



State of Wisconsin • DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

2135 RIMROCK ROAD • P.O. BOX 8933 • MADISON, WISCONSIN 53708-8933 • 608-266-6466 • FAX 608-266-5718 • http://www.dor.state.wi.us

Scott McCallum
Governor

Richard G. Chandler
Secretary of Revenue

Assembly Committee on Ways and Means, February 20, 2002

AB 768 and AB 819 – Internal Revenue Code Update

Assembly Bill 768 (and its companion, Senate Bill 407) would adopt for Wisconsin individual income and corporate income and franchise tax purposes all the provisions but one of the federal Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act (EGTRRA) of 2001.

The broad goals of EGTRRA, signed into law in June 2001, were to encourage persons to save for retirement and education and to increase flexibility for persons wishing to move their retirement savings among different savings vehicles.

AB 819 would also adopt the same EGTRRA provisions and in addition would adopt provisions of three federal laws enacted during 2000, but not yet adopted for Wisconsin purposes. These are the Federal Sales Corporation Repeal and Extraterritorial Income Exclusion Act (FSCRA), the Community Renewal Tax Relief Act (CRTRA), which was incorporated into the Consolidated Appropriations Act, and the Installment Tax Correction Act (ITCA). The provisions of AB 819 are also included in the governor's budget adjustment bill.

Both AB 768 and AB 819 would reduce tax revenues by an estimated \$8.55 million in FY02. In FY03, AB 768, adopting only EGTRRA, would reduce revenues by \$19.4 million; AB 819, adopting EGTRRA and the laws enacted in 2000, would reduce tax revenues by \$24.35 million. (A summary of the federal provisions with a fiscal impact that would be adopted by either of these bills is attached.)

The measures of income of Wisconsin's income and franchise taxes closely conform to the bases of the federal individual and corporate income taxes. Conformity to federal law eases the tax compliance burden for taxpayers; it also eases the administration of taxes. The state maintains conformity to federal law by updating annually the statutory references to the Internal Revenue Code in effect through December 31 of the previous year. This permits the state to incorporate into its tax law any changes made to federal law in the prior year.

Changes in pensions and IRAs enacted in EGTRRA include:

- Increased contribution limits for IRAs, from \$2,000 under previous law to \$3,000 in 2002, rising to \$5,000 in 2008. There will be even higher limits to allow catch-up contributions by persons age 50 or older: \$3,500 in 2002, rising to \$6,000 in 2008.

- Increased contribution and benefit limits, increased deferral limits and other expansion in retirement plan coverage. For example, the limit on elective deferrals will increase to \$11,000 in 2002, rising to \$15,000. Previously, the limit was \$10,500 for sec. 401(k) plans and \$8,500 for sec. 457 government plans. As with IRAs, persons age 50 and older will be allowed to make catch-up contributions, for most plans an additional \$1,000 in 2002, rising to \$5,000 in 2006.
- Increased portability, including new and expanded rollover opportunities, for participants in retirement savings plans. For instance, there will be increased opportunities for rollovers between government plans, and other plans and IRAs.

Both pensions and IRAs are widely used vehicles for retirement and education savings. An estimated 40% of American households own an IRA; in Wisconsin, this translates into approximately 850,000 households. Of these, an estimated 325,000 make IRA contributions annually. In addition, about two-thirds of American workers are eligible for participation in an employer-sponsored retirement plan and more than 80% of these actually do participate. Applying these percentages to Wisconsin, more than 1.9 million workers are eligible for these plans and nearly 1.6 million workers participate in them.

If Wisconsin does not adopt the new federal provisions, contribution limits for Wisconsin tax and federal tax purposes will be different. In particular, some contributions to IRAs or pension plans that are not taxable for federal purposes will be subject to state income tax. Persons making these contributions will need to make adjustments to federal adjusted gross income to determine the correct adjusted gross income for Wisconsin purposes. Further, contributions exceeding the limits prescribed for Wisconsin purposes, will represent "excess contributions" subject to a 2% penalty each year the amount remains an excess contribution—even though they are allowable under federal law.

The difference between federal allowable and Wisconsin allowable contributions will continue long beyond the current year. Individuals will have to keep records of excess contributions and earnings on them for as long as they have the account for two reasons. First, they will be subject to tax annually on any earnings on excess contributions, so they will need to know what portion of earnings are attributable to excess contributions. Second, when a distribution is made from an account into which excess contributions were made, these taxpayers will have to determine the portion of the distribution previously taxed by Wisconsin and thus not taxable in the year of distribution.

For example, EGTRRA raises the contribution to a traditional IRA from \$2,000 to \$3,000. The contributor is allowed to deduct the \$3,000 from income and earnings on the full \$3,000 are not taxable until withdrawn. Unless Wisconsin adopts this higher contribution limit, \$1,000 of the contribution would be considered an excess contribution, with these consequences:

- the contributor would have to add the \$1,000 back to income for Wisconsin tax purposes, and pay tax on that amount,

- the \$1,000 would be subject to a 2%, or \$20, penalty in the year of contribution and each subsequent year that the amount is considered an excess contribution, and
- in each year the amount is considered an excess contribution, the contributor would have to report the earnings on the excess amount as income and pay tax on those earnings.
- In years when distributions are received from the account, the full distribution will be includible in income subject to federal tax, but the portion of the distribution attributable to the \$1,000 "excess contribution" and earnings on that amount will not be includible in Wisconsin taxable income.

Financial institutions and plan administrators can also be expected to keep separate records on contributions in excess of the limit for Wisconsin tax purposes so that they can inform plan participants of the earnings on excess contributions. While Wisconsin law does not require financial institutions and plan administrators to provide this information, plan participants will need it and likely will expect financial institutions and plan administrators to provide it. A participant will need this information as long as the participant has an account.

Further, employers will be required to submit a W-2 for Wisconsin that shows the amount of any retirement plan contribution that is considered income for Wisconsin and the Wisconsin tax withheld from that amount.

Finally, if the EGTRRA provisions are not adopted, it is likely that a retirement plan that is considered a qualified plan under federal law may be considered a nonqualified plan by Wisconsin. In that case, the plan's income would be subject to Wisconsin tax. Federal law now follows EGTRRA in determining whether a plan is qualified, while Wisconsin law, if it does not adopt EGTRRA, would continue to follow 1999 federal law. There are irreconcilable differences between 1999 federal law and current federal law as to what is considered a qualified plan.

In addition to revising pension and IRA laws, EGTRRA also increased the exclusion for employer-provided adoption assistance, permanently extended and expanded the exclusion for employer-provided educational assistance, raised the range over which the student loan interest deduction is phased out, and raised the alternative minimum tax exemptions.

The single EGTRRA provision that would not be adopted for Wisconsin tax purposes under either AB 768 or AB 819 (and the budget adjustment bill) is a deduction for higher education expenses. Wisconsin already provides a deduction for up to \$3,000 in tuition paid to post-secondary institutions located in Wisconsin or covered under Minnesota-Wisconsin tuition reciprocity.

Not adopting the federal deduction will complicate tax filing for the approximately 70,000 persons eligible for both the current Wisconsin deduction and the new federal deduction. First, they would have to recalculate federal adjusted gross income (FAGI) on Wisconsin Schedule I by adding the federal deduction back to income. This change might have further impacts on the calculation of tax. For example, the Wisconsin itemized deductions credit is based on federal itemized deductions and some of those deductions are limited by FAGI. Thus, when FAGI is changed, those itemized deductions must also be recomputed. Later, these taxpayers would subtract the same tuition expenses from recalculated FAGI in determining Wisconsin adjusted gross income.

If Wisconsin was to adopt the new federal deduction, it should disallow the Wisconsin deduction for anyone claiming the federal deduction. This would prevent some taxpayers from deducting the same expenses twice and other taxpayers from deducting more than the \$3,000 intended by either federal or Wisconsin law. The revenue loss under this approach is estimated to be \$2 million in FY02 and \$3.5 million in FY03.

AB 768 also provides an exception for an estate tax exclusion for natural resource easements. However, this exception is not necessary because Chapter 72 of the statutes, as amended by 2001 Wisconsin Act 16, tied the Wisconsin estate tax to the federal law in effect on December 31, 2000, for deaths occurring after September 30, 2002, and before January 1, 2008.

The federal laws enacted in 2000 were not adopted for state purposes during 2001. The provisions of the FSCRA, CRTRA and ITCA were included in enrolled Senate Bill 55, the state budget bill, but vetoed by the governor because the bill inadvertently adopted three provisions that the legislature had intended to exclude. These provisions extended the expiration dates of deductions for certain environmental remediation expenses and for contributions of computer technology to schools and libraries, and provided an exclusion for extraterritorial income to replace foreign sales corporation provisions. Subsequent legislation to adopt these federal laws, AB 506 and SB 246, was not enacted.

- > Allow cities to take full adv of recent tax changes
 - > simplify tax filing sys.
 - > simplify tax admin
-

AB 268 "Ess"

AB 617 "Eggs" +

> update Wis tax code to reflect changes in fed code.

> standard practice to wait for the following calendar year to make the changes

> Enhance retirement saving opportunities

> Do not do update, tax payers will face degraded tax disadvantages including potential tax penalties if filing taxes utilizing provisions in fed tax code that were not adopted by the state.

> Neighboring states all have made Δ or are in the process of doing so.

Internal Revenue Code Update Provisions with Fiscal Impact on Wisconsin

The governor's budget adjustment bill and Assembly Bill 768 and Assembly Bill 819 include provisions that would adopt for Wisconsin individual income and corporate income and franchise tax purposes the provisions of the federal Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act (EGTRRA) enacted in 2001. Adopting these federal tax provisions would reduce Wisconsin tax revenues by \$8.55 million in FY02 and \$19.4 million in FY03.

The budget adjustment bill and Assembly Bill 819 would also include provisions adopting the Federal Sales Corporation Repeal and Extraterritorial Income Exclusion Act (FSCRA), the Community Renewal Tax Relief Act (CRTRA), which was incorporated into the Consolidated Appropriations Act, and the Installment Tax Correction Act (ITCA), all enacted in 2000. Adopting these provisions would further reduce tax revenues by \$4.95 million in FY03. The sole provision not being recommended for adoption is the deduction for higher education expenses in EGTRRA. Wisconsin already provides a deduction targeted to tuition at the state's institutions of higher education.

Provisions of EGTRRA included in AB 768, AB 819 and the Budget Adjustment Bill

- 1) Increase the exclusion for employer-provided adoption assistance from \$5,000 to \$10,000 per eligible child. (-\$0.05 million in FY02, -\$0.20 million in FY03)
- 2) Increase the maximum annual contribution to an individual retirement account (IRA) from \$2,000 to \$3,000 in 2002-2004, \$4,000 in 2005-2007 and \$5,000 in 2008 and thereafter for persons younger than age 50, and to \$3,500 in 2002-2004, \$4,500 in 2004, \$5,000 in 2006-2007 and \$6,000 in 2008 and thereafter for persons age 50 and older. (-\$2.25 million in FY02, -\$5.95 million in FY03)
- 3) Increase the alternative minimum tax exemption amounts by \$4,000 for married couples filing jointly and by \$2,000 for other filers beginning in tax year 2001. (-\$0.20 million in FY03)
- 4) Extend permanently the exclusion for employer-provided educational assistance, which had been scheduled to expire on December 31, 2001, and expand the exclusion to expenses for graduate-level courses. (-\$2.70 million in FY02, -\$4.65 million in FY03)
- 5) Increase the annual contribution limit on education IRAs from \$500 to \$2,000 and the income range over which the deduction is phased out for married couples filing jointly from federal adjusted gross income (FAGI) of \$150,000 to \$160,000 to income of \$190,000 to \$220,000. (-\$1.05 million in FY02, -\$2.25 million in FY03)
- 6) Increase the income ranges for phasing out the student loan interest deduction, with indexing for inflation after 2002. New ranges are \$100,000 to \$130,000 for married couples filing jointly and \$50,000 to \$65,000 for other filers. (-\$0.90 million in FY02, -\$1.55 million in FY03)

- 7) Increase the contribution limits on defined contribution plans and elective deferrals on a variety of other retirement savings plans. For defined contributions plans, contributions limits increase from \$35,000 to \$40,000 in 2002 and are indexed for inflation thereafter. For sec. 401(k) plans, sec. 403(b) annuities, and sec. 408(k) simplified employee pensions (SEP) plans, the maximum elective deferral is increased from \$10,500 to \$11,000 in 2002 and by \$1,000 each year thereafter until the maximum reaches \$15,000 in 2006, and then is indexed for inflation. These same elective deferral limits are allowed for sec. 457 tax-exempt organization and government plan, raised from the previous \$8,500. The maximum elective deferrals under savings incentive match plan for employees plans is increased from \$6,500 to \$7,000 in 2002 and by \$1,000 each year thereafter until the limit reaches \$10,000 in 2005, and then is indexed for inflation. In addition, the compensation limit for employer deduction rules and for nondiscrimination tests for sec. 408(k) salary reduction SEPs, sec. 501(c)(9) voluntary employee benefit associations and sec. 501(c)(17)(A) supplemental unemployment benefit trusts is increased from \$170,000 to \$200,000 and indexed for inflation after 2002. (-\$0.40 million in FY02, -\$1.50 million in FY03)
- 8) Increase the annual benefit limit under defined benefit plans from \$140,000 to \$160,000, indexed for inflation after 2002. (-\$0.10 million in FY02, -\$0.25 million in FY03)
- 9) Increase the dollar limits on elective deferrals under several types of plans for persons age 50 and older. The additional catch-up contribution allowed for sec. 401(k)(11) or SIMPLE plans is \$500 in 2002, \$1,000 in 2003, \$1,500 in 2004, \$2,000 in 2005 and \$2,500 in 2006. For other plans, the additional amount is \$1,000 in 2002, \$2,000 in 2003, \$3,000 in 2004, \$4,000 in 2005 and \$5,000 in 2006. The additional deferrals for all plans are indexed for inflation after 2006. (-\$0.50 million in FY02, -\$1.20 million in FY03)
- 10) Increase the contribution limit to 100% of compensation for defined contribution plans, tax-sheltered annuities and government plans. (-\$0.20 million in FY02, -\$0.40 million in FY03)
- 11) Eliminate the requirement that government and tax-exemption plan participants coordinate deferrals with contributions to other plans. (-\$0.05 million in FY02, -\$0.15 million in FY03)
- 12) Increase the amount of deductible contributions by employers for defined contribution and SEP plans from 15% to 25% of contributions, and for money purchase plans to 25% of compensation or the amount required by sec. 401(k)(11), whichever is greater. (-\$0.10 in FY03)
- 13) Exclude from determination of employer deduction limits the salary reduction contributions elected by the employee to a cash or deferred arrangement under a sec. 401(k) plan, a salary reduction simplified employee pension (SARSEP), a tax-sheltered annuity or a SIMPLE account. (-\$0.20 million in FY02, -\$0.40 million in FY03)
- 14) Clarify that an employer's determination that a contribution to a multi-employer plan is on account of a prior year is not a change in accounting method resulting in an adjustment in the employer's taxable income. (-\$0.05 million in FY03)
- 15) Increase the applicable percentage of current liability for the full-funding limit for defined benefit pension plans to 165% in 2002 and 170% in 2003, and repealing the percentage thereafter (previously, 160% in 2002, 165% in 2003-2004 and 170% thereafter). With repeal of this percentage, the full-funding limit will be the excess of the plan's accrued liability over the value of the plan's assets. (-\$0.05 million in FY02, -\$0.10 million in FY03)

- 16) Expand the exemption from prohibition for loans between a qualified plan and disqualified persons to sole proprietors, partners with an ownership interest exceeding 10% and S corporation employees or officers with an ownership interest exceeding 5%. (-\$0.10 million in FY02, -\$0.15 million in FY03)
- 17) Allow rollovers from government plans and tax-sheltered annuities to other retirement plans, and from these other plans to government plans and tax-sheltered annuities. (+\$0.10 million in FY02)
- 18) Expand the deduction by corporations of dividends paid to an employee stock ownership plan and reinvested in qualified employer securities. (-\$0.10 million in FY02, -\$0.25 million in FY03)
- 19) Change the definition of top-heavy plan and key employee to limit the application of top-heavy rules that restrict the concentration of retirement plan benefit to key employees. (-\$0.05 million in FY03)

Provisions of FSCRA, CRTRA and ITCA Included in AB 819 and Budget Adjustment Bill

- 1) Extend from December 31, 2000, to December 31, 2003, the expiration for an election to immediately deduct otherwise depreciable environmental remediation expenditures. (-\$1.25 million in FY03)
- 2) Expand the special deduction for contributions of computer equipment to elementary and secondary schools and libraries and extend the deduction's expiration from December 31, 2000, to December 31, 2003. (-\$1.10 million in FY03)
- 3) Reduce the basis of stock received in tax-free exchanges by the amount of liability assumed in the exchange, but not below fair market value. (+\$0.20 million in FY03)
- 4) Repeal the foreign sales corporation provisions, enacting in their place an exclusion for extraterritorial income. (-\$2.80 million in FY03)

AB 768 and AB 819
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
February 20, 2002

Testimony of Department of Employee Trust Funds
Pam Henning, Legislative Liaison

Thank you Representative Lehman and Committee members for this opportunity to testify in support of AB 768 and AB 819. Passage of both AB 768 and AB 819 have a significant impact on the operations of both the Wisconsin Retirement System (WRS) and the Wisconsin Deferred Compensation Program (WDC).

As you are aware, AB 768 and AB 819 contain pension and individual retirement provisions of the federal Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 that was signed into law by President Bush last June. The EGTRRA legislation was the result of many years of work from numerous private and public pension organizations and administrators and overwhelmingly provides the most significant public pension changes in history to allow individuals to save for retirement. This legislation increased deferral limits, allowed rollovers to and from various plans, provided new catch-up contribution deferrals for those age 50 and older, removed contribution coordination between different types of plans and provided more flexibility in distribution options for Section 457 deferred compensation plans.

Our Department has been unable to implement the EGTRRA provisions due to our reference in Chapter 40 to Wisconsin income tax laws that currently conforms to federal Internal Revenue Code (IRC) as of December 31, 1999. For those provisions under EGTRRA that are federally mandated (e.g., rollovers, coordination between plans repealed, etc.), ETF has been informing our participants that they may be subject to state income tax on the amount of a distribution that is rolled over or the contribution over the pre-EGTRRA limit if state law is not amended to comply with the federal legislation. The provisions of the new federal tax law that are not mandatory have not been implemented as of yet by the WRS or WDC.

If state law is not amended to comply with the federal legislation, the WRS and WDC will encounter numerous administrative difficulties, including tracking and reporting requirements, as well as potentially jeopardize the tax qualification status of these benefit plans. Non-conformance also creates serious complications in terms of information and education to participants on possible tax consequences.

We strongly encourage the Committee to support this legislation to bring Wisconsin income tax laws into conformance with the federal IRC. As a result, state and local government employees will have long awaited opportunities for enhancing their retirement savings. Thank you for your time and consideration. I'd be happy to answer any questions you may have.



CARRIE A. HARTGEN
LEGISLATIVE DIRECTOR
STATE RELATIONS
carriehartgen@acll.com

February 19, 2002

Sent via Facsimile 608-282-3658 and Federal Express

The Honorable Michael Lehman
103 West, State Capitol
P.O. Box 8952
Madison, WI 53708

RE: Assembly Bills 768 and 819

Dear Representative Lehman:

On behalf of the American Council of Life Insurers (ACLI), I am writing to express our support for Assembly Bills 768 and 819, which, among other things, contain conforming provisions necessary to implement the federal Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act (EGTRRA) of 2001. This legislation included major changes to encourage retirement savings, including individual retirement annuities, increased limits for contributions to IRA's, 401(k) plans and deferred compensation plans, along with other changes. These changes will encourage individuals to contribute additional resources to their retirement savings.

While we realize that Wisconsin, like many other states, is facing a significant revenue shortfall, we hope the state will make retirement savings and planning a priority. If no conforming legislation is adopted, Wisconsin citizens would be at a disadvantage by not being able to take advantage of the new savings opportunities presented by EGTRRA. Furthermore, having different retirement savings provisions for federal and state income tax purposes would pose a large burden on retirement systems and their participants, creating mass confusion and uncertainty.

ACLI is the nation's largest life insurance trade association, representing 399 insurance companies who account for approximately 76 percent of the life insurance in force and 75% of annuity considerations in the United States. ACLI has 334 member companies licensed to do business in Wisconsin, who account for over 65 percent of the ordinary life insurance in force and 70% of annuity considerations in the state.

Again, ACLI supports Assembly Bills 768 and 819 and other measures which include full EGTRRA conformity and urges the Assembly Ways and Means Committee to take prompt action to ensure that Wisconsin citizens have full access to the benefits of these new savings opportunities.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads 'Carrie A. Hartgen'.

Carrie A. Hartgen

cc: Tom Ourada, Dept. of Revenue

101 CONSTITUTION AVENUE, N.W., SUITE 700
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001-2133
(202) 624-2313 FACSIMILE (202) 572-4770 www.acli.com



**DEWITT
ROSS & STEVENS^{SC}**
LAW FIRM

Capitol Square Office
Two East Mifflin Street
Suite 600
Madison, WI 53703-2865
Fax 608-252-9243
Tel. 608-255-8891

West Office
Firststar Financial Centre
8000 Excelsior Drive, Suite 401
Madison, WI 53717-1914
Fax 608-831-2106
Tel. 608-831-2100

Please respond to: West Office
Direct Line: 608-828-0766
Email: bla@dewittross.com

February 18, 2002

By Facsimile 282-3658 and First Class Mail

Representative Michael A. Lehman
Chairperson, Committee on Ways and Means
State Capitol, 103 West
P. O. Box 8952
Madison, WI 53708

RE: Support of AB 768 and AB 819 (EGTRRA Retirement-Plan Provisions)

Dear Representative Lehman:

I currently chair the Employee Benefits Committee of the Business Law Section of the State Bar of Wisconsin. The Employee Benefits Committee consists of approximately 70 attorneys who practice law in Wisconsin on matters relating to individual retirement accounts (IRAs) and employer-sponsored retirement plans such as 401(k) plans and pension plans.

I understand that the Committee on Ways and Means, which you chair, is scheduled to hold a public hearing on February 20, 2002, regarding 2001 Assembly Bills 768 and 819. I am unable to be present for the public hearing but I hereby submit written comments by means of this letter.

I support both Assembly Bills 768 and 819 because both contain provisions that would adopt, for income and franchise tax purposes, the changes to the federal Internal Revenue Code made by the individual income, pension, and IRA provisions of the federal Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 (P.L. 107-16) (EGTRRA). My support is personal (as an attorney who practices in the area of retirement and employee benefits) and not on behalf of the Employee Benefits Committee, which is prevented by State Bar rules from supporting or opposing legislative actions. Nevertheless, I have spoken to many members of the Employee Benefits Committee who likewise support both bills for the reasons set forth below.

Representative Michael A. Lehman
February 18, 2002
Page 2

EGTRRA made important increases to the amounts that can be contributed to retirement plans on a tax-favorable basis. Individuals at all income levels will want to take advantage of EGTRRA and set aside more money for retirement. Likewise, employers (both large and small) will want to take advantage of EGTRRA and make increased contributions to their tax-qualified retirement plans (and amend the plans to permit increased contributions by employees).

If the Wisconsin income and franchise tax laws are not amended to incorporate the EGTRRA changes, then the increased contributions will be subject to Wisconsin income taxes and thereby inhibited. A failure to incorporate the EGTRRA changes would likely lead to a public perception that Wisconsin is slow and behind the rest of the country in terms of encouraging retirement plan savings.

Also, if the Wisconsin tax laws are not amended, then the administrators of tax-qualified retirement plans will have to account separately for the contributions that are pre-tax for both federal and Wisconsin purposes and the contributions that are pre-tax only for federal purposes. The separate accounting would be necessary because distributions from the plans would be taxed differently for federal purposes than for Wisconsin purposes. Separate accounting would be unduly burdensome, especially for plans whose participants are from many states.

The increases made by EGTRRA (which should be adopted by Wisconsin) include:

1. Regular Contributions to IRAs. Before EGTRRA, the amount that an individual could contribute to an IRA each year was limited to \$2,000. EGTRRA increased the limit to \$3,000 for 2002 to 2004, \$4,000 for 2005 to 2007, \$5,000 for 2008, and an indexed amount thereafter.
2. "Catch-Up" Contributions to IRAs. Before EGTRRA, the IRA-contribution limit was no different for a younger individual than it was for an older individual. EGTRRA enables an individual who is at least 50

Representative Michael A. Lehman
February 18, 2002
Page 3

years old to contribute an extra \$500 for 2002 to 2005 and an extra \$1,000 for 2006 to 2010.

3. Regular Contributions to 401(k) Plans. Before EGTRRA, the amount that an individual could contribute to a 401(k) plan each year was limited to an indexed amount (\$10,500 in 2001). EGTRRA increased the limit to \$11,000 for 2002, \$12,000 for 2003, \$13,000 for 2004, \$14,000 for 2005, \$15,000 for 2006, and an indexed amount thereafter.
4. "Catch-Up" Contributions to 401(k) Plans. Before EGTRRA, the 401(k)-plan contribution limit was no different for a younger individual than it was for an older individual. EGTRRA enables an individual who is at least 50 years old to contribute an extra \$1,000 for 2002, \$2,000 for 2003, \$3,000 for 2004, \$4,000 for 2005, \$5,000 for 2006, and an indexed amount thereafter.
5. Employer Contributions. Before EGTRRA, an employer's contribution to a 401(k) plan or other profit-sharing plan was limited to an amount equal to 15% of employee compensation (excluding employee salary deferrals). EGTRRA changed the limit to 25% of employee compensation (including employee salary deferrals).

Please contact me if you would like more information about the EGTRRA changes or more explanation of why Wisconsin should adopt those changes.

Sincerely,

DEWITT ROSS & STEVENS s.c.



Brian L. Anderson

BLA:pje

cc: Employee Benefits Committee

Internal Revenue Code Update Provisions of Budget Adjustment Bill: Summary

The governor's budget adjustment bill includes provisions that would adopt for Wisconsin individual income and corporate income and franchise tax purposes the provisions of federal laws enacted during 2000 and 2001. Adopting these federal tax provisions would reduce Wisconsin tax revenues by \$8.55 million in FY02 and \$24.35 million in FY03.

Federal laws that would be adopted include the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act (EGTRRA) enacted in 2001, and the Federal Sales Corporation Repeal and Extraterritorial Income Exclusion Act (FSCRA), the Community Renewal Tax Relief Act (CRTRA), which was incorporated into the Consolidated Appropriations Act, and the Installment Tax Correction Act (ITCA), all enacted in 2000. The sole provision not being recommended for adoption is the deduction for higher education expenses in EGTRRA. Wisconsin already provides a deduction targeted to tuition at the state's institutions of higher education.

Provisions with a substantive fiscal effect would:

- 1) Increase the exclusion for employer-provided adoption assistance from \$5,000 to \$10,000 per eligible child. (-\$0.05 million in FY02, -\$0.20 million in FY03)
- 2) Increase the maximum annual contribution to an individual retirement account (IRA) from \$2,000 to \$3,000 in 2002-2004, \$4,000 in 2005-2007 and \$5,000 in 2008 and thereafter for persons younger than age 50, and to \$3,500 in 2002-2004, \$4,500 in 2004, \$5,000 in 2006-2007 and \$6,000 in 2008 and thereafter for persons age 50 and older. (-\$2.25 million in FY02, -\$5.95 million in FY03)
- 3) Increase the alternative minimum tax exemption amounts by \$4,000 for married couples filing jointly and by \$2,000 for other filers beginning in tax year 2001. (-\$0.20 million in FY03)
- 4) Extend permanently the exclusion for employer-provided educational assistance, which had been scheduled to expire on December 31, 2001, and expand the exclusion to expenses for graduate-level courses. (-\$2.70 million in FY02, -\$4.65 million in FY03)
- 5) Increase the annual contribution limit on education IRAs from \$500 to \$2,000 and the income range over which the deduction is phased out for married couples filing jointly from federal adjusted gross income (FAGI) of \$150,000 to \$160,000 to income of \$190,000 to \$220,000. (-\$1.05 million in FY02, -\$2.25 million in FY03)
- 6) Increase the income ranges for phasing out the student loan interest deduction, with indexing for inflation after 2002. New ranges are \$100,000 to \$130,000 for married couples filing jointly and \$50,000 to \$65,000 for other filers. (-\$0.90 million in FY02, -\$1.55 million in FY03)
- 7) Extend from December 31, 2000, to December 31, 2003, the expiration for an election to immediately deduct otherwise depreciable environmental remediation expenditures. (-\$1.25 million in FY03)

- 8) Expand the special deduction for contributions of computer equipment to elementary and secondary schools and libraries and extend the deduction's expiration from December 31, 2000, to December 31, 2003. (-\$1.10 million in FY03)
- 9) Reduce the basis of stock received in tax-free exchanges by the amount of liability assumed in the exchange, but not below fair market value. (+\$0.20 million in FY03)
- 10) Repeal the foreign sales corporation provisions, enacting in their place an exclusion for extraterritorial income. (-\$2.80 million in FY03)
- 11) Increase the contribution limits on defined contribution plans and elective deferrals on a variety of other retirement savings plans. For defined contributions plans, contributions limits increase from \$35,000 to \$40,000 in 2002 and are indexed for inflation thereafter. For sec. 401(k) plans, sec. 403(b) annuities, and sec. 408(k) simplified employee pensions (SEP) plans, the maximum elective deferral is increased from \$10,500 to \$11,000 in 2002 and by \$1,000 each year thereafter until the maximum reaches \$15,000 in 2006, and then is indexed for inflation. These same elective deferral limits are allowed for sec. 457 tax-exempt organization and government plan, raised from the previous \$8,500. The maximum elective deferrals under savings incentive match plan for employees plans is increased from \$6,500 to \$7,000 in 2002 and by \$1,000 each year thereafter until the limit reaches \$10,000 in 2005, and then is indexed for inflation. In addition, the compensation limit for employer deduction rules and for nondiscrimination tests for sec. 408(k) salary reduction SEPs, sec. 501(c)(9) voluntary employee benefit associations and sec. 501(c)(17)(A) supplemental unemployment benefit trusts is increased from \$170,000 to \$200,000 and indexed for inflation after 2002. (-\$0.40 million in FY02, -\$1.50 million in FY03).
- 12) Increase the annual benefit limit under defined benefit plans from \$140,000 to \$160,000, indexed for inflation after 2002. (-\$0.40 million in FY02, -\$1.50 million in FY03)
- 13) Increase the dollar limits on elective deferrals under several types of plans for persons age 50 and older. The additional catch-up contribution allowed for sec. 401(k)(11) or SIMPLE plans is \$500 in 2002, \$1,000 in 2003, \$1,500 in 2004, \$2,000 in 2005 and \$2,500 in 2006. For other plans, the additional amount is \$1,000 in 2002, \$2,000 in 2003, \$3,000 in 2004, \$4,000 in 2005 and \$5,000 in 2006. The additional deferrals for all plans are indexed for inflation after 2006. (-\$0.50 million in FY02, -\$1.20 million in FY03)
- 14) Increase the contribution limit to 100% of compensation for defined contribution plans, tax-sheltered annuities and government plans. (-\$0.20 million in FY02, -\$0.40 million in FY03)
- 15) Eliminate the requirement that government and tax-exemption plan participants coordinate deferrals with contributions to other plans. (-\$0.05 million in FY02, -\$0.15 million in FY03)
- 16) Increase the amount of deductible contributions by employers for defined contribution and SEP plans from 15% to 25% of contributions, and for money purchase plans to 25% of compensation or the amount required by sec. 401(k)(11), whichever is greater. (-\$0.10 in FY03)
- 17) Exclude from determination of employer deduction limits the salary reduction contributions elected by the employee to a cash or deferred arrangement under a sec. 401(k) plan, a

salary reduction simplified employee pension (SARSEP), a tax-sheltered annuity or a SIMPLE account. (-\$0.20 million in FY02, -\$0.40 million in FY03)

- 18) Clarify that an employer's determination that a contribution to a multiemployer plan is on account of a prior year is not a change in accounting method resulting in an adjustment in the employer's taxable income. (-\$0.05 million in FY03)
- 19) Increase the applicable percentage of current liability for the full-funding limit for defined benefit pension plans to 165% in 2002 and 170% in 2003, and repealing the percentage thereafter (previously, 160% in 2002, 165% in 2003-2004 and 170% thereafter). With repeal of this percentage, the full-funding limit will be the excess of the plan's accrued liability over the value of the plan's assets. (-\$0.05 million in FY02, -\$0.10 million in FY03)
- 20) Expand the exemption from prohibition for loans between a qualified plan and disqualified persons to sole proprietors, partners with an ownership interest exceeding 10% and S corporation employees or officers with an ownership interest exceeding 5%. (-\$0.10 million in FY02, -\$0.15 million in FY03)
- 21) Allow rollovers from government plans and tax-sheltered annuities to other retirement plans, and from these other plans to government plans and tax-sheltered annuities. (+\$0.10 million in FY02)
- 22) Expand the deduction by corporations of dividends paid to an employee stock ownership plan and reinvested in qualified employer securities. (-\$0.10 million in FY02, -\$0.25 million in FY03)
- 23) Change the definition of top-heavy plan and key employee to limit the application of top-heavy rules that restrict the concentration of retirement plan benefit to key employees. (-\$0.05 million in FY03)

DC:skr

t:\rpt\dc\irc update.doc

INTERNAL REVENUE CODE UPDATE

LAWS ENACTED THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2001

**Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Division of Research and Policy
January 31, 2002**

INTERNAL REVENUE CODE UPDATE – LAWS ENACTED THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2001

Wisconsin's individual income and corporate income and franchise tax bases closely conform to the bases for the federal individual and corporate income taxes through references in Chapter 71 of the Wisconsin Statutes to the federal Internal Revenue Code. To maintain conformity, these references must be updated each year.

During 2001, one federal law was enacted affecting income tax law: the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001. In addition, three federal laws were enacted during 2000, but have not been adopted for Wisconsin tax purposes. These are the Federal Sales Corporation Repeal and Extraterritorial Income Exclusion Act (FSCRA), the Community Renewal Tax Relief Act (CRTRA), which was incorporated into the Consolidated Appropriations Act, and the Installment Tax Correction Act (ITCA).

The federal laws enacted in 2000 were included in enrolled Senate Bill 55, the state budget bill, but vetoed by the governor because the bill inadvertently adopted three provisions that the legislature had intended to exclude because of their fiscal impact. These were deductions for environmental remediation expenses and corporate donations of computers, and the treatment of foreign sales corporations. Subsequent legislation, Senate Bill 246 and Assembly Bill 506, to adopt the FSCRA, CRTRA and ITCA was introduced in both houses of the legislature, but not enacted.

This paper describes the changes in these four federal laws that have substantive impacts on state tax policy. Adoption of all provisions of these federal laws for state tax purposes, with one exception, is recommended. That recommendation includes adoption of the three provisions that the legislature intended not to adopt in enrolled SB 55. The exception, the provision not being recommended for adoption, is the deduction for higher education expenses, enacted in EGTRRA. Wisconsin already provides a deduction targeted to tuition at the state's institutions of higher education.

The fiscal effect of the changes recommended for adoption, summarized by item in the following table, is estimated to be -\$8.55 million in FY02 and -\$24.35 million in FY03.

Federal Tax Change*	Federal Law	Effective Date	Fiscal Effect (\$ mill)	
			FY02	FY03
<u>Individual Income Tax Provisions</u>				
Expansion of Employer Adoption Assistance Exclusion	EGTRRA	1/1/02	-\$0.05	-\$0.20
Increased Contributions to IRAs	EGTRRA	1/1/02	-2.25	-5.95
Increase in AMT Exemption	EGTRRA	1/1/01	Min	-0.20
Educational Assistance Programs	EGTRRA	1/1/02	-2.70	-4.65
Education IRAs	EGTRRA	1/1/02	-1.05	-2.25
Student Loan Interest Deduction	EGTRRA	1/1/02	-0.90	-1.55
<u>Corporate and Other Business Tax Provisions</u>				
Environmental Remediation Costs	CRTRA	12/22/00	Min	-1.25
Corporate Donations of Computer Technology	CRTRA	1/1/01	Min	-1.10
Duplication or Acceleration of Loss Through Assumption of Certain Liabilities	CRTRA	10/19/99	Min	+0.20
Foreign Sales Corporations	FSCRA	10/1/00	Min	-2.80
<u>Pension Provisions</u>				
Increase in Contribution Limits	EGTRRA	1/1/02	-0.40	-1.50
Benefit Limits under Qualified Plans	EGTRRA	1/1/02	-0.10	-0.25
Catch-up Contributions	EGTRRA	1/1/02	-0.50	-1.20
Increases in Defined Contribution Plan Limit	EGTRRA	1/1/02	-0.20	-0.40
Repeal of the Coordination Requirements for Section 457 Plan Limits	EGTRRA	1/1/02	-0.05	-0.15
Increase in Employer Deduction Limits	EGTRRA	1/1/02	Min	-0.10
Exclusion of Elective Deferrals in Determination of Deduction Limits	EGTRRA	1/1/02	-0.20	-0.40
Treatment of Contributions to a Multiemployer Plan	EGTRRA	1/1/02	Min	-0.05
Repeal of the 160% Current Liability Funding Limit	EGTRRA	1/1/02	-0.05	-0.10
Plan Loans for Small Business Owners	EGTRRA	1/1/02	-0.10	-0.15
Rollovers to and from Governmental Plans and Tax-Sheltered Annuities	EGTRRA	1/1/02	+0.10	Min
Reinvestment of ESOP Dividends	EGTRRA	1/1/02	-0.10	-0.25
Modification of Top-Heavy Rules	EGTRRA	1/1/02	Min.	-0.05
Total			-\$8.55	-\$24.35

* Does not include earned income tax credit increase and simplification enacted in EGTRRA, which Wisconsin adopts automatically by piggybacking on the federal credit. This provision will increase spending on the EITC by \$1.90 million in FY03 (to the extent that this increased credit is refunded to taxpayers, it may be financed by federal Temporary Assistance for Needy Families funds).

A. INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAX

1. Expansion of Employer Adoption Assistance Exclusion

Federal Change: EGTRRA doubles the maximum amount of the exclusion from income for employer-provided adoption assistance to \$10,000 per eligible child. The amount will be adjusted annually to reflect inflation beginning in tax year 2003. The starting point for phase-out of the exclusion is increased to \$150,000 of modified adjusted gross income (AGI).

Effective Date: Taxable years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: -\$0.05 million in FY02, -\$0.20 million in FY03.

2. Increased Contributions to IRAs

Federal Change: EGTRRA increases the maximum annual contribution to an Individual Retirement Account (IRA) over the next seven years until it reaches \$5,000 in 2008, after which the maximum will be adjusted annually for inflation. Individuals who are over age 50 are also allowed to make additional "catch-up contributions" to an IRA.

Currently, individuals are permitted to contribute up to \$2,000 annually to either a traditional or a Roth IRA. This amount has remained unchanged since 1981; it has been estimated that the IRA contribution limit would have reached about \$5,000 in 1999 if it had been indexed for inflation.

The new annual contribution limits for IRAs are:

Tax Year	Younger Than Age 50	Age 50 Or Older
2002 - 2004	\$3,000	\$3,500
2005	4,000	4,500
2006 - 2007	4,000	5,000
2008 and after	5,000	6,000

Effective Date: Taxable years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: -\$2.25 million in FY02, -\$5.95 million in FY03.

3. Deemed IRAs under Employer Plans

Federal Change: Under EGTRRA, an employer with a qualified retirement plan may set up traditional or Roth IRAs on behalf of their employees without affecting the qualified status of any other qualified retirement plan of the employer. These "deemed IRAs" are not subject to the IRC rules that apply to retirement plans, however, reporting requirements that apply to traditional and Roth IRAs will also apply to deemed IRAs.

Effective Date: Plan years beginning after December 31, 2002.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

4. Increase in AMT Exemption

Federal Change: EGTRRA increases the alternative minimum tax (AMT) exemption amounts for individual income tax filers for tax years 2001 through 2004. The AMT exemption amount for estates and trusts will remain unchanged. EGTRRA also clarifies that the maximum amount of the exemption phase-out will be the same for married separate and married joint filers. Finally, EGTRRA makes permanent the provision allowing the child credit to be claimed against the AMT and repeals the AMT offset of refundable credits. Under current law, Wisconsin's exemption amounts are the same as the federal amounts.

The AMT is designed to impose tax on high-income taxpayers who would otherwise avoid tax by using certain deductions and credits. The AMT exemption amounts are designed to prevent taxpayers with low levels of tax benefits from paying the AMT, and these exemptions phase out, at a rate of 25%, over higher income ranges to prevent taxpayers with exceptionally large amounts of tax preference items from claiming the exemption. However, because the exemption limits have not been indexed for inflation, a growing number of taxpayers are subject to the AMT each year.

EGTRRA increases the exemption amounts by \$2,000 for single, head of household and married separate filers and by \$4,000 for married joint filers. Phase-out floors remain the same, but the increase in exemption amounts leads to higher phase-out ceilings. The table below summarizes the AMT exemption amounts and phase-out ranges under prior law and EGTRRA.

ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX CHANGES, TAX YEAR 2001

Filing Status	Maximum Exemption	Phase-out Floor	Phase-out Ceiling
Prior Law			
Single, Head of Household	\$33,750	\$112,500	\$247,500
Married Joint	45,000	150,000	330,000
Married Separate	22,500	75,000	165,000
New Law			
Single, Head of Household	\$35,750	\$112,500	\$255,500
Married Joint	49,000	150,000	346,000
Married Separate	24,500	75,000	173,000

Effective Date: Tax years beginning after December 31, 2000. However, the increase in the AMT exemption amount will not apply for tax years beginning in 2005 or thereafter.

Fiscal Effect: -\$0.20 million in FY03.

5. Qualified Retirement Planning Services

Federal Change: EGTRRA excludes employer-provided qualified retirement planning services for employees and their spouses from the employees' gross wages. In order for the retirement planning services to qualify for the exclusion, the employer must sponsor a qualified retirement plan.

Effective Date: Years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

6. Exclusion for Restitution Payments to Victims of Nazi Persecution

Federal Change: Under EGTRRA, "excludable restitution payments" received by eligible individuals, their heirs or estates are not included in gross income. The basis of any property received by eligible individuals, their heirs or estates as part of an excludable restitution payment is the fair market value of the property at the time of receipt. Previously, reparation payments received by victims of Nazi persecution generally were not excludable from income, though certain reparations were excluded because they were payments for personal injuries and other losses of rights.

An eligible individual is defined as any person who was persecuted on the basis of race, religion, physical or mental disability, or sexual orientation by Nazi Germany, any other Axis regime, or any other Nazi-controlled or Nazi-allied country.

An excludable restitution payment is a payment or distribution to an individual that:

- is payable by reason of the individual's status as an eligible individual;
- constitutes the return of or compensation for assets stolen or hidden from the individual before, during or after World War II as a result of the individual's status as an eligible individual; or
- consists of interest payable as part of any payment or distribution described in the first two points.

Effective Date: Amounts received on or after January 1, 2000.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal revenue loss.

7. Repeal of Stepped-up Basis

Federal Change: EGTRRA modifies the basis rules for purposes of the individual income tax due to the estate tax changes in the act. The changes will not take effect until the federal estate tax is eliminated, after 2009.

EGTRRA replaces the step-up rule for the basis of property received from a decedent at death or from a donor with a carryover rule. Under prior law, basis of property transferred at death or by gift was "stepped-up" to equal the value of the property as of the date of the decedent's death or the date of the gift. This allowed the recipient of the property to avoid tax on any gain that may have occurred during the decedent's lifetime. Under EGTRRA, the basis of property transferred by the donor becomes the basis in the hands of the recipient—that is, the donor's basis is "carried over" to the recipient. Specifically, the basis carried over to the recipient is equal to the lesser of the adjusted basis of the property in the hand of the decedent or the fair market value of the property on the date of the decedent's death.

Other changes in basis rules in EGTRRA include the following:

- Income that the decedent had an enforceable right to during life but did not receive until after death is not subject to the new carryover basis at death rules.
- The income tax exclusion of gain from the sale of a principal residence is extended to sales by a decedent's estate, heir or qualified revocable trust. The decedent's ownership and use of the property will be taken into account when calculating the exclusion: the property must have been owned and occupied as a principal residence by the decedent for at least two years during the five-year period prior to the sale. The maximum exclusion allowed is \$250,000, and must be prorated if the

ownership and occupancy requirements are not met, conforming to the exclusion allowed to ordinary individual taxpayers. Further, an heir who occupies a decedent's property as his or her principal residence is allowed to include the decedent's period of occupancy for purposes of claiming the exclusion.

In addition, several sections of the IRC were amended to maintain current treatment that otherwise would be changed by EGTRRA. These include:

- the recognition-of-gain rule is expanded to transfers of property to nonresidents;
- inherited artwork and similar property are not subject to capital gain treatment;
- charitable split-interest trusts will be subject to excise tax; and
- the term "executor" will be defined as an executor or administrator of the decedent, or if one is not appointed or qualified, then any person in possession of any of the decedent's property.

Effective Date: Transfers after December 31, 2009.

Fiscal Effect: None in the current biennium.

8. Severing of Trusts

Federal Change: Trusts created via a "qualified severance" of a single trust will be treated as separate trusts for purposes of the generation-skipping transfer (GST) tax. Previously, the division of a single trust into two or more trusts was not recognized for GST tax purposes.

EGTRRA defines a "qualified severance" as the division of a single trust into two or more trusts where the single trust was divided on a fractional basis and the terms of the new trusts provide for the same succession of interests as was provided in the original trust.

Effective Date: Severances after December 31, 2000.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

9. Educational Assistance Programs

Federal Change: The exclusion for employer-provided educational assistance is made permanent and is extended to cover expenses paid by an employer for graduate-level courses. Previously, the exclusion did not apply to graduate-level courses and was scheduled to expire for courses beginning after December 31, 2001. The exclusion is allowed for tuition, fees, books, supplies and equipment, and is limited to \$5,250 per year.

Effective Date: Courses beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: -\$2.70 million in FY02, -\$4.65 million in FY03.

10. Qualified State Tuition Programs

Federal Change: Distributions from qualified state tuition programs are tax-free beginning in tax year 2002. Additionally, qualified tuition programs (QTPs) are no longer limited to state-sponsored plans and may also be offered by private institutions. Distributions from private QTPs are tax-deferred in tax year 2002, and are made tax-free beginning in tax year 2004. EGTRRA also allows taxpayers to exclude QTP distributions

from gross income and claim the Hope or Lifetime Learning tax credits only if the QTP distribution and credits are not used with respect to the same expenses. Finally, EGTRRA expands the definition of family member for purposes of QTPs, removes the limit on room and board expenses, eases rollover limitations, and clarifies the coordination of claims for education credits with distributions received from an education IRA and QTP in the same tax year.

QTPs allow contributors to purchase tuition credits or certificates on behalf of a designated beneficiary entitling the beneficiary to a waiver or payment of qualified higher education expenses. The tax on earnings attributable to prepayments or contributions is deferred until earnings are distributed to the beneficiary, who then pays taxes on the earnings, often at a lower tax rate than that of the contributor.

Currently, because the amount of a QTP distribution is included in the beneficiary's taxable income, the beneficiary may also claim a Hope or Lifetime Learning credit to the extent that the distribution was used to pay tuition or other qualified expenses. Because EGTRRA now excludes QTP distributions from gross income (beginning in tax year 2002 for state plans; 2004 for private plans), the Hope or Lifetime Learning credit may only be claimed if they are not used with respect to the same expenses as the QTP distribution.

Currently, credits (or other amounts) may be transferred without penalty from an account benefiting one beneficiary to another account benefiting a different beneficiary, but only if both beneficiaries are members of the same family, which is defined to include only immediate family members. Further, withdrawals or distributions from QTPs must be used to pay for qualified higher education expenses, which include only a limited amount of room and board expenses. EGTRRA extends both of these provisions, allowing credits to also be transferred without penalty between first cousins, and removing the limitation on the amount of room and board expenses that may be paid for using QTP distributions.

Wisconsin already exempts from income tax most interest earnings on a prepaid tuition plan or college savings plan provided the distributions from the plan are used for qualified educational purposes.

Effective Date: Taxable years beginning after December 31, 2001, except that exclusion for distributions from QTPs established and maintained by an entity other than a state applies for distributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2003.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal (because of the existing Wisconsin deduction earnings on certain prepaid tuition and college savings plans).

11. National Health Service Corps and Armed Forces Scholarships

Federal Change: Amounts received from the National Health Service Corps (NHSC) or the Armed Forces Scholarship Program for tuition, fees, books, supplies, and equipment required in the course of instruction are excluded from the student's gross income. Amounts received as stipends for living expenses, such as room and board remain taxable.

The NHSC scholarship program covers the cost of tuition and fees and provides a monthly stipend to cover living expenses in exchange for the student's agreement to provide medical services in a community determined by the Public Health Service to have a shortage of health-care professionals. The Armed Forces Scholarship Program

requires recipients to serve a certain number of years in the military at an armed forces medical facility.

Because the NHSC and Armed Forces Scholarship Programs both require federal service after the student has completed his or her degree program, these amounts were not previously considered "qualified scholarships."

Effective Date: Amounts received in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

12. Education IRAs

Federal Change: EGTRRA raises the annual contribution limit on education IRAs from \$500 to \$2,000. It also allows corporations and other entities to contribute to education IRAs, and allows contributions for a taxable year to be made until April 15 of the following year, the same treatment already allowed for traditional and Roth IRAs.

The federal changes also modify the phase-out range for high-income contributors filing joint returns. Previously, the allowable contribution amount was phased out for married joint filers with modified adjusted gross income (AGI) between \$150,000 and \$160,000; EGTRRA raises this range to between \$190,000 and \$220,000 of modified AGI. The phase-out range for single filers remains between \$95,000 and \$110,000.

As with the changes to qualified tuition plans, federal changes to education IRAs will allow a taxpayer to exclude education IRA distributions from gross income and to claim the Hope or Lifetime Learning credits as long as they are not used with respect to the same educational expenses. This repeals the previous requirement that filers must waive the tax-free treatment for distributions from an education IRA in order to claim an education tax credit in the same tax year.

The excise tax on contributions made to an education IRA when a contribution is made on behalf of the same beneficiary to a qualified tuition plan (QTP) is also repealed. Prior to EGTRRA, a 6% excise tax was imposed on contributions made to an education IRA that exceeded the allowed annual limit or that were contributed to a qualified state tuition plan for the same beneficiary.

EGTRRA also allows education IRA contributions to continue to be made even after the beneficiary has reached the age of 18 if the beneficiary is deemed to have special needs. In the case of special needs beneficiaries, the 30-year age limit for mandatory distributions of any remaining balance in an education IRA may also be disregarded. For beneficiaries without special needs, contributions continue to cease when the beneficiary reaches the age of 18 and any remaining account balance is to be distributed within 30 days after the beneficiary's 30th birthday.

Finally, EGTRRA allows education IRA distributions to be used for elementary and secondary school expenses and expands the definition of "qualified expenses" to include computer technology, equipment or services. Previously, distributions could be used only for qualified higher education expenses, which were limited to tuition, fees, books, supplies, and room and board if the student was enrolled at least part-time.

Effective Date: Taxable years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: -\$1.05 million in FY02, -\$2.25 million in FY03.

13. Student Loan Interest Deduction

Federal Change: EGTRRA increases the modified adjusted gross income (AGI) phase-out ranges for eligibility for the student loan interest deduction and adjusts them annually for inflation after tax year 2002. Additionally, both the 60-month limitation on the number of months during which interest is deductible and the restriction making voluntary interest payments nondeductible are repealed.

Under prior law, the maximum allowable student loan interest deduction was \$2,500 and was phased out for single taxpayers with modified AGI between \$40,000 and \$55,000 and for married joint filers with modified AGI between \$60,000 and \$75,000. EGTRRA maintains the maximum deduction amount of \$2,500, but increases the phase-out ranges to between \$50,000 and \$65,000 for single filers and between \$100,000 and \$130,000 for married joint filers.

Effective Date: The provisions increasing the phase-out limits apply to taxable years ending after December 31, 2001; the provisions eliminating the 60-month payback period apply to loan interest paid after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: -\$0.90 million in FY02, -\$1.55 million in FY03.

14. Deduction for Higher Education Expenses

Federal Change: EGTRRA provides a new above-the-line deduction for qualified higher education expenses to individual income taxpayers beginning in tax year 2002. The amount of the deduction is limited to taxpayers with AGI below specified ceilings, and married persons filing separately and dependents on another taxpayer's return are not eligible for the deduction.

For tax years 2002 and 2003, the deduction is limited to \$3,000 and is only available to taxpayers with AGI not exceeding \$65,000 (\$130,000 for joint filers); in 2004 and 2005, the maximum deduction increases to \$4,000. Taxpayers with AGI between \$65,000 and \$80,000 (\$130,000 and \$160,000 for joint filers) may deduct up to \$2,000 in tax years 2004 and 2005. The deduction is eliminated after 2005.

Because Wisconsin already provides a deduction for tuition expenses, adoption of this provision is not recommended. Under current law, Wisconsin tax filers may deduct up to \$3,000 per year for tuition at post-secondary institutions located in Wisconsin or located in Minnesota and covered under Minnesota-Wisconsin tuition reciprocity. The Wisconsin deduction is phased out as income rises, between \$50,000 and \$60,000 of federal adjusted gross income (FAGI) for single and head of household filers, \$80,000 and \$100,000 of FAGI for married couples filing jointly and \$40,000 and \$50,000 of FAGI for married separate filers.

Should the legislature decide to adopt this federal provision, it is recommended that the Wisconsin deduction be disallowed for anyone claiming the federal deduction. This change would prevent some taxpayers from deducting the same expenses twice and prevent others from deducting more than the \$3,000 intended under federal law.

The potential for a double deduction would occur, if this federal deduction was adopted, because FAGI is the starting point for calculating Wisconsin income tax. Thus, a filer with tuition expenses of \$2,500 could deduct \$2,500 in determining FAGI and then, using the current Wisconsin deduction, subtract \$2,500 again in determining Wisconsin

adjusted gross income (WAGI). As a result, the total deduction for Wisconsin purposes would be \$5,000, even though tuition expenses were only \$2,500.

A deduction of more than \$3,000 could occur for taxpayers with tuition expenses in excess of this amount. For instance, a taxfiler with tuition of at least \$6,000 would be able to deduct \$3,000 from FAGI and an additional \$3,000 from FAGI in the determination of WAGI, for a total deduction of \$6,000.

Effective Date: Payments made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2001. The deduction will not be available in tax years beginning after December 31, 2005.

Fiscal Effect: None because adoption of this provision is not recommended. (If the provision is adopted, the fiscal effect would be -\$8.00 million in FY02, -\$13.35 million in FY03. Limiting the current Wisconsin deduction to taxpayers not taking the federal deduction would reduce this revenue loss.)

15. Earned Income Tax Credit Increase and Simplification

Federal Change: EGTRRA increased the earned income tax credit (EITC) phase-out floor and ceiling for married couples filing jointly, and made several other changes intended to simplify the credit. The higher phase-out ceiling is intended to reduce the marriage penalty on married EITC claimants. The phase-out floor and ceiling for joint filers are increased by \$1,000 for tax years 2002 through 2004, by \$2,000 for tax years 2005 through 2007 and by \$3,000 for tax years beginning after 2007.

Other changes to the EITC include:

- a revision of the definition of earned income to exclude all forms of nontaxable employee compensation;
- a change in the computation of the credit from one based on modified adjusted gross income to one based on adjusted gross income;
- a broadening of the definition of qualifying children to include descendants of stepchildren;
- the elimination of the one-year residency requirement for foster children, which is replaced with a six-month minimum requirement for all qualified children;
- the use of a new tie-breaking rule for cases in which a child is considered a qualifying child for more than one individual; and
- authority for the IRS to use a federal child support registry to reduce the number of erroneous EITC claims by ineligible non-custodial parents.

Effective Date: Taxable years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: +\$1.90 GRP-Exp million in FY03 (to the extent that this increased credit is refunded to taxpayers, it may be financed by federal Temporary Assistance for Needy Family funds). This provision is automatically adopted for Wisconsin purposes, since the state credit is piggybacked on the federal credit.

16. Medical Savings Accounts

Federal Change: The CRTRA extends the Medical Savings Accounts (MSA) program through 2002 and renames these accounts "Archer MSAs." The MSA pilot program began in 1997 and was set to expire at the end of 2000. Prior to Congressional approval to expand the program, existing accounts could be maintained, but new accounts could not be established after December 31, 2000.

The program is limited to the self-employed and employees of small employers (i.e., firms with 50 or fewer employees). For existing firms, the number of employees is the average number of employees over the previous two calendar years; for start-up firms, it is the average number of employees expected during the current year. Participating firms that grow beyond 50 employees may continue to enroll MSA participants until they have 200 employees; at that point, they may only maintain existing accounts. Further, the program is limited to 750,000 participants nationwide.

Participation in an MSA requires simultaneous enrollment in a high deductible health plan. For individuals, the deductible must range from \$1,550 to \$2,350 and the maximum out-of-pocket limitation is \$3,050. For families, the deductible must range from \$3,100 to \$4,650 and the maximum out-of-pocket limitation is \$5,700.

Employer contributions to an MSA are excluded from gross income and contributions by an individual may be deducted from income. Contributions to an MSA are capped at 65% of the health insurance deductible for individuals and 75% of the deductible for family coverage. Although the limits on MSA contributions are based on the annual deductible amount, payments into a plan are restricted on a monthly basis and are calculated as 1/12 of the annual contribution limit. Employer contributions through a "cafeteria plan" do not qualify for the exclusion.

Distributions from an MSA are excluded from income if they are expended for qualified medical expenses, including any unreimbursed medical costs for the benefit of an eligible MSA participant, his or her spouse and any dependent. Insurance may not be purchased with funds from an MSA, with the exception of long-term care insurance. Distributions used for purposes other than eligible expenses are subject to a 15% penalty unless the participant is 65 years or older.

Effective Date: Taxable years beginning after December 31, 2000.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal revenue loss.

17. Tax Benefits with Respect to Kidnapped Children

Federal Change: The CRTRA clarifies that a taxfiler may claim dependency status for his or her child if law enforcement authorities presume that child has been kidnapped by someone who is not a family member. This treatment continues for all tax years during the period that the child is kidnapped. Treatment ends either in the taxable year ending after the calendar year in which it is determined that the child is dead or in the taxable year ending after the calendar year in which the child would have attained the age of 18.

If adopted, this provision would affect filing status determination, claims for personal exemptions and eligibility for the earned income tax credit (EITC) under state law.

Effective Date: Taxable years ending after December 21, 2000.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

18. IRAs for Nonworking Spouses

Federal Change: The CRTRA limits IRA contributions for the lesser-earning spouse to the combined earned income of both spouses. IRA contributions are generally limited by the individual's earned income. However, a spouse with little or no earnings may claim

an IRA deduction if the other spouse has earnings in excess of his or her own IRA deduction. This change ensures that couples with a nonworking or lesser-earning spouse cannot make contributions in excess of the couple's combined earned income.

Effective Date: Taxable years beginning after December 31, 1996.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal. The number of taxfilers that have been taking advantage of the loophole in prior law is presumed to be small.

B. CORPORATE AND OTHER BUSINESS INCOME TAX PROVISIONS

1. Renewal Communities

Federal Change: The CRTRA authorizes the secretary of Housing and Urban Development to designate up to 40 renewal communities from state and local government nominations; 12 communities must be in rural areas. Nominated areas are ranked based on a formula that considers median income, poverty rates and unemployment rates. State agencies may allocate tax benefits beginning in 2002.

Taxpayers in designated areas are eligible for a commercial revitalization deduction equal to either 50% of qualifying expenditures for a taxable year in which a qualified building was placed in service, or all of the qualifying expenditures prorated over a 10-year period. Qualified property is also eligible for an additional \$35,000 of section 179 expensing. Under section 179, qualified businesses may elect to expense certain depreciable business assets in the year acquired.

Effective Date: The 40 renewal communities must be designated by January 1, 2002. Tax deductions are available from January 1, 2002 through December 31, 2009.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

2. Empowerment Zones

Federal Change: The CRTRA extends empowerment zone designation through December 31, 2009, and authorizes designation of nine more zones by 2002. An additional \$35,000 of section 179 expensing is available for qualified property placed in service in a zone beginning in 2002. Under current federal law, an additional \$20,000 of property placed in service in an empowerment zone may be expensed in one year under section 179 rather than being depreciated over several years.

Effective Date: The additional nine zones must be designated by January 1, 2002. Additional tax deductions are available for existing and new from January 1, 2002 through December 31, 2009.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

3. Environmental Remediation Costs

Federal Change: The CRTRA extends the expiration date for an election to deduct certain environmental remediation expenditures that would otherwise be charged to a capital account to include expenses paid or incurred before 2004. The requirement that expenditures be in a targeted area is eliminated so that most other sites certified by state environmental agencies as containing hazardous substances are eligible.

Effective Date: Expenditures after December 21, 2000.

Fiscal Effect: -\$1.25 million in FY03.

4. Corporate Donations of Computer Technology

Federal Change: The CRTRA extends the expiration date for deductions of certain computer equipment donated to elementary and secondary schools through 2003. The deduction is extended to include donations to public libraries, donations of property up to three years after acquisition, rather than two years under prior law, and donations of property reacquired by a computer manufacturer.

Effective Date: Donations made after December 31, 2000.

Fiscal Effect: -\$1.10 million in FY03.

5. Duplication or Acceleration of Loss Through Assumption of Certain Liabilities

Federal Change: The CRTRA requires that the basis of stock received in certain tax-free exchanges be reduced by the amount of any liability assumed in exchange for the stock that does not otherwise reduce the transferor's basis. Stock cannot be reduced below its fair market value. The provision would generally not apply if the trade or business with the liability or substantially all of the assets associated with the liability is transferred to the corporation in the exchange.

Effective Date: Assumptions of liabilities on or after October 19, 1999.

Fiscal Effect: +\$0.20 million in FY03.

6. Securities Futures Contracts

Federal Change: The CRTRA specifies the tax treatment of securities futures contracts. A securities future contract is a sales contract for future delivery of a single security or a narrow-based security index.

Except in the case of a securities futures contract dealer, gain or loss from the sale or exchange of a securities future contract is treated in the same manner as gain or loss of the underlying security. Any gain or loss from a short side sale of a securities futures contract is short-term capital gain or loss.

The act also applies wash sale rules, short sale rules and straddle rules to futures securities contracts. Under wash sale rules, loss generally is nondeductible if it occurs from a sale or disposition of a stock or securities that is substantially identical to stock or securities acquired within 30 days before or after the loss. Under short sale rules, certain gains or losses from sale or exchange of property are considered gains or losses of a capital asset if substantially identical property has been held for less than one year. Straddle rules limit losses in certain cases of offsetting positions wherein a taxpayer's risk of loss is substantially diminished because of other holdings.

The act also provides that dealer securities futures contracts will be treated as marked to market contracts. A marked to market contract is treated as 40% short-term and 60% long-term capital gain or loss. The secretary of the Treasury must determine who is to be treated as a dealer no later than July 1, 2001. Contracts must be securities futures

contracts entered into in the normal course of business and traded on a qualified board or exchange.

Effective Date: December 21, 2000.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

7. Installment Method for Accrual Method Taxpayers

Federal Change: The ITCA retroactively repeals a provision enacted in the 1999 Ticket to Work and Work Incentive Improvements Act that would have disallowed the installment method of accounting for accrual method taxpayers. Under the installment method, taxpayers could defer recognition of income from the disposition of property until payments were received. The previously enacted change was repealed because it was viewed as especially harsh on sales of small businesses because it required taxpayers who sold the business on installments to report and pay capital gains tax on the total purchase price in the year of the sale.

Effective Date: December 17, 1999.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

8. Foreign Sales Corporations

Federal Change: The FSCRA repeals the present foreign sales corporation (FSC) rules and replaces them with an exclusion for extraterritorial income. The tax benefit under the exclusion is expected to mirror the tax benefit under the FSC rules, but apply to a greater number of taxpayers. Unlike the FSC rules, corporations can use the new benefit directly rather than having to create specifically defined FSC subsidiaries. The act contains transition rules for current FSCs.

The law change is in response to a decision by the World Trade Organization (WTO) that the FSC provisions breach WTO rules by providing subsidies to assist U.S. exports, thus giving U.S. companies an unfair advantage in international trade. In August 2001, the WTO ruled that the new scheme still gives preferential treatment to American-made products. On January 14, the WTO rejected the U.S. appeal of that ruling. The WTO will decide by March 28 the compensation that the U.S. must give the European Union.

Effective Date: For transactions entered into after September 30, 2000. No corporation may elect to be a FSC after that date. Transition rules are included for current FSCs.

Fiscal Effect: -\$2.80 million in FY03.

C. PENSION PROVISIONS

1. Increase in Contribution Limits

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA increases the dollars limited on defined contribution plans, elective deferrals and compensation limits, as follows:

For defined contribution plans, the base amount is increased to \$40,000 from \$35,000 in 2001 and this base is indexed for inflation, rounded down to the nearest \$1,000. The base for indexing is the quarter beginning July 1, 2001.

For elective deferrals under sec. 401(k) plans, sec. 403(b) annuities and sec. 408(k) salary reduction simplified employee pension (SEP) plans, the maximum elective deferral is increased to \$11,000 from \$10,500 in 2001. The limit is increased by \$1,000 annually thereafter until the limit reaches \$15,000 in 2006. Thereafter, the limit is indexed annually for inflation, rounded down to the nearest \$500, with the base for indexing being the quarter beginning July 1, 2001. The same limits are provided for deferrals under sec. 457 tax-exempt organization and state or local government plans, an increase from the current \$8,500 in 2001, after indexing for inflation.

For elective deferrals under savings incentive match plan for employees (SIMPLE) plans, the limit is increased to \$7,000 from \$6,500 in 2001. The limit is increased by \$1,000 each year until the limit reaches \$10,000 in 2005. Thereafter, the limit is increased annually for inflation, rounded down to the nearest \$500, with the base period being the calendar quarter beginning July 1, 2004.

The compensation limit for employer deduction rules, and for nondiscrimination testing purposes for section 408(k) salary reduction SEPs, section 501(c)(9) voluntary employee benefit associations (VEBA) and section 501(c)(17)(A) supplemental unemployment compensation benefit trusts (SUB) is increased to \$200,000 from \$170,000 in 2001. The limit is indexed for inflation, rounded down to the nearest \$5,000, with the base period being the quarter beginning July 1, 2001.

Effective date: Years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal effect: -\$0.40 million in FY02, -\$1.50 million in FY03.

2. Benefit Limits under Qualified Plans

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA increases the annual benefit limit under defined benefit plans to \$160,000 from \$140,000 in 2001. This amount is indexed for inflation, rounded down to the nearest \$5,000, with the base period for indexing the quarter beginning July 1, 2001. The law provides that the dollar limit is reduced if benefits begin before age 62 and increased if benefits begin after age 65, with special provisions for commercial airline pilots tied to Federal Aviation Administration rules. Under current law, the dollar limit is reduced if benefits begin before and increased if benefits begin after the social security retirement age, which is currently 65.

Effective date: Benefit plan years ending after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal effect: -\$0.10 million in FY02, -\$0.25 million in FY03.

3. Catch-up Contributions

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA increases the dollar limits on elective deferrals under several types of plans for persons age 50 or older before the end of the plan year. The additional amount of elective contribution equals the lesser of the applicable dollar amount, which varies by type of plan, or the participant's compensation for the year, less any other elective deferrals he or she has made.

The applicable amount for participants in sec. 401(k)(11) plans or SIMPLE plans is \$500 in tax year 2002, \$1,000 in 2003, \$1,500 in 2004, \$2,000 in 2005 and \$2,500 in 2006. For participants in other plans, including other sec. 401(k) cash or deferred arrangement plans, tax-sheltered annuities, SEPs and state or local government plans, the applicable amount is \$1,000 in 2002, \$2,000 in 2003, \$3,000 in 2004, \$4,000 in 2005 and \$5,000 in

2006. The amounts for 2006 are adjusted annually for inflation, rounded down to the nearest \$500, with the base period being the quarter beginning July 1, 2005. For participants of a state or local government retirement plan, these limits do not apply in the last three years before retirement; instead, the regularly applicable dollar limit is doubled.

These catch-up contributions are not subject to other contribution limits or taken into consideration in the application to other contribution limits, and employers are permitted to make matching contributions. The contributions do not violate nondiscrimination rules if all participants eligible for all plans offered by the same employer are permitted to make the same election regarding catch-up contributions.

Effective Date: Contributions in tax years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: -\$0.50 million in FY02, -\$1.20 million in FY03.

4. Increases in Defined Contribution Plan Limit

Federal Law Change: The contribution limit is raised to 100% of compensation for defined contribution plans, tax-sheltered annuities and sec. 457 state and local government plans to make these limits consistent with those of other plans. Previous limits were 25% of compensation for defined contribution plans and 33-1/3% for sec. 457 plans. Tax-sheltered annuities were previously subject to an exclusion allowance limitation equal to 20% of compensation multiplied by the employee's year of service, less any excludable contributions from prior years.

An alternative exclusion allowance for church plans is also repealed, which leaves the exception to the contribution limitation at the election of the participant, subject to an aggregate limitation for all special contributions.

Effective Date: Years beginning after December 31, 2001, except that the special contribution limits apply for years beginning after December 31, 1999.

Fiscal Effect: -\$0.20 million in FY02, -\$0.40 million in FY03.

5. Faster Vesting of Employer Matching Contributions

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA shortens the two alternative vesting schedules of a participant's nonforfeitable right in the employer's matching contributions. Under the first schedule, the period of time after which an employee is 100% vested is reduced from five to three years. Under the second schedule, an employee becomes 20% vested after the second, rather than the third year, and is fully vested after six, rather than seven years.

Effective Date: Plan years beginning after December 31, 2001, except for plans maintained under a collective bargaining agreement. For plans under collective bargaining agreements ratified by June 7, 2001, the provisions apply on the date the agreement terminates, but no earlier than January 1, 2002, and no later than January 1, 2006.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

6. Repeal of the Coordination Requirements for Section 457 Plan Limits

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA eliminates the requirement that participants in sec. 457 state and local government or tax-exempt organization plans coordinate the maximum annual deferral amounts for those plans with contributions made to other types of retirement plans. Previous law required a dollar-for-dollar reduction of the sec. 457 maximum annual deferral amount for deferrals or contributions to other retirement plans.

Effective Date: Years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: -\$0.05 million in FY02, -\$0.15 million in FY03.

7. Repeal of Multiple Use Test for Section 401(k) Plans

Federal Law Change: Sec. 401(k) plans may not discriminate in favor of highly compensated employees, and are subject to several tests under federal law to ensure that such discrimination does not occur. EGTRRA repeals the multiple use restrictions that required plan providing elective deferrals and either after-tax employee contributions or employer matching contributions to satisfy several tests comparing deferrals and contributions for highly compensated and other employees.

Effective Date: Years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: None (included in fiscal effect of other provisions).

8. Contributions by Self-Employed Members of Certain Religious Faiths

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA defines compensation for purposes of retirement plans, individual retirement arrangements and SIMPLE plans to include net earnings from self-employment to include earnings not subject to self-employment tax because of a religious exemption. This allows persons not subject to self-employment tax for religious reasons to establish or participate in such retirement plans.

Effective Date: Tax years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: None (included in fiscal effect of other provisions).

9. Increase in Employer Deduction Limits

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA increases the amount of deductible contributions employers may make to retirement plans. For defined contribution and simplified employee pension plans, the limit is increased from 15% to 25% of compensation. For money purchase plans, the deduction is the greater of 25% of compensation or the amount the employer is required to contribute under sec. 401(k)(11).

In addition, the definition of compensation for purposes of deductible contribution limits is expanded to include:

- wages that a permanently and totally disabled person would have received if paid at the same rate he or she was receiving prior to the disability;
- elective deferrals to 401(k), SEP, 403(b) annuity and SIMPLE plans; and
- amounts contributed or deferred with respect to a cafeteria plan, transportation fringe benefit plan, or state or local government or tax-exempt organization deferred compensation plan.

This new definition of compensation applies for stock bonus plans, profit-sharing plans, plans that consist of a combination of defined contribution and defined benefit plans, plans for self-employed individuals, and employee stock ownership plans.

Effective Date: Years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal in FY02, -\$0.10 in FY03.

10. Exclusion of Elective Deferrals in Determination of Deduction Limits

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA provides that certain elective deferral contributions are no longer deemed employer contributions and thus not subject to the limitations on employer deductions. Contributions affected are salary reduction contributions to a cash or deferred arrangement under a sec. 401(k) plan, to a salary reduction simplified employee pension (SARSEP), to a tax-sheltered annuity plan or to a SIMPLE account.

Effective Date: Years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: -\$0.20 million in FY02, -\$0.40 million in FY03.

11. Application of Deduction Rules to Defined Benefit Plans

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA extends the deduction for amounts contributed of up to 100% of a plan's unfunded current liability to all defined benefit pension plans. Previously, the deduction had not been permitted for multiemployer plans and plans with 100 or fewer participants. For plans with 100 or fewer participants, the unfunded current liability does not include liability attributable to benefit increases for highly compensation employees within the last two years. The act also provides that the deductible contribution in the year the plan terminates is the amount required to make the plan sufficient for benefit liabilities.

Effective Date: Plan years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

12. Treatment of Contributions to a Multiemployer Plan

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA clarifies that an employer's determination as to whether a contribution to a multiemployer plan is on account of prior year is not a method of accounting, and thus the decision to begin deducting contributions is not a change in accounting method subject to an adjustment in the employer's taxable income.

Effective Date: Years ending after June 7, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: None in FY02, -\$0.05 million in FY03.

13. Treatment of Elective Deferrals as Roth Contributions

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA permits sec. 401(k) and 403(b) plans to provide a Roth contribution program, in which participants may elect to have all or a portion of their elective deferrals designated as after-tax contributions. Earnings and distributions from accounts under such a program would be tax-free, as under Roth IRAs.

An employee may elect to designate all or a part of the elective deferrals he or she is eligible to make as a Roth contribution. Whereas the elective deferral would have been excluded from income and distributions from the pension or annuity plan would have been tax, the designated Roth contribution is included in the employee's income and thus subject to tax, but distributions are tax-free. Roth contributions are fully and immediately vested, since they are treated as elective deferrals.

The limit on elective deferrals applies to the total of pre-tax elective deferrals and after-tax Roth contributions. Roth contributions that exceed the limit and earnings allocable to them must be returned to the taxpayer by April 15 of the year following the year the excess deferral was made. If they are not returned, excess deferrals are included in income in both the year of deferral and the year the excess deferral and earnings are distributed—thus, subject to tax twice.

Distributions from a designated Roth account may be rolled over only to another designated Roth account maintained for the individual or to a Roth IRA in which the individual participates. Rollovers are not considered in applying the annual limit on designated Roth contributions.

Roth contributions are subject to the distribution restrictions similar to those for Roth IRAs. Distributions may not be made, without penalty, until the participant reaches age 59-1/2, dies or becomes disabled. In addition, a distribution is subject to penalty if it is made within five years of the first tax year in which a designated contribution was made. When a participant makes a rollover contribution to a designated Roth account from a previously established Roth account, the five-year period begins the tax year of the first contribution to the previously established account.

The contributions are treated as elective deferrals for purposes of determining whether the plan discriminates in favor of highly compensated employees. Employers must establish a separate designated Roth account for each participating employee, and must report a participant's contributions on his or her Form W-2.

Effective Date: Tax years beginning after December 31, 2005.

Fiscal Effect: None in the current biennium.

14. Repeal of the 160% Current Liability Funding Limit

Federal Law Change: Federal law provides minimum and full-funding limits to ensure that defined benefit pension plans are adequately funded. The full-funding limit was the excess of the accrued liability of the plan, but not more than the applicable percentage of the plan's current liability, over the value of the plan's assets. EGTRRA raises the applicable percentage of the plan's current liability to 165% in 2002 and 170% in 2003, then repeals the provision relating to the applicable percentage for 2004 and thereafter. Previously, the applicable percentage was 160% in 2002, 165% in 2003 and 2004, and 170% and thereafter.

With repeal of the applicable percentage provision, the full-funding limit will be the excess of the plan's accrued liability over the value of the plan's assets.

Effective Date: Plan years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: -\$0.05 million in FY02, -\$0.10 million in FY03.

15. Modification of Benefit Limits for Multiemployer Plans

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA repeals the 100% of compensation rule that limits benefits on behalf of a participant in a multiemployer defined benefit plan. Under previous law, benefits were limited on an annual basis to an annual dollars amount (\$140,000 in 2001) that is adjusted for inflation, but not more than 100% of the participant's average compensation for the three highest paid years. With repeal of the 100% of compensation rule, benefits are limited to the inflation-adjusted annual dollar amount.

The act also provides that the 100% of compensation limit will not apply to participants of specified collective bargained defined plans other than multiemployer plans. Further, it provides that multiemployer plans will not be aggregated with non-multiemployer plans for purposes of applying the 100% of compensation limit to non-multiemployer plans or with any other multiemployer for purposes of determining benefit and contribution limits.

Effective Date: Years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

16. Modification of Timing of Plan Valuations

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA allows, under limited circumstances, the annual valuation of plan assets to occur in the immediately preceding year. Proposed Internal Revenue Service (IRS) regulations would have required asset valuations during the plan year or within the month prior to the beginning of that year. The act continues the requirement for annual valuation of plan assets and creates as a general rule that valuation occur within the plan year or the month prior to the start of that year. However, it also allows plan assets to be valued on any date within the prior plan year, as long as the value of the plan assets are not less than 125% of the plan's current liability. Further, actuarial adjustment are required to reflect significant differences between participants in the prior and current years.

Effective Date: Plan years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

17. Investment of Employee Contributions in 401(k) Plans

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA amends the effective date of provisions of the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 relating to elective deferrals so that these provisions do not apply to deferrals invested in assets consisting of securities or real property of the employer. The previous effective date had the unintended effect of requiring plans to maintain separate accounts for deferrals invested on or before December 31, 1995, and for deferrals invested after that date. This change eliminates the need for separate accounts.

Effective Date: Elective deferrals for plan years beginning after December 31, 1998.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

18. Minimum Distribution Rules

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA directs the IRS to modify life expectancy tables to reflect current life expectancy in its minimum distribution rules for qualified plans, annuities and

IRAs. The agency had not revised life expectancy tables in regulations, proposed earlier this year, that are intended to replace 1987 rules in 2002.

Effective Date: June 7, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

19. Treatment of Section 457 Distributions under a Divorce Decree

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA permits early distributions from a deferred compensation plan of a state or local government or tax-exempt organization based on a qualified domestic relations order. The distribution must be made pursuant to a domestic relations order under a state domestic relations law in regards to child support, alimony payments or marital property rights. Further, the order must create or recognize the rights to plan benefits of an alternate payee or assign such rights to that payee.

Effective Date: Transfers, distributions and payments made after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal

20. Hardship Withdrawals from Section 401(k) Plans

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA directs the IRS reduce from 12 months to six months the period during which an employee is prohibited from making elective contributions and after-tax contributions to a sec. 401(k) or 403(b) plan following a hardship withdrawal. The restriction is contained in safe harbor regulations governing hardship withdrawals.

Effective Date: Years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

21. Elimination of "Same Desk" Rule

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA eliminates the "same desk" rule for distributions from sec. 401(k) and 457 plans and sec. 403(b) tax-sheltered annuities. Under this rule, employees who continue on the same job for a different employer following the liquidation, merger or consolidation of the former employer were not considered as separated from service. This required the previous employer to retain terminated employees in its plan, even though they continued to work for the purchasing employer. Under the act, these employees will be permitted to receive distributions from the plan or annuity of the previous employer.

Effective Date: Distributions after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

22. Purchase of Service Credit under a Governmental Plan

Federal Law Change: Under EGTRRA, a taxpayer is not required to include in gross income a trustee-to-trustee transfer of amounts from a sec. 403(b) annuity or sec. 457 plan to a governmental defined plan for the purchase of permissive credits or for repaying previous cash-outs. A permissive credit is credit for a period of service recognized by a governmental plan; a cash-out refers to the forfeiture of previous service credit.

Effective Date: Transfers after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal

23. Rollovers Disregarded as Cash-out Amounts

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA permits the disregard of plan value attributable to rollovers in determining whether a plan may involuntarily cash out a participant. A plan may cash out a participant whose employment the plan sponsor has terminated if the present value of the participant's benefits does not exceed \$5,000. Under the act, this present value may be calculated without including any portion attributable to rollover contributions, and earnings on those contributions, from an IRA, a plan sponsored by a previous employer or other source. The act also provides that state and local government, and tax-exempt organization plans may disregard rollovers in determining a participant's nonforfeitable plan benefit for purposes of the cash-out rule.

Effective Date: Distributions after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

24. Automatic Rollover of Certain Mandatory Cash-Out Distributions

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA makes direct rollover the default option for involuntary cash-out distributions that exceed \$1,000. The distribution is automatically rolled over to a designated IRA unless the participant elects to have the distribution transferred to a different IRA or qualified plan, or to receive it directly.

The act requires the secretary of labor to issue regulations, by June 7, 2004, indicating safe harbors under which designation of an institution and investment of funds in accord with the automatic rollover provision satisfies federal law regarding the fiduciary responsibilities of retirement plans.

Effective Date: Distributions made after the secretary of labor issues final regulations implementing the safe harbor provisions.

Fiscal Effect: None in current biennium.

25. Minimum Distribution and Inclusion Rules for Section 457 Plans

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA repeals the special minimum distribution rules that had applied only to deferred compensation plans sponsored by state and local governments and tax-exempt organizations. As a result, these plans are subject only to minimum distribution rules governing qualified plans generally.

In addition, amounts deferred under a governmental plan are includible in the taxpayer's income only when paid, and not when otherwise made available to the taxpayer. This change does not apply to participants in tax-exempt organization plans; amount deferred are included in income when paid or otherwise made available.

Effective Date: Distributions after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: None (included in fiscal effect of other provisions).

26. Plan Loans for Small Business Owners

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA expands the types of owner-employees eligible for an exemption from rules prohibiting loans between a qualified plans and a disqualified person. Under the act, the exemption from these rules is extended to sole proprietors, to partners owning more than 10% of the capital interest or profits interest in a partnership, and to employees or officers of an S corporation who own more than 5% of the corporation's outstanding stock.

Effective Date: Years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: -\$0.10 million in FY02, -\$0.15 million in FY03.

27. Optional Forms of Benefits

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA cuts back on the forms of distributions a defined contribution plan is required to provide in order not to be treated as reducing accrued benefits. Fewer forms of distribution may be provided if five conditions are met:

- the plan received from another defined contribution plan a direct transfer of the participant's or beneficiary's benefit accrued under the transferor plan;
- the terms of both the transferor and transferee plans authorize the transfers;
- the transfer result from a voluntary election by the participant or beneficiary whose account is being transferred;
- this election is made after the participant or beneficiary receives notice of the consequences of the election; and
- the transferee plan allows the participant or beneficiary to receive and distribution of benefit as a lump sum.

The act further provides that, if a plan eliminates a previously available form of distribution, a single-sum distribution, based on the same or greater portion of the participant's account as the form of distribution being eliminated, must be available at the same time that the form is being eliminated.

The act directs the IRS to issue regulations no later than December 31, 2003, allowing plan amendments that reduce or eliminate early retirement benefits, retirement-type subsidies and optional forms of benefits, so long as any adverse effect of such amendments on the rights of participants is not substantive. Factors to be considered in determining whether an adverse effect is substantive include:

- the benefits being reduced or eliminated by the amendment;
- the extent to which benefits available after the amendment takes effect provide rights comparable to the rights reduced or eliminated;
- the number of years before the participant reaches normal retirement age or any applicable early retirement age under the plan;
- the amount of the participant's benefit affected by the plan amendment in relation to the participant's compensation; and
- the number of years before the plan amendment is effective.

Effective Date: Years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

28. Rollovers to and from Governmental Plans and Tax-Sheltered Annuities

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA allows an employee participating in a sec. 457 governmental deferred compensation plan to roll over, tax-free, a distribution to plans to which tax-free rollovers were previously not permitted. These include another governmental plan, an IRA, a 401(k) or other qualified plan or a tax-sheltered annuity. In addition, the act permits tax-free rollovers from a qualified plan, IRA or tax-sheltered annuity to a government plan; previously these rollovers were not tax-free. The act also permits tax-free rollover of distributions from a tax-sheltered annuity to a 401(k) or governmental plan, and of distributions from a qualified plan, an IRA or governmental plan to a tax-sheltered annuity. Previously, such rollovers were not tax-free.

Eligible rollover distributions from governmental deferred compensation plans include any distribution of any portion of the balance in an employee's plan, excluding required distributions and periodic payments made over the employee's or another specified period of 10 years or more. In addition, hardship distributions are not eligible for rollover. The amount eligible for rollover is the amount of distribution that would be included in income; thus, after-tax contributions cannot be rolled over. Amounts rolled over to a governmental plan are not considered in determining the maximum amount an employee may defer.

Under the act, capital gain and averaging treatment will not be available for distributions from a governmental plan or tax-sheltered annuity to which a rollover contribution has been made under the provisions of the act.

Rollovers to and from government plans and tax-sheltered annuities are subject to the same restrictions and guidelines applying to rollovers from IRAs and qualified plans. The act also makes the direct trustee-to-trustee rollover option available to governmental plans.

Effective Date: Distributions after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: +\$0.10 million in FY02, minimal in FY03.

29. Expansion of Spousal Rollover Rules

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA permits the surviving spouse of a deceased participant in a qualified plan to make a tax-free rollover of an eligible rollover distribution from the plan to another qualified plan, a tax-sheltered annuity or a governmental plan in which the surviving spouse participates. Previously, a tax-free rollover was permitted only to an IRA.

Effective Date: Distributions after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

30. Rollovers from IRAs to Employer Plans

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA permits a tax-free rollover of an eligible distribution from an IRA to a qualified employer plan, tax-sheltered annuity or governmental or tax-exempt organization deferred compensation plan. Previously, such rollovers were limited to rollovers from conduit IRA—an IRA whose value is attributable solely to a previous rollover from another qualified plan. One rollover of an IRA distribution to an employer plan is permitted per year.

The eligible rollover distribution is generally the amount of a distribution from an IRA includible in gross income; distributions of after-tax contributions may be rolled over to another IRA, but not to an employer plan. In addition, required minimum distributions from IRAs and distributions from inherited IRAs to beneficiaries other than a spouse may not be rolled. Capital gains and averaging treatment will not be available for distributions from a qualified plan to which an IRA rollover contribution has been made under the provisions of the Act.

A distribution from a SIMPLE IRA is treated like a traditional IRA distribution after the employee has participated in the SIMPLE plan for two years. Otherwise, a distribution from a SIMPLE IRA can be rolled over only to another SIMPLE plan.

Effective Date: Distributions after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

31. Rollover of After-Tax Contributions

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA permits a tax-free rollover of the entire amount of a distribution from one qualified plan into another qualified plan or individual retirement account. This includes the portion of the distribution representing after-tax contributions, which, under previous law, were included in gross income when the distribution was made. A rollover of after-tax contributions may be made only through a direct trustee-to-trustee transfer. A qualified plan accepting after-tax contributions must separately track those contributions and any related earnings. IRAs are not required to separately track after-tax contributions.

Under the act, a distribution from a traditional IRA that is rolled over to a qualified plan other than an IRA is considered to derive first from amounts in an employee's combined IRA accounts other than after-tax contributions, and only then from after-tax contributions.

Effective Date: Distributions made after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

32. Hardship Exception to 60-Day Rule

Federal Law Change: Federal law requires a tax-free rollover of a distribution from a qualified plan or IRA to occur within 60 days, and permits no exceptions for hardship. EGTRRA permits the IRS to waive the 60-day rollover period for hardship reasons.

Effective Date: Distributions made after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

33. Hardship Distributions Ineligible for Rollover

Federal Law Change: EGTRAA stipulates that any hardship distributions of elective deferrals made under a sec. 401(k) or 403(b) plans is not an eligible rollover distribution. As a result, these hardship withdrawals are not subject to the 20% withholding tax generally imposed on eligible rollover distributions that are not directly rolled over to

another plan or IRA. Hardship distributions that may not be rolled over remain subject to withholding and to the 10% penalty tax on early distributions

Effective Date: Distributions after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

34. Reinvestment of ESOP Dividends

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA allows corporations to deduct, at the election of plan participants or their beneficiaries, dividends paid to an employee stock ownership plan (ESOP) and reinvested in qualified employer securities. This expands the deduction previously allowed to dividends that an employee would voluntarily reinvest back into the ESOP for more of the employer's stock. However, the act expands IRS authority to disallow deductions claimed for the purpose of avoiding or evading tax.

Effective Date: Tax years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: -\$0.10 million in FY02, -\$0.25 million in FY03.

35. Modification of Top-Heavy Rules

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA changes the definitions of top-heavy plan and key employee to reduce the number of plans that are deemed top heavy. It also adjusted minimum benefit or contribution rules for these plans. A plan is top heavy when more than 60% of the plan assets are in accounts attributed to key employees.

Under previous law, a top-heavy defined benefit plan was required to provide a minimum annual benefit to nonkey employees. This benefit was equal to the lesser of 2% of the employee's average annual compensation for a five-year testing period multiplied by the number of years of service, or 20% of the employee's average annual compensation for a five year testing period. A top-heavy defined contribution plan was required to provide a minimum annual contribution equal to the employee's compensation for the year multiplied by the lesser of 3% or the contribution rate for key employees.

In addition to these minimum benefit or minimum contribution requirements, a qualified cash or deferred arrangement under sec. 401(k) was required under previous law to satisfy the actual deferral percentage (ADP) nondiscrimination test for an employee's deferrals and a nondiscrimination test for employer's matching contributions.

EGTRRA excludes from the definition of a top-heavy plan any cash or deferred arrangement meeting the ADP nondiscrimination test and the nondiscrimination test for matching contributions. When a plan deemed not top heavy under this rule belong so an aggregation group of plans that is top heavy, its contributions may be taken into account in determining whether any other plan in the group meets minimum distribution requirements.

The act also provides that, for determining whether 60% of the plan assets are in accounts of key employees, the accrued benefit or account balance for any participant is increased for distributions made to the participant during the year ending on the determination date. Under previous law, distributions in the five previous years were taken into account. The act retains the five-year look-back period for distributions for reasons other than separation from service, death or disability. The five-year look-back

period has also been reduced to one year for former employees. When an individual as not performed services for the employer during the year ending on the determination date, the accrued benefit for the individual is not taken into account in calculating the minimum accrued benefit.

EGTRRA defines a key employee to be an officer with compensation in excess of \$130,000, a 5% owner or a 1% owner with compensation exceeding \$150,000. Key employee status is determined looking at the past year only rather than the past year or any of the four preceding years, which was the look-back period under previous law. The act also eliminates from the definition of key employees the ten owner-employees with the largest annual compensation in excess of the defined contribution plan dollar limit (\$35,000 in 2001) and with the largest ownership interests in the employer.

Under the act, employer matching contributions are taken into account in determining whether the minimum benefit requirement is satisfied for a defined contribution plan. For defined benefit plans, a frozen year, that is, a year in which no key employee or former key employee benefits under the plan, is not considered in determining the employee's years of service.

Effective Date: Years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal in FY02, - \$0.05 million in FY03.

36. Nonresident Aliens Engaged in International Transportation

Federal Law Change: Under federal law, compensation for services performed by a nonresident alien in connection with the individual's temporary presence in the U.S. as a crew member on a foreign vessel is not U.S. source income. EGTRRA applies this rule for purposes of qualified retirement plans, employer-provided group-term life insurance and employer-provided accident and health plans. Under previous law, inclusion of the income of nonresident alien crew members for retirement plan purposes limited the ability of ship owners to provide retirement plans to their employees.

Effective Date: Remuneration for services performed in plan years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

37. Revision of Coverage Rules for Employees of Tax-Exempt Entities

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA directs the IRS to modify regulations so that tax-exempt charitable organizations may treat employees eligible to make contributions to a tax-sheltered annuity as excludable with respect to a sec. 401(k) cash or deferred arrangement or sec. 401(m) plan. This treatment is permitted only if no employee of the tax-exempt organization is eligible to participate in the sec. 401(k) or 401(m) arrangement and if 95% of the employees who are not employees of the tax-exempt entity are eligible to participate in those arrangements. Congress failed to make this change in the Small Business Job Protection Act of 1996 when it repealed the prohibition against maintenance of cash or deferred arrangements by tax-exempt entities.

Effective Date: Years beginning after December 31, 1996 (the effective date for related provisions in the Small Business Job Protection Act).

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

38. Repeal of Special Definition of Highly Compensated Employee

Federal Law Change: EGTRRA repeals a special transitional rule enacted in the Tax Reform Act of 1986 in light of the simplified definition of highly compensated employee in the Small Business Job Protection Act of 1996.

Effective Date: Plan years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Fiscal Effect: Minimal.

DC:MK:PW:skr
t:\rpt\dc\02dcrpt.ircupdate2002.doc

Andrew -

Attached is a revised copy of the IRC update document that Tom shared with you last week. The earlier paper described one item that did not apply to Wisconsin (relating to repeal of distance requirements for qualified conservation easements). This version also has corrected the numbering under section A, so there aren't two item 15s.

The document summarizes all of the items in the bill we would like Rep. Lehman to introduce for us (parallel to the budget reform bill). The only item that is summarized in this document that isn't in the budget bill (or the Burke/Krawczyk bill) is item 14 in Section A, the higher education tuition deduction. None of the bills or the draft we're working on for you include this provision because Wisconsin already has a tuition deduction. We kept the description of this item in the summary just so you know what the feds have passed under EGTRRA and why we were leaving it out.

To summarize:

The document is divided into three sections:

- A. Individual Income Tax
- ▶ B. B. Corporate and Other Business Income Tax
- C. C. Pension Provisions.

Starting with Section A, the Burke/Krawczyk bill includes items 1-13 and item 15. It does not include items 14, 16, 17 and 18. (These are corrected numbers.)

None of Section B, items 1 through 8 are included in the Burke/Krawczyk bill.

Finally, under Section C, all of the items 1-38 are included in the Burke/Krawczyk bill.

Hope this helps. Thank you very much for your help on this. I spoke with Tom Reid and he is reviewing the LRB draft right now --- I will let you know as soon as he has OK'd it.

Sherrie

Wisconsin Department of Administration
 Division of Executive Budget and Finance
 DOA-2048 (R07/2000)

Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

Original Updated Corrected Supplemental

LRB Number 01-4811/1	Introduction Number AB-819
Subject Internal Revenue Code update	
Fiscal Effect	
State:	
<input type="checkbox"/> No State Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate	
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriations	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs	
Local:	
<input type="checkbox"/> No Local Government Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate	
1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenue <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenue <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory
5. Types of Local Government Units Affected <input type="checkbox"/> Towns <input type="checkbox"/> Village <input type="checkbox"/> Cities <input type="checkbox"/> Counties <input type="checkbox"/> Others <input type="checkbox"/> School Districts <input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts	
Fund Sources Affected	Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations
<input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEGS	
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature
DOR/ Dennis Collier (608) 266-5773	Brian Pahnke (608) 266-2700
	Date
	02/20/2002

**Fiscal Estimate Narratives
DOR 02/20/2002**

LRB Number	01-4811/1	Introduction Number	AB-819	Estimate Type	Original
Subject					
Internal Revenue Code update					

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The bill would adopt for Wisconsin individual income and corporate income and franchise tax purposes the provisions of federal tax laws enacted during 2000 and 2001. These federal laws include: the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act (EGTRRA) enacted in 2001, and the Federal Sales Corporation Repeal and Extraterritorial Income Exclusion Act (FSCRA), the Community Renewal Tax Relief Act (CRTRA), which was incorporated into the Consolidated Appropriations Act, and the Installment Tax Correction Act (ITCA), all enacted in 2000. All provision of these laws would be adopted, except for a deduction for higher education expenses enacted in EGTRRA.

The bill would reduce revenues by \$8.55 million in FY02 and \$24.35 million in FY03. Provisions of the federal laws with a fiscal impact in the current biennium (shown in parentheses) would:

- 1) Increase the exclusion for employer-provided adoption assistance from \$5,000 to \$10,000 per eligible child. (-\$0.05 million in FY02, -\$0.20 million in FY03)
- 2) Increase the maximum annual contribution to an individual retirement account (IRA) from \$2,000 to \$3,000 in 2002-2004, \$4,000 in 2005-2007 and \$5,000 in 2008 and thereafter for persons younger than age 50, and to \$3,500 in 2002-2004, \$4,500 in 2004, \$5,000 in 2006-2007 and \$6,000 in 2008 and thereafter for persons age 50 and older. (-\$2.25 million in FY02, -\$5.95 million in FY03)
- 3) Increase the alternative minimum tax exemption amounts by \$4,000 for married couples filing jointly and by \$2,000 for other filers beginning in tax year 2001. (-\$0.20 million in FY03)
- 4) Extend permanently the exclusion for employer-provided educational assistance, which had been scheduled to expire on December 31, 2001, and expand the exclusion to expenses for graduate-level courses. (-\$2.70 million in FY02, -\$4.65 million in FY03)
- 5) Increase the annual contribution limit on education IRAs from \$500 to \$2,000 and the income range over which the deduction is phased out for married couples filing jointly from federal adjusted gross income (FAGI) of \$150,000 to \$160,000 to income of \$190,000 to \$220,000. (-\$1.05 million in FY02, -\$2.25 million in FY03)
- 6) Increase the income ranges for phasing out the student loan interest deduction, with indexing for inflation after 2002. New ranges are \$100,000 to \$130,000 for married couples filing jointly and \$50,000 to \$65,000 for other filers. (-\$0.90 million in FY02, -\$1.55 million in FY03)
- 7) Extend from December 31, 2000, to December 31, 2003, the expiration for an election to immediately deduct otherwise depreciable environmental remediation expenditures. (-\$1.25 million in FY03)
- 8) Expand the special deduction for contributions of computer equipment to elementary and secondary schools and libraries and extend the deduction's expiration from December 31, 2000, to December 31, 2003. (-\$1.10 million in FY03)
- 9) Reduce the basis of stock received in tax-free exchanges by the amount of liability assumed in the exchange, but not below fair market value. (+\$0.20 million in FY03)
- 10) Repeal the foreign sales corporation provisions, enacting in their place an exclusion for extraterritorial income. (-\$2.80 million in FY03)
- 11) Increase the contribution limits on defined contribution plans and elective deferrals on a variety of other retirement savings plans. For defined contributions plans, contributions limits increase from \$35,000 to \$40,000 in 2002 and are indexed for inflation thereafter. For sec. 401(k) plans, sec. 403(b) annuities, and sec. 408(k) simplified employee pensions (SEP) plans, the maximum elective deferral is increased from \$10,500 to \$11,000

simplified employee pensions (SEP) plans, the maximum elective deferral is increased from \$10,500 to \$11,000 in 2002 and by \$1,000 each year thereafter until the maximum reaches \$15,000 in 2006, and then is indexed for inflation. These same elective deferral limits are allowed for sec. 457 tax-exempt organization and government plan, raised from the previous \$8,500. The maximum elective deferrals under savings incentive match plan for employees plans is increased from \$6,500 to \$7,000 in 2002 and by \$1,000 each year thereafter until the limit reaches \$10,000 in 2005, and then is indexed for inflation. In addition, the compensation limit for employer deduction rules and for nondiscrimination tests for sec. 408(k) salary reduction SEPs, sec. 501(c)(9) voluntary employee benefit associations and sec. 501(c)(17)(A) supplemental unemployment benefit trusts is increased from \$170,000 to \$200,000 and indexed for inflation after 2002. (-\$0.40 million in FY02, -\$1.50 million in FY03).

12) Increase the annual benefit limit under defined benefit plans from \$140,000 to \$160,000, indexed for inflation after 2002. (-\$0.10 million in FY02, -\$0.25 million in FY03)

13) Increase the dollar limits on elective deferrals under several types of plans for persons age 50 and older. The additional catch-up contribution allowed for sec. 401(k)(11) or SIMPLE plans is \$500 in 2002, \$1,000 in 2003, \$1,500 in 2004, \$2,000 in 2005 and \$2,500 in 2006. For other plans, the additional amount is \$1,000 in 2002, \$2,000 in 2003, \$3,000 in 2004, \$4,000 in 2005 and \$5,000 in 2006. The additional deferrals for all plans are indexed for inflation after 2006. (-\$0.50 million in FY02, -\$1.20 million in FY03)

14) Increase the contribution limit to 100% of compensation for defined contribution plans, tax-sheltered annuities and government plans. (-\$0.20 million in FY02, -\$0.40 million in FY03)

15) Eliminate the requirement that government and tax-exemption plan participants coordinate deferrals with contributions to other plans. (-\$0.05 million in FY02, -\$0.15 million in FY03)

16) Increase the amount of deductible contributions by employers for defined contribution and SEP plans from 15% to 25% of contributions, and for money purchase plans to 25% of compensation or the amount required by sec. 401(k)(11), whichever is greater. (-\$0.10 in FY03)

17) Exclude from determination of employer deduction limits the salary reduction contributions elected by the employee to a cash or deferred arrangement under a sec. 401(k) plan, a salary reduction simplified employee pension (SARSEP), a tax-sheltered annuity or a SIMPLE account. (-\$0.20 million in FY02, -\$0.40 million in FY03)

18) Clarify that an employer's determination that a contribution to a multiemployer plan is on account of a prior year is not a change in accounting method resulting in an adjustment in the employer's taxable income. (-\$0.05 million in FY03)

19) Increase the applicable percentage of current liability for the full-funding limit for defined benefit pension plans to 165% in 2002 and 170% in 2003, and repealing the percentage thereafter (previously, 160% in 2002, 165% in 2003-2004 and 170% thereafter). With repeal of this percentage, the full-funding limit will be the excess of the plan's accrued liability over the value of the plan's assets. (-\$0.05 million in FY02, -\$0.10 million in FY03)

20) Expand the exemption from prohibition for loans between a qualified plan and disqualified persons to sole proprietors, partners with an ownership interest exceeding 10% and S corporation employees or officers with an ownership interest exceeding 5%. (-\$0.10 million in FY02, -\$0.15 million in FY03)

21) Allow rollovers from government plans and tax-sheltered annuities to other retirement plans, and from these other plans to government plans and tax-sheltered annuities. (+\$0.10 million in FY02)

22) Expand the deduction by corporations of dividends paid to an employee stock ownership plan and reinvested in qualified employer securities. (-\$0.10 million in FY02, -\$0.25 million in FY03)

23) Change the definition of top-heavy plan and key employee to limit the application of top-heavy rules that restrict the concentration of retirement plan benefit to key employees. (-\$0.05 million in FY03)

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Wisconsin Department of Administration
 Division of Executive Budget and Finance
 DOA-2047 (R07/2000)

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original
 Updated
 Corrected
 Supplemental

LRB Number 01-4811/1	Introduction Number AB-819
Subject	
Internal Revenue Code update	
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):	
-\$8.55 million GPR in FY02.	
II. Annualized Costs:	
	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:
	Increased Costs Decreased Costs
A. State Costs by Category	
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$
(FTE Position Changes)	
State Operations - Other Costs	
Local Assistance	
Aids to Individuals or Organizations	
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	
GPR	
FED	
PRO/PRS	
SEG/SEG-S	
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	
	Increased Rev
	Decreased Rev
GPR Taxes	\$
GPR Earned	\$-24,350,000
FED	
PRO/PRS	
SEG/SEG-S	
TOTAL State Revenues	\$
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT	
	State
	Local
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$-24,350,000
Agency/Prepared By	
Authorized Signature	
Date	
DOR/ Dennis Collier (608) 266-5773	Brian Pahnke (608) 266-2700
	02/20/2002