

# Ryom Pellerin

- 1) no
- 2) no it makes our lives much harder and it is too strict
- 3) no
- 4) more driving time with instructors and parents and in different areas
- 5) for some people but not all because those that get into many accidents do it inevitably
- 6) fix the bill so that it doesn't have all of the loopholes that are in this bill and add many changes

1) No

2) No, they need to make the bill so we understand the restrictions. The hours aren't fair.

3) No

4) We need to just drive more in different conditions. The hours we drive with an instructor should be raised.

5) No because some kids will still brake the law until they are caught.

6) Is it going to be Grandfathered?

What are all of the restrictions involved in the bill?



Justin

1. No  
2. Yes, it will keep kids off the streets  
at dangerous times

3. no  
4. after getting a ticket or violation,  
the license should be taken away for  
a short period of time.

5. Yes, because if stricter penalties are  
enforced it will keep them from driving.

6. No

1. no
2. no, I feel the current driving clause is the one we should stick with.
3. Yes
4. raise the age to 18 for driving eligibility
5. no, if there's more rules, there's more of a possibility for teens to break them
6. is this going to effect people at the age of 17, ~~but~~ that is in the process of getting their licence, but don't have them yet

Jillian Christophers

1. no
2. no, because it isn't the way to go about this.  
I think more time behind the wheel in an education class is necessary.
3. No
4. Yes, more time behind the wheel. People are going to be immature now or later, what's the difference. More time learning about the consequences.
5. Yes, people will learn quicker that they aren't going to get away with anything.
6. The good drivers will listen to the bill, the bad drivers will keep driving until they are caught, and who knows when they will stop. I agree something needs to be done, but not this.

Amanda  
Zahn

pushed

1. no

2. no-nothing in them about emergencies, school/jobs,  
or being grandfathered in.

3. no

4. you have to hold a C average in school one  
semester before you get your license and all  
the while you have them and are in high school

5. possible - but most kids are going to do what they  
want anyway. it might make them think twice

6. Have they ever thought about a bill to  
restrict the elderly that still drive.

anyway  
fair  
it.

matt  
Hilscher

- ① no
- ② no, the only reason this is being pushed is because of 1 or a couple of people that made bad mistakes
- ③ no
- ④ more driving time in class
- ⑤ no, alot of people dont care anyway
- ⑥ one way of making in more ~~fair~~ fair is that you could grandfather it.

Terin  
Kromenaver

- 1) NO, I will within 2 weeks
- 2) Yes, because personally I don't care, because if you don't screw around when driving, you won't get in trouble. The cops aren't just gonna look for ~~some~~ kids driving with other kids.
- 3) Not really
- 4) longer drivers ed class
- 5) Yes, but I think that would be a stupid thing to do because cops are already too picky.
- 6) NO

Lesley  
Suedes Hr. 4

1. ~~no~~ no

2. no. because it is specific enough and I don't think a lot of people know all of the details.

3. No.

4. more hours behind the wheel, better & longer drivers ed courses.

5. NO. because people would do them anyways depending on what the penalty would be. There would just have to be more cops to patrol this.

6. Is there going to be a grandfather  $\frac{1}{2}$  on this bill?

● Amanda Dolata

① No

② No, cause people in sports and stuff are going to get sick of asking the parents. Plus, the parents are going to get sick of taking their kids everywhere.

③ No, I don't think it matters what age you are. Anyone can drive wreckless.

④ Maybe they should take longer lessons. Rising the age isn't going to change anything.

⑤ Depending on the laws & penalties, it could.

⑥ I think it's retarded and I think that the only reason they came up w/ this bill is cause they (whoever made it up) were very bored. Had nothing better to do.

THANKS





Bill  
Kovis

1. NO  
2. NO

we shouldn't suffer  
for some screw ~~off~~ that  
got in an accident

3. No

4. Less than 25 points to fail

5. Yes more afraid of consequences

1. Yes

2. ~~Yes - because I think people should have more experience behind the wheel before they get their actual drivers license.~~ No - because it's not fair to <sup>the</sup> people that leave the state for school, or for all the responsible drivers that are ready for their license. There's more people at older ages who should get their licenses provoked.

3. No

4. Give them more time behind the wheel before receiving their license.

5. No

6. It's going to effect people that already have their licenses. Why should they even try to pass this law? There's only going to be people rebelling and breaking the law more frequently than there was before.

Ryan Polayn

1. Yes

2. No, because they are punishing people or some people who could be good or responsible people.

3. No

4. We could have more classroom hours in school.

5. No, because the people who break the law will break it no matter what.

6.

Sarah Zierke

- ① no
- ② no, because highering the age won't change anything it may even be worse
- ③ no
- ④ longer behind the wheel time (more the 6)
- ⑤ yes, because people would be because people would be scared.
- ⑥ IT SUCKS!

# Molly Wheaton

- 1) Yes
  - 2) No, it takes away privileges from people who never did anything wrong, and it could hurt many businesses because kids would have transportation to after school jobs and the parents would have to take them & that could affect them negatively
  - 3) No
  - 4) Let them get temps earlier so they have more experience, but 16 should be driving age
  - 5) No, people who drive poorly now will then anyway
  - 6) Could hurt state economically and you'd have more kids driving w/out license which is more dangerous because then they don't have enough experience.
- \* If you can pass test when your 16 it won't be different when your 19

Nicole Sabel

1. Yes

2. No, I think the reason that they want the bill is because ~~there~~ there are a lot of accidents among teens, but I think the reason there are so many accidents is because teens do not pay attention to the road while driving with friends.

3. No

4. Just to make teenagers understand that while driving with friends they have to still pay attention to the road.

5. Yes, then people would pay more attention to the road.

6. I do not think that it should be passed because it is not the fact of how many hours a teenager has behind the wheel it's just that they have to pay more attention to the road instead of what is going on around them.

Jenny Blair

1. no
2. No. It seems unnecessary to me to have 50 hours of behind the wheel.
3. It might make driving safer, but overall, I don't think it will. People that are reckless are like that because they want to be. I don't think it is going to make any difference whether they are more experienced or not. The problem is not that teen drivers don't know how to drive safely, it is that they choose not to!
4. I think the biggest thing is to teach teens to act responsibly.
5. not really - same as #3
6. I am not totally opposed to the Graduated Licensing but I just don't see why it has to be sooo strict. If they would make some of the requirements less than they are in the bill right now, but more than what the current law is, I think less people would be opposed to it.

# Carrie Schultz

1. no
2. no, it is inconvenient as far as everyday life is concerned.
3. no.
4. I think that raising standards in the driver's education course would suffice. Make them longer, make them more difficult. Penalize on a stricter basis early on so that new drivers learn things early on.
5. yes, people of all ages would be more cautious drivers so we'd all evade consequences. Roads would be safer because we'd all be forced to be conscience drivers.
6. When your kids are driving, or when they first started driving, how would you like to be chaffering them around because they can't go with friends. Not only is it an inconvenience, it'll be years, too.



Nic  
Marsh

- 1) no
- 2) no it punishes everyone for mistakes stupid people made.
- 3) no
- 4) make punishments more severe
- 5) yes, because it make mistakes more costly.
- 6) I think there should be a grandfather law for people who get their license in the next couple months. If this bill passes insurance will have to be cheaper because of less driving and safer times driving.

1. Yes
2. NO, it take away many things that are part of a high schoolers teenage years and to do that because of a couple of stupid drivers isn't fair to the rest of us
3. NO, not at all, people need the experience behind the wheels
4. I think that the way it is now is just fine
5. yes, people won't want to loose their drivers licenses or pay stiff fines
6. I think that the parents should decide if their child is ready to drive or not and its not the governments place to see parents to every child in the state of Wisconsin

1. No
2. No - as is it will upset alot. You just can't find enough time to do all the driving they want.
3. No
4. If ~~you~~ you have more of an interactive driving course.
5. Yes - b/c ~~the~~ if you are able to lose your license easier then you would probably be more careful.
6. I would really like to know how it will affect us & how it will be enforced.

1. Yes

2. No, because teenagers need to drive at night a mile to work.

3. NO

4.

5. No, because driving rules are always going to be broken.

6.

Jacob McCulley

1. No

2. No because there is a lot of stuff that is unexplained.

3. No

4. Have a stricter and more in-depth driver's education course

5. No because there is still gonna be the same people who disobey the laws

6. No



- ① Yes, I do have my drivers liscence.
- ② No, because I have my liscence and I don't think I have to prove to another person that I am a responsible driver which I am and always will be.
- ③ No
- ④ have more behind the wheel time so people can learn to be responsible.
- ⑤ Yes, less accedents and would <sup>make us more</sup> ~~be~~ responsible for our actions.



Kara  
Weinberger

- ① no
- ② no - it's impractical, most people can handle driving at 16 years
- ③ no
- ④ more time ~~past my~~ behind the wheel for driver's ed
- ⑤ possibly - ?
- ⑥ none



1) NO

2) NO because there are some people responsible enough to drive at 16-19.

3) NO

4)

5) NO, people won't listen to the law

6) NO comments



1. Yes
2. Yes because the more experience you have as a driver the better off you will be. Younger people need to take driving more seriously and by restricting their time they can drive and will learn to drive more safely.
3. No!
- 4.
5. No because no matter what the consequences, people will continue to "push" to law as far as they can.
6. Will this law be grandfathered? Will this effect the people that already have their license?



1) NO

2) yes, because that way we won't get blamed for getting ~~in an~~ <sup>in an</sup> accident, if someone else is w/us & so ~~it~~ <sup>it</sup> gives us more time to practice on our own w/o any one else in the car.

3) yes/NO

4) NO, I don't

5) probably not, but maybe. People will think it is a dumb idea & try to get their attention to put it down again.

6) ~~no, I don't~~



1. Yes
2. No, because it just isn't right for the Skomors
3. No
4. There isn't a way
5. No, because a kid is going to do what a kid wants to do
6. Is it going to be grandfathered



1. Yes
2. No - it penalizes those younger responsible drivers, ~~and~~ when there is only a small majority that need stricter rules.
3. No
4. Teens ~~could~~ <sup>should</sup> be forced to undergo more rigorous and challenging courses before getting their licenses. This way you can only get your licence if you've met the hard requirements. Not everyone will meet the requirements.
5. Yes, too many people just get off w/ warnings
6. Will it be grandfathered?



Jamie  
Wichman

- 1.) No
- 2.) No I don't because I believe people aren't going to be safer drivers because they have to go through all these restrictions. Besides how are you going to tell the difference between the people who had/have ~~it~~ there licence & those who go through the graduated drivers licence?
- 3.) No
- 4.) Have the drivers ed class go into more detail.
- 5.) It might help, the people who break the laws obviously don't care if they get ~~in~~ in trouble or not so ~~it~~ it might not help.
- 6.) I think it might/or will cause too many problems if it is passed. Such as = what about the people who already have there licence, how do you tell them from those who went through graduated drivers licence.



Lizzie Narris

(1) No

(2) Yes to some extent - I think it is a little strict but I'd rather walk everywhere until I'm 19 than go through the pain of being killed or someone close to you being killed.

(3) Yes, I don't think most 16 yr olds are ready for the responsibility of driving. I think peer pressure gets to teenagers & that's why they drive recklessly. If they were older hopefully they'd be more mature.

(4) Have a whole semester of drivers ed, stricter driving tests, If caught speeding suspended licence & fine - make sure parents are notified.

(5) Yes, people would be more careful.

(6) ~~There should be a law that says if you are caught speeding you should be fined \$1000 and your license should be suspended for 6 months.~~



1. Yes
2. No, because it will cause more cars on the roads and more accidents if we can't drive with a friend. More people will break the law and drive anyway. ~~because~~
3. No
4. Give a drug test when you give out driver's license. If you fail you must wait.
5. Yes, if we can get into trouble we try to avoid doing these things. The penalties are not harsh enough.

If you ~~are allowed~~ have an appointment for your test, will you be able to take it?



M  
M  
M

- ① Yes
- ② No - Because here not even giving us a chance, even know I already have I still think that it's not right.
- ③ No - Because what ever they take it to it's not going to be that much of an age difference anyway.
- ④ let us drive with friends but maybe not between the hours of 1-5 in the morning
- ⑤ No - Because people will still be dumb and some people will be smart, but there will always be some wierdo out there.
- ⑥ I think that what ever they do wont have much of an effect on the kids.



Natalie March Road

- 1.) No
- 2.) No, because waiting until 19 is way older than you need to be in order to drive. Most teens are responsible at 16+ ready to drive.
- 3.) No, No
- 4.)
  1. make sure get to drive + practice with parent. Doesn't have to be 50 hrs.
- 5.) No, accidents happen not purpose. It's going to happen no matter what age group you're in.

---
- 6.)

What about the

Elderly who are more likely to get in accidents than teens, they lose vision, the way they react.



Lindsey Bueggen

1. NO
2. No It should be our choice as to when we want to drive and if we want to drive wrecklessly or not
3. NO
4. ~~NO~~ Change the test you must take to get your liscence. Make it longer, harder etc.
5. NO because accidents are always going to happen even if there are stricter penalties
6. How do you think this bill will help?



Eric van Buren

No but I have temps

No because I + should be the  
Kids and Parents choose If they want  
to get their licence.

No it would not. because they  
will still be inexperienced.

Don't let them drive at 12 AM. thru. 5 AM

Not really because they would  
put more restriction on the kids  
of who they can and can't drive with  
Like if their going to work.

This bill ~~will~~ would just put a nother  
restriction on teenager every year  
they put restrictions on us it's  
like we are in prison because  
we can't do anything about what  
congress is doing. they keep taking  
our prioliges away for small reasons  
I think this bill should be killed  
for good!



Rallic  
Kumbier

- ① Yes
- ② No, because anybody that have their license now that isn't 18 or older would be affected also.
- ③ No
- ④ allow less points someone can get off taking the tests. Like all the way down to only ten wrong. And make them take the test 3 times like one in the city, Highway, somewhere else.
- ⑤ ~~Yes, Kids would think it~~  
Yes and No, it all depends on the individual person
- ⑥ Is their going to be any grandfathering,  
I really don't like it at all because I have my license all ready. experience cannot always prevent accidents. Even the best drivers have accidents.



Bailey Feder

1. No

2. No, I feel as though it is punishment to those of us ~~who~~ who wouldn't abuse the privilege of having a license.

3. No

1. Require around 10 more hours of behind the wheel with a licensed driver. Then have parents sign something which proves it.

2. No, people would still take risks.

3. What about kids who need a car to get to work? This makes it a lot easier for parents if their kids can drive. Can I call one of you for a ride? Raising the driving age won't make a difference. Driving alone or with friends for the first time will still be exciting no matter what your age + people will still take risks.



1) Yes

2) No, Unfortunately the loss of a child is what made this bill. By trying to punish so many for the mistakes of a few is unconstitutional.

3) No

4)

5) No, Kids in general have a tendency to rebel against set rules anyway. More rules would be worse.

6) Will this affect people that already have their license?

This bill will not bring Kris back.



1. Yes

2. NO because I don't think we need to change the rules now. How many years have we gone with the other rule and know were all of a suddenly changing. It just isn't right.

3. NO

4. Be more strict on the laws. Give tickets and make them more expensive. And kids would learn from them.

5. Yes, kids would learn from them.

6.



Joe  
Bacon

- 1) No
- 2) No, because it is not just teenagers causing problems on the road.
- 3) No
- 4) take licenses away after a certain # of offenses instead of fines.  
(less than now)
- 5) Yes, because people wouldn't do anything wrong <sup>to get</sup> their license taken <sup>away</sup>.
- 6) What about grandfathering if I have my license.



Jackie Teakie

) yes

) no because it's just going to cause more problems.

) no because age doesn't really determine how well someone dives

) Parents should help out more with diving.

) no because there will still be many kids who will still be diving, and who won't get caught.

)



uary  
9

Alissa  
MarSmith

1. No

2. no, people won't be trusted any more so they will feel as if they are being treated like children.

3. no

4.  more driving hours w/instructors

5. yes, they will feel if they screw up they will be in a lot of trouble

6. no



Janya June

- ① No I don't
- ② No I don't think it is fair because I think that it is a little too strict and I don't think we need the graduated license bill. I also think that if the parents don't think that their kids are not mature enough then they shouldn't get their license until their 18.
- ③ Personally I don't think it will help any.
- ④ I think that maybe we should have a longer Driver's Ed period - after school also
- ⑤ I don't think so because we are all rebels and if someone tells us not to do something we'll want to even more.
- ⑥ I don't like the bill at all



Paul  
Chab

- ① NO, my temp
- ② NO, because there will be more cars on the road and maybe more accidents.
- ③ NO
- ④ give them more hours to drive in drivers ed class. So they get a little more experience.
- ⑤ NO because there will still be kids that brake it.
- ⑥ I am already 16 and can't get my licence until my grades get better. will this effect me if I don't get them for a couple months or a year?