FISCAL ESTIMATE			1999 Session	
DOA-2048 (R10/92)	■ ORIGINAL □ CORRECTED	□ UPDATED □ SUPPLEMENTAL	LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No. Amendment No. LRB 0920/1 AB 87	
Subject Delinquency adjudication	s under penalty enl	nancer statutes	•	
Fiscal Effect State: No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.			☐ Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☐ No	
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation ☐ Create New Appropriation	□ Increase Exi □ Decrease Exi	sting Revenues sting Revenues	□ Decrease Costs	
Local: □ No local government costs				
1. ☐ Increase Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory 2. ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory	4. Decrease Reve	ive Mandatory	5. Types of Local Government Units Affected: □ Towns □ Villages □ Cities □ Counties □ Others □ School Districts □ VTAE Districts	

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

□ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS □ SEG □ SEG-S

Fund Sources Affected

This bill would include delinquency adjudications for the purpose of determining whether a person is a repeater or persistent repeater under the state's penalty enhancer statutes. The department's Legal Services Division does not anticipate a significant fiscal impact other than a potential effect on the Criminal Appeals Unit. However, we have no information on which to predict that fiscal effect.

Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations

Long-Range Fiscal Implications		
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)	Authorized Signature/Telephone No.	Date
Justice - Kelly Kennedy 6-1221	Kelly hensedy 6-1221	January 19, 1999