# Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

$\boxtimes$	Original		Updated	Corr	ected	Supple	emental		
LRB	Number	01-1076/2		Introducti	on Number	SB-128			
Subjec	et								
Requir	e insurance	coverage of pres	scribed contra	ceptives		1			
Fiscal	Effect								
	No State Fisc ndeterminate Increase I Appropria Decrease Appropria Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Rever Decre Rever	ease Existing	Increase Co absorb withi Ye Decrease C	in agency's b			
	Indeterminat 1. Increas Permiss 2. Decrea	e Costs sive 🛛 Mandato	ry Permi 4. Decre	se Revenue ssive Mandatory ase Revenue ssive Mandatory	5.Types of Loc Units Affecte Towns Counties School Districts	d ⊠Village	Cities		
Fund S	Sources Affe PR 🛛 FED		PRS 🛛	SEG 🛛 SEGS	Affected Ch. 20	Appropriatio	ns		
Agenc	y/Prepared	Ву		Authorized Signa	ture		Date		
ETF/ P	am Henning	(608) 267-2929	i	Dave Hinrichs (608	ve Hinrichs (608) 266-3763 4/12/01				

### Fiscal Estimate Narratives ETF 4/13/01

LRB Number <b>01-1076/2</b>	Introduction Number	SB-128	Estimate Type	Original					
Subject									
Require insurance coverage of prescribed contraceptives									

#### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

SB 128 would require health insurance plans offered by the Group Insurance Board to state and local government employees to provide benefits for contraceptive articles and services, if approved by the federal Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and prescribed by a licensed health care provider.

Currently, the State's plans provide benefits for most contraceptive services and articles, including birth control pills, Depo-Provera, diaphragms and elective sterilizations. However, the bill appears to require coverage for contraceptives not currently covered, including implants (e.g., Norplant). In addition, new products are being introduced which may or may not be covered under existing benefit provisions, including other forms of injectible contraceptives that are not currently listed in the benefit plan. This estimate also assumes that products based on RU 486 will not be covered since they require knowledge of an existing pregnancy prior to use, and therefore, appear to be excluded under the bill.

After consulting with the actuary, the Department expects the costs not to exceed \$0.14 per member per month. This will increase the State's annual premium by \$258,500 (\$0.14 x 12 months x 157,000 members x the State's contribution of 98% of total cost). (\$258,500 = \$118,900 GPR and \$139,600 all other funds).

#### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

On-going.

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental			
LRB	Number	01-1076/	2	Intro	duction No	umber	SB-128			
<b>Subjec</b> Require		coverage of pr	escribed con	traceptives						
	time Costs lized fiscal (		mpacts for S	itate and/or L	ocal Govern	nment (do I	not include in			
II. Ann	ualized Cos	ts:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:					
					Increased Co	osts	Decreased Costs			
A. Stat	e Costs by	Category								
State	e Operations	- Salaries and	d Fringes	Star -		\$				
(FTE	Position Ch	nanges)								
State	e Operations	- Other Costs			·					
Loca	I Assistance			100	tar transfer					
Aids	to Individua	ls or Organiza	tions							
TO	OTAL State	Costs by Cat	egory			\$	\$			
B. Stat	te Costs by	Source of Fu	nds							
GPF	}			. 1077						
FED	. ¥									
PRC	/PRS									
SEG	/SEG-S									
III. Sta (e.g., t	te Revenue: ax increase	s - Complete t , decrease in	this only wh license fee,	en proposal ets.)			se state revenues			
					Increased	Rev	Decreased Rev			
GPF	Taxes					\$	\$			
GPF	R Earned									
FED										
	)/PRS									
SEG	/SEG-S									
T	OTAL State	Revenues				\$	\$			
			NET ANNUA	ALIZED FISC						
						<u>tate</u>	Local			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS					\$See Narra		\$			
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE				·	\$	\$				
Agenc	y/Prepared	Ву	•	Authorized	Signature		Date			
ETF/ Pam Henning (608) 267-2929 Dav					ve Hinrichs (608) 266-3763 4/12/0					