

FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM

1999 Session

- ORIGINAL UPDATED
 CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL

LRB # -1745/2

INTRODUCTION # SB 212

Admin. Rule #

Subject
Mental Health Treatment for Minors

Fiscal Effect

State: No State Fiscal Effect SEE TEXT

Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.

Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget Yes No

- Increase Existing Appropriation Increase Existing Revenues
 Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues
 Create New Appropriation

Decrease Costs

Local: No local government costs SEE TEXT

1. Increase Costs
 Permissive Mandatory
 2. Decrease Costs
 Permissive Mandatory

3. Increase Revenues
 Permissive Mandatory
 4. Decrease Revenues
 Permissive Mandatory

5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:
 Towns Villages Cities
 Counties Others _____
 School Districts WTCS Districts

Fund Sources Affected

- GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations

20.435(5)(b) and (c)

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:

This bill makes a number of changes to statutory provisions governing the rights of minors with regard to mental health treatment and access to mental health treatment records. In general, the bill would eliminate the current statutory distinction between minors under 14 years old and minors 14 years and older in these areas.

Fiscal impact on county governments

The changes in this bill may have the overall effect of increasing the number of minors receiving inpatient or outpatient mental health treatment. The bill would allow minors of all ages to petition for voluntary admission to an inpatient facility as well as eliminate the requirement that minors 14 years and older consent to outpatient treatment. It would also limit the rights of those minors to seek discharge from inpatient treatment.

Within the limit of available funds, counties are payors of last resort for health care for indigent children. While most indigent children would be eligible to receive treatment through the state's Medical Assistance program, counties may be responsible for funding outpatient treatment for children in some circumstances. This bill may result in an additional number of children receiving treatment funded by the county. However, it is unknown how many additional children would receive treatment as a result of this bill, and thus the precise fiscal impact on counties cannot be estimated.

Fiscal impact on the Department of Health and Family Services

The Medical Assistance (MA) program reimburses local providers for certain mental health services for indigent children. To the extent it increases the number of children receiving care, this bill would increase costs to the MA program. Because the additional number of children receiving care is unknown, the exact fiscal effect on MA cannot be estimated.

Finally, it is estimated that this bill will have no measurable effect on the number of individuals receiving care in state institutions for the mentally ill.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications:

Prepared By: / Phone # / Agency Name

Andrew Forsaith / 266-7684 / DHFS/OSF

Authorized Signature / Telephone No.

John Klesow, 266-9622

Date

8/6/99

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

1999 Session

- ORIGINAL UPDATED
 CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL

LRB # 1745/2
INTRODUCTION # SB 212

Admin. Rule #

Subject
Mental Health Treatment for Minors

I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:	
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
A. State Costs by Category			
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		\$	\$ -
(FTE Position Changes)		(FTE)	(- FTE)
State Operations - Other Costs			-
Local Assistance			-
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			-
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$	\$ -
B. State Costs by Source of Funds			
GPR		\$	\$ -
FED			-
PRO/PRS			-
SEG/SEG-S			-
State Revenues	Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.
GPR Taxes		\$	\$ -
GPR Earned			-
FED			-
PRO/PRS			-
SEG/SEG-S			-
TOTAL State Revenues		\$	\$ -

NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT

	<u>STATE</u>	<u>LOCAL</u>
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$ <u>SEE TEXT</u>	\$ <u>SEE TEXT</u>
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$ _____	\$ _____

Prepared By: / Phone # / Agency Name Andrew Forsaith / 266-7684 / DHFS/OSF	Authorized Signature/Telephone No. <i>John Kiesow</i> John Kiesow, 266-9622	Date 8/6/99
---	---	----------------