

Wisconsin Department of Transportation

Tommy G. Thompson Governor

Charles H. Thompson Secretary

DIVISION OF MOTOR VEHICLES 4802 Sheboygan Avenue P.O. Box 7949 Madison, WI 53707-7949

ASSEMBLY TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE February 24, 2000 Remarks, Carson P. Frazier

AB 667: Seller notification to DMV of selling a vehicle

- Under this bill, vehicle titles would have a tear-off section, which the seller would submit to DMV when selling the vehicle. The notification would show the seller's name and address, the buyer's name and address, the selling price and the sale date. DMV would record this information in the vehicle record. This step would be in addition to the buyer's having to register the vehicle in his or her own name.
- The purpose of this legislation is to protect people who have sold their vehicles, in case the buyer does not register the vehicle in his or her own name, and then the buyer gets parking or moving violations which get sent to the former owner. This problem almost always happens in a "private sale," not in a dealer trade-in, because the dealer is responsible for submitting all the paperwork to DMV in a timely manner. A seller should always make a bill of sale and keep a copy of it, to document sale in case this ever happens to them. And, for all light vehicles, the seller should take the plates off when selling the vehicle.
- For the extra processing and all the mail it would generate, AB 667 has a high price tag. DMV would need to hire 24.3 new permanent positions, for an annual cost of almost \$900,000. Also, there would be a one-time cost of almost \$400,000 to equip those positions and to modify our data processing system to record selling information.
- But, for this significant investment, benefits are not at all certain.
- First, if a former owner gets caught in the situation where the new owner has not registered, and wrongly receives tickets, if the seller documents to the law enforcement agency that the vehicle was sold, the tickets are generally dismissed. This is of course a hassle for the seller to go through, but we don't know if the problem occurs frequently enough to warrant this sizeable public investment.

- Second, we have heard that such a law could have totally unintended consequences. We heard from the DMV in the State of Washington that sometimes individuals will actually harass people by using this law to notify DOT that they have sold a vehicle -- when they really have not -- so traffic tickets will be sent to an unsuspecting person.
- Finally, Wisconsin recently adopted a "mandatory display" law which requires buyers to register vehicles in their own names within 2 business days of buying. When the buyer registers in his or her own name, DMV records are updated to reflect that fact. And, the vehicle has a plate on it which can be tracked to the new owner. This law went into effect in September 1998, so it's only been the law in Wisconsin for a year and a half.
- DOT believes that as the mandatory display law is more well known and enforced, this will virtually solve the problem of former owners getting ticketed for vehicles they no longer own. Even if there is still some non-compliance, the question is whether getting complete compliance is worth the large public expenditure.
- We are currently conducting a statistical study to determine the effectiveness of the mandatory display law. So far, we only have preliminary results from the Madison area, and they are tending to support our contention that the mandatory display law is working.
- We believe we need to give the mandatory display law some more time to work, before we make such a huge investment in staff time and data processing work, for uncertain benefits.

FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM				1999 Session
	LR	3# 99 LR	B-3109/2	
☐ ORIGINAL ☐ UPDATED	INT	RODUCT	ON # 1999 AB	667
CORRECTED SUPPLEM	MENTAL Adn	in. Rule #	~: ,	
Subject the transfer of an interest in a motor vehicle				
Fiscal Effect State: No State Fiscal Effect				
Check columns below only if bill makes a direct app or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.	propriation		Mithin Agency's Budg	y be possible to Absorb let Yes No
	crease Existing Recrease Existing I		Decrease Costs	
Permissive Mandatory	crease Revenues Permissive ecrease Revenue Permissive	Mandatory Mandatory	Towns Villa	ernmental Units Affected: ages Cities aers WTCS Districts
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS	⊠ SEG □ SE		Ch. 20 Appropriations 5)(cq); 20.395(4)(aq)	۵
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:	<u> </u>	0-0 20.000(0	5)(0q), 20.000(+)(0q)	
This bill requires DOT to attach a notice of to may detach, fill out, and forward to DMV with name and address of the seller, name and a signed by the seller. The seller notification to DMV is voluntary in that DMV may not refailed to submit a seller notification to DMV.	thin two days address of the requirement fuse to issue	of sale. The buyer, date of applies to all a new title to	"seller notification" in sale, and sale price vehicle transfers. He the vehicle purchase	form contains the ea, and is to be owever, notification or if the seller has
One-time costs: Significant modifications to creating data elements; modifying several to and showing this information on inquiry scrowner information on the detachable seller 315 days at a cost of \$181,800. Other one-to-to-to-to-to-to-to-to-to-to-to-to-to-	itle/registration reens. Also, notification f	n sub-syster itle print wou orm. Data pro	ns to recognize the n Ild be modified to pre ocessing work is esti	ew data elements; -print vehicle and mated to require
Volume: We believe that most notifications through a dealer), because historically mos in private sales. Because all currently issue notification form" will also be available from private sales and 5% of dealer sales represe process. Based on 1999 title transactions, to	t problems wed titles will not	th the purcha ot have a team for full progn er of people yould be abou	aser not titling the ver- off portion, we assu- am level, this estima who would use the s at 600,000 notification	hicle have occurred ime that a "seller te assumes that all eller notification ns per year.
Annual costs: Based on our staffing study, require 24.3 FTE permanent positions for m \$752,900 salary and fringe benefits. Other a added forms cost, and added postage costs	ail opening a annual ongoi	nd informatio	n processing, for an	annual cost of
Long-Range Fiscal Implications: This estimate assumes DOT could actually and some enacted legislation cannot current to DP system redesign and other project are	ntly be implen	ented. This	project would redired	s extremely limited; et staff committed
Prepared By: / Phone # / Agency Name Carson P. Frazier / 266-7857 / Transportation	Authorized	ignature / Telep	hone No. 266-2233	Date 2/1/00
	7000		,	

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEI		Effect	1999 Sessio
	☐ ORIGINAL ☐ UPDATED LRB # 99 LRB-3109/2		Admin. Ru
	INTRODUCTION # 1999	AB 667	
_Subject transfer of interest in a motor veh	icle		
I. One-time Costs or Revenue I One-time cost of \$384,600: \$18	mpacts for State and/or Local Governm 1,800 for DP modifications plus \$20	ent (do not include in anno 2,800 to equip added po	ualized fiscal effect): sitions
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from	
A. State Costs by Category		Increased Costs Decreased Costs	
State Operations - Salar	ries and Fringes	\$ 752,900	\$ -
(FTE Position Changes)		(24.3 FTE)	(- FTE
State Operations - Other	r Costs	121,200	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Local Assistance			-
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			•
TOTAL State Costs	by Category	\$ 874,100	\$ -
B. State Costs by Source of F	unds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
GPR		\$	\$ -
FED	,		<u>.</u> .
PRO/PRS			-
SEG/SEG-S		874,100	•
	only when proposal will increase or decrease state	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.
revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.) GPR Taxes		As practices	S .
GPR Earned		10 (10 m)	#####################################
FED			-
PRO/PRS			-
SEG/SEG-S			-
TOTAL State Revenues		\$	\$ -
	NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMI STATE	PACT	LOCAL
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$874,100		
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$	\$	
Prepared By: / Phone # / Agency Name Authorized Signature			Date
Carson P. Frazier / 266-7857 / Tra	ansportation /	266-2233	2/1/00

To: All Legislators

From: Representative Mark Pettis

Date: November 19, 1999

Re: LRB 3109/2. Concerning transfers of interest for private motor vehicle transactions.

Under current Wisconsin law, private individuals who sell a motor vehicle are held liable for any damages incurred by that motor vehicle until the Department of Transportation (DOT) receives the certificate of title which has been signed and sent by the purchaser. Resultantly, the seller is placed at considerable disadvantage during the period that the title is in transfer.

One can imagine a scenario where an individual sells a motor vehicle, and the purchaser begins to drive the vehicle around after the purchase. Yet, the buyer does not send the title to the DOT immediately after he receives and signs it. During the delay, the buyer gets into an accident with their newly purchased vehicle. The seller, at that point, is liable for all of the resulting damages due to the procrastination of the buyer.

LRB 3109/2 is meant to lessen the risks inherent in the process of a motor vehicle sale. Under this bill, a detachable notice of interest form would be attached to certificates of title. Upon the sale of a motor vehicle, the owner and purchaser would each sign their names and addresses, the amount paid for the vehicle, and the date of the transaction. The seller of the vehicle would then be required to send that notice of transfer of interest to the DOT within two business days after the transaction. That will minimize the risks of liability for the sellers of these motor vehicles. They will be in control of the matter, instead of relying upon the buyer.

This bill will not affect transfers by motor vehicle dealers or transfers of salvage vehicles. It is primarily intended for the transfer of motor vehicles by private individuals.

If you would like to co-sponsor LRB 3109/2, please contact my office at 7-2365 by December 7th, 1999.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, upon transferring an interest in a motor vehicle, the owner is required to execute an assignment and warranty of title to the purchaser of the motor vehicle and deliver the certificate of title to the purchaser. The owner must also disclose the motor vehicle's mileage in writing to the purchaser and, in most cases, remove the registration plates from the motor vehicle. After an owner complies with these requirements, the owner is no longer liable as an owner for any damages that result from operation of the motor vehicle.

Current law also requires the purchaser of a motor vehicle to forward the transferred certificate of title with an application for a new certificate of title to the department of transportation (DOT). A purchaser must also apply within two business days after the transfer of interest in the motor vehicle to DOT for temporary registration plates.

Under this bill, DOT must attach a notice of transfer of interest to each certificate of title it issues after the effective date of this bill. The notice must be easily detachable from the certificate of title and include spaces for the signatures of the owner, the names and addresses of the owner and purchaser, the date of the transfer of interest and the amount paid by the purchaser. This bill requires the owner of a motor vehicle who transfers an interest in a motor vehicle for which a certificate of title was issued by DOT after the effective date of this bill to forward to DOT a completed notice of transfer of interest within two business days after the transfer of interest. This bill does not apply to transfers of salvage vehicles or transfers by motor vehicle dealers.

į

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.