

FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM

1999 Session

ORIGINAL

UPDATED

LRB # - 4446/1

CORRECTED

SUPPLEMENTAL

INTRODUCTION # SB 357

Admin. Rule #

Subject

Various budgetary matters including truth in sentencing, consumer protection, agreements with tobacco manufacturers, etc.

Fiscal Effect

State: No State Fiscal Effect

Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.

Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget Yes No

Tobacco Agreement portion only

Increase Existing Appropriation

Increase Existing Revenues

Decrease Existing Appropriation

Decrease Existing Revenues

Create New Appropriation

Decrease Costs

Local: No local government costs

1. Increase Costs
 Permissive Mandatory

3. Increase Revenues
 Permissive Mandatory

5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:
 Towns Villages Cities

2. Decrease Costs
 Permissive Mandatory

4. Decrease Revenues
 Permissive Mandatory

Counties Others _____
 School Districts WTCS Districts

Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations

20.005 (3), 20.455 (1) 20.566 (8) (a), 20.566 (2) (am)

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:

Truth in Sentencing-Criminal Penalties Study Committee- Criminal Appeals: The department anticipates heavy appellate litigation of the statutes and administrative rules that will implement the Criminal Penalties Study Committee report and the variation contained in SB 357. Litigation exploring the parameters of new statutory terms, testing the relationship of new statutory language to former statutes and case law, and fleshing out the operation of new statutory procedures occurs whenever major statutory changes are enacted. The department's appellate attorneys have litigated those issues extensively for Wisconsin's sex predator law, for example, which affected a much smaller number than the thousands of individuals subject to truth-in-sentencing. Additional appellate attorneys were required to handle the sex predator litigation and the department has no additional capacity to staff truth-in-sentencing litigation. In addition to litigation, the department also anticipates that substantial amounts of attorney time will be required to train local prosecutors in connection with truth-in-sentencing implementation and assist local prosecutors handling trial court truth-in-sentencing challenges.

The department believes, as it indicated in the fiscal estimate for AB 465, that at least one appellate attorney will be need in addition to one legal secretary.

Agreement between the state and tobacco product manufacturers- As set forth in the language of this bill, the Department of Justice may bring a civil action against a tobacco product manufacturer that fails to put money into escrow as required by the Master Settlement Agreement between Tobacco Companies and the States. If this action is required, the department will be able to absorb the costs through its current budget appropriations.

Public Intervenor- This bill accurately reflects the expected staffing needs if the Public Intervenor was re-established within the Department of Justice.

Consumer Protection- It is unclear whether the position authority for the front line investigators for consumer protection will be transferred. However, the amount that is contained in the non-statutory language appears to transfer enough of the appropriation to implement this new authority.

Joint Review Committee on Criminal Penalties: No fiscal effect anticipated.

Gambling: SB 357 appropriates GPR funding for the 2001-02 to cover our current needs.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications:

Prepared By: / Phone # / Agency Name
JoAnna Richard/267-1932/DOJ

Authorized Signature / Telephone No.
JoAnna Richard 267-1932

Date
February 8, 2000

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

1999 Session

- ORIGINAL UPDATED
 CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL

LRB # - 4446/1

Admin. Rule #

INTRODUCTION # SB 357

Subject
Various budget provisions.

I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:	
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
A. State Costs by Category			
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		\$ 116,305	\$ -
(FTE Position Changes)		(2.0 FTE)	(- FTE)
State Operations - Other Costs			-
Local Assistance			-
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			-
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$ 116,305	\$ -
B. State Costs by Source of Funds			
GPR		\$ 116,305	\$ -
FED			-
PRO/PRS			-
SEG/SEG-S			-
State Revenues Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)		Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.
GPR Taxes		\$	\$ -
GPR Earned			-
FED			-
PRO/PRS			-
SEG/SEG-S			-
TOTAL State Revenues		\$	\$ -

NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT

STATE

LOCAL

NET CHANGE IN COSTS \$116,305 _____ \$ _____

NET CHANGE IN REVENUES \$ _____ \$ _____

Prepared By: / Phone # / Agency Name JoAnna Richard/267-1932/DOJ	Authorized Signature/Telephone No. <i>JoAnna Richard</i> 267-1932	Date February 8, 2000
---	--	--------------------------