					1999 Session
		□			LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No.
		ORIGINAL	☐ UPDATED		SB 336, 99-4144/2
	ESTIMATE 8 N(R10/94)	☐ CORRECTED	SUPPLEMENTAL		Amendment No. if Applicable
Subject					<u> </u>
Repeal of	Cranberry Cultivation Exemptions				
Fiscal Effe				1	
State		- 41			
	ck columns below only if bill makes a fects a sum sufficient appropriation.				lay be possible to Absorb
		Increase Existin	a Povonuos	Within Agency's B	udget ∐ Yes ☑ No
	Increase Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Appropriation	Decrease Existi	_	Decrease Costs	
	Create New Appropriation	Decrease Exist	ng Nevendes		
_	al: No local government costs rease Costs	3. Increase Reve	nuoc	la	
1. Inc	Permissive Mandatory	5. Permissive	_	5. Types of Local Gover	
			_ ,	Towns	☐ Villages ☐ Cities
2. De	crease Costs	4. Decrease Reve	_	Counties	WTCS Districts
Formal Casses	Permissive Mandatory	Permissive		School Districts	Others
	ces Affected GPR FED PRO	☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐	1	ed Ch. 20 Appropriations	
-	ns Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estima		20.370	0(4)(ma)	
affect navi FISCAL II associated 0.82 FTE. Further, th approxima 1.ASSUM	om all of the permitting and other regable waters. This bill repeals this MPACT: The Department estimate with reviewing and authorizing all Based on data from 1991-1997, the Department assumes that 50% of telly 15% will require a contested constant of the properties of the properti	exemption. es that this bill would impexisting cranberry operate Department assumes the applications for new ase hearing. ULATION FOR WATER onsonded operations annually dictional determination 25 hours	pose a one-time fiscal effetions. Further, this bill wonat it will annually receive or expanded operations was RWAY AND WETLAND	act of \$ 693,900 and 11. Sould impose an ongoing for a second policy and a second policy are a review by a contract of the second policy and a second policy are a second policy are a second policy are a second policy and a second policy are a second policy are a second policy are a second policy and a second policy are a second policy and a second policy are a se	11 FTE. This one-time cost is iscal effect totaling \$51,000 and r expanded cranberry operations.
Cost Calcu \$13.282/ h	Existing Operations (one-t 150 operations x 10.5 hours 150 operations x 25 hours 15 Ch. 227 hearings x 200 8325hours/1820hours= 4. Idation (Assumes entry-level Water four x 2080 hours x 37.60%fring	s = 1575 hours /application = 3750 hours) hours = 3000 hours 57 FTE Regulation & Zoning Sp	ecialist FTE)	= \$202, 900	
Agency	Prepared By	Phone No.	Authorized Signature	A Phor	ne No. Date
DNR	Toe Polasek	(608) 266-2794	May No	V_{i}	01/25/2000
LINK	LIOE POIASEK	11 DUX 1 / DD= 7 / 9/1	, A W 👡 🕮 II	・ シログレー ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・	1 ということ / 74 - 10 1 / と3 / といりり

FISCAL ESTIMATE

DOA-2048 N(R10/94)

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

New or Expanded Operations (ongoing):

8 applications x 10.5 hours = 84 hours

8 applications x 25 hours/app. = 200 hours

1 Ch 227 hearing x 200 hours = 200 hours

484 hours / 1820 hours = .27 FTE

Cost Calculation (Assumes entry-level Water Regulation & Zoning Specialist FTE)

13.282/hour x 2080 hours x 37.60% fringe + \$6400 (supplies & services) = \$44,400 (.27) = \$12,000.

ASSUMPTIONS.TIME AND COST CALCULATIONS FOR DAM PERMITS AND SAFETY INSPECTIONS

Assumptions: 75 "large" dams

100 hours per dam

1820 hours/FTE

200 hours per hearing

Time and Cost Calculations:

Existing Operations (one-time).

75 dams x 100 hours = 7500 hours / 1820 = 4.12 FTE

7 hearings x 200 hours = 1400 hours / 1820 = 0.77 FTE

Dam Safety Engineer Salary \$15.032 x 37.60% fringe x 2080 hours + \$6,400 supplies and services = \$49,422.x 4.89 FTE = \$241,700.

New or Expanded Operations (ongoing):

4 dams x 100 hours = 400 hours / 1820 = .22 FTE

1 hearing x 200 hours = 200 hours / 1820 = .11 FTE

Dam Safety Engineer Salary $15.032 \times 37.60\%$ fringe x 2080 hours + 6,400 supplies and services = $49,422 \times .33$ FTE = 16,300

ASSUMPTIONS, TIME AND COST CALCULATIONS FOR LEGAL SERVICE HEARING SUPPORT

Assumptions: 120 hours of legal services time /hearing

Time and Cost Calculations:

Existing Operations (one-time):

22 hearings x 200 hours = 4400 hours / 1820 hours = 2.42 FTE

Attorney $36 \times 37.6\%$ fringe = 103,034 /FTE x 2.42 = 249,300.

New or Expanded Operations (ongoing):

2 hearings x 200 hours = 400 hours / 1820 = .22 FTE

Attorney \$36 x 37.6% fringe = \$103,034 /FTE x .22 FTE - \$22,700.

	SCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET			1999 Session			
	Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect		UPDATED SUPPLEMENTAL	LRB or Bill No./Adm. Ru SB 336, 99-4144/2	ile No. Amendment No.		
Subject				50 550, 33-4144/2			
	Cranberry Cultivation Exemptions						
	-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts	for State and/or Lo	cal Government (do n	ot include in annual	ized fiscal effect):		
693,900	and 11.11 FTE.						
	Annualized Costs: State Costs by Category			Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from: Increased Costs Decreased Costs			
a. Otal	le costs by category				Decircused Costs		
	State Operations - Salaries and Fri	nges		\$51,000			
. ((FTE Position Changes)			0.82			
;	State Operations - Other Costs						
	Local Assistance						
,	Aids to Individuals or Organizations	;					
	TOTAL State Costs by Cat	egory		\$51,000			
3. Stat	e Costs by Source of Funds	,	Inc	reased Costs	Decreased Costs		
(GPR			\$51,000			
F	FED						
F	PRO/PRS						
5	SEG/SEG-S						
II. State		proposal will increase or de ase, decrease in license fee		reased Rev.	Decreased Rev.		
(GPR Taxes		, 0.0.9				
	GPR Earned						
	OF ICAMIEU				<u> </u>		
F	ED	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-			
F	PRO/PRS						
5	SEG/SEG-S						
	TOTAL State Revenues						
		NET ANN	NUALIZED IMPACT				
			<u>STATE</u>	LOC	AL		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS \$51,0			\$51,000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$0		
IET 0115	NOT IN DEVENUES				\$0		
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES							
gency	Prepared By	Phone No.	Authorized Signature	Phone	No. Date		
NR	Joe Polasek	(608) 266-2794	KM VICO	ist (608)	266-2794 01/25/2000		