

FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM

1999 Session

- ORIGINAL UPDATED
 CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL

LRB # 99-0388/1
INTRODUCTION # SB 428
Admin. Rule #

Subject
 Creating Zoning Requirements for Upland Environmental Corridors

Fiscal Effect
 State: No State Fiscal Effect
 Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation

<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriation	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be Possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs
<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriation	<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues	
<input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriation		

Local: No Local Government Costs **see text of fiscal note**

1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Towns <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Villages <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cities <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Counties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Others <u>special districts</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> School Districts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts
2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	

Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations
---	---------------------------------------

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:

Under current law, local units of government are required to enact ordinances regulating activities in shorelands and wetlands. Such ordinances must comply with standards established by the Department of Natural Resources (DNR). Also under current law, assessors are required to consider the effect on the value of a property of a county, village or city shorelands zoning ordinance.

The bill requires the DNR to promulgate rules specifying criteria to identify and to protect upland environmental corridors. The bill requires the DNR to submit proposed rules to the Legislative Council no later than 19 months after the bill's effective date. In addition, the bill requires the regional planning commission or local unit of government to map upland environmental corridors and requires the local unit of government to enact a local zoning ordinance protecting such corridors. The bill also requires assessors to consider the effect on the value of a property of a county, village or city upland environmental corridors zoning ordinance.

Costs to Local Units of Government. The bill's fiscal effect on local units of government consists of the following:

1. One-time costs of regional planning commissions (RPCs) and local units of government to map upland environmental corridors.
2. One-time costs to municipalities to enact upland zoning ordinances.
3. Property tax shifts due to requiring assessors to consider the effect on the value of a property of a county, village or city upland environmental corridors zoning ordinances.

Mapping Costs. Based on discussions with RPCs and geographic information system professionals, costs of RPCs and local units of government to map upland environmental corridors cannot be reliably estimated
 (continued on page two)

Long-Range Fiscal Implications:

Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Wisconsin Department of Revenue Blair P. Kruger, (608) 266-1310	Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Yeang-Eng Braun (608) 266-2700 <i>Yeang Eng Braun</i>	Date 3/20/00
---	--	------------------------

due to lack of clarity regarding the mapping assignment. For example, it is not known whether existing data (e.g., existing topographic maps) would be sufficient to map upland environmental corridors or if new data would have to be created under the bill.

Costs of Zoning Ordinances. Municipal costs to enact upland zoning ordinances are not expected to be significant.

Property Tax Shifts. The property tax shift due to requiring assessors to consider the effect of a county, village or city upland environmental corridors zoning ordinance on the value of a property is indeterminate. Based on shorelands zoning ordinances, whose effects on property values assessors are also required to consider, the effect of a zoning ordinance on property value depends on the characteristics of the individual property as well as those of the surrounding properties. Thus, a zoning ordinance may have no effect on a property's value, or may act to increase or decrease the property's value. If the assessment of a property decreases under the bill, property taxes will be shifted away from that property to other taxable property in the taxing jurisdiction and vice versa.

State Costs. The bill would require minor revisions of the Wisconsin Property Assessment Manual. The cost of such revisions would be absorbed.

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

1999 Session

- ORIGINAL UPDATED
 CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL

LRB # 99-0388/1	Admin. Rule #
INTRODUCTION # SB 428	

Subject

Creating Zoning Requirements for Upland Environmental Corridors

I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

see text of fiscal note

II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:	
A. State Costs by Category	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe	\$	\$ -
(FTE Position Changes)	(FTE)	(- FTE)
State Operations-Other Costs		-
Local Assistance		-
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		-
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$ -
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
GPR	\$	\$ -
FED		-
PRO/PRS		-
SEG/SEG-S		-
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.
GPR Taxes	\$	\$ -
GPR Earned		-
FED		-
PRO/PRS		-
SEG/SEG-S		-
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$ -

NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT

STATE

LOCAL

NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$ _____	\$ see text of fiscal note
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$ _____	\$ _____

Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Wisconsin Department of Revenue Blair P. Kruger, (608) 266-1310	Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Yeang-Eng Braun (608) 266-2700 <i>Yeang Eng Braun</i>	Date 3/20/00
---	--	------------------------