIMATE  | ☐ CORRECTED                           | ☐ SUPPLEMENTAL                          |                  | Amendment No. if Applicable         |
| DOA-2048 N(R10/98)  |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
| Subject Delinquency Adj   | udications for                        | r Purposes of                           | Penal            | ty Enhancers                        |
| Fiscal Effect   |                                       | *************************************** |                  |                                     |
| State: No State Fiscal Effect   |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
| Check columns below only if bill make   |                                       | *                                       |                  | e Costs – May be possible to Absorb |
| or affects a sum sufficient approp  | oriation.                             |   | Within Age       | ency's Budget Yes No                |
| ☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Increase Existing Revenues  |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
| Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues  |                                       |   | ☐ Decrease Costs |                                     |
| Create New Appropriation  |                                       |   | <b>_</b>         |                                     |
| Local: No local government costs  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |   |                  |                                     |
| 1. XIncrease Costs  | 3. Increase Re                        | venues                                  | 5. Types of      | Local Governmental Units Affected:  |
| Permissive Mandator   | ·   —                                 | Mandatory Mandatory                     | ☐ Towns          | ☐ Villages ☐ Cities                 |
| 2. Decrease Costs   | 4. Decrease Re                        |   | Counties         | Others                              |
| Permissive Mandator   | y Permissive                          | Mandatory  Affected Ch                  | School Dis       |                                     |
| Fund Sources Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations   |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
| GPR FED PRO   | PRS SEG SEG                           | S                                       |                  |                                     |
| Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fi  | iscal Estimate                        |   |                  |                                     |
|   |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
| This bill provides that certain delinquency adjudications   |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
| may be used like prior convictions for purposes of  |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
| determining whether a person is a repeater and for imposing   |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
| minimum or increased penalties in cases involving serious   |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
| sex crimes and  | d serious viol                        | ent crimes.                             | Deling           | uency                               |
| adjudications   | for serious f                         | elonies may o                           | count a          | s one "strike"                      |
| and one "strik  | e" only under                         | the "3 stri                             | kes, yo          | u're out" law                       |
| and could not be considered after the person reaches 25   |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
| years of age.   |                                       |   |                  | 1                                   |
|   | e e                                   | •                                       |                  |                                     |
| It is not known how many cases would be affected by this  |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
| bill. It is expected that with the possibility of longer  |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
| sentences involved more cases will be contested. This will  |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
| require additional judge, court reporter, staff and juror   |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
| time. These are state and county costs but the exact increase is impossible to predict with the data available. |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
| increase is im  | mpossible to b                        | redict with                             | the dat          | a avaitable.                        |
|   |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
|   |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
|   |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
|   |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
|   |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
| Long-Range Fiscal Implications  |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
|   |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
|   |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
|   |                                       |   |                  |                                     |
| Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Director of State Co   | Autho                                 | prince Signature/Telephone              | e No. 6 -6       | 984 Date<br>1/8/99                  |
| Director or state C   |                                       | Sunt So                                 | 1114-            | 1 2, 3, 33                          |
|   |                                       | vary , a                                | w-w-\            |                                     |

## 1999 Session FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET Amendment No. Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No. X. ORIGINAL **UPDATED** 0920/1 **AB 87** DOA-2047 (R10/94) CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL Subject Delinquency Adjudications for Purposes of Penalty Enhancers One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect): Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from: **Annualized Costs: Increased Costs Decreased Costs State Costs by Category** \$ State Operations - Salaries and Fringes FTE) FTE) (FTE Position Changes) State Operations - Other Costs Local Assistance Aids to Individuals or Organizations \$ TOTAL State Costs by Category **Decreased Costs State Costs by Source of Funds Increased Costs** \$ **GPR** FED PRO/PRS SEG/SEG-S Decreased Rev. Increased Rev. III. State Revenues -Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.) \$ \$ **GPR Taxes GPR Earned FED** PRO/PRS SEG/SEG-S **TOTAL State Revenues** NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT LOCAL STATE + indeter. + indeter. **NET CHANGE IN COSTS**

Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)
Director of State Courts

Authorized Signature/Telephone No.

**NET CHANGE IN REVENUES** 

none No.

Date/8/99