Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	mental			
LRB	Number	03-1957/2		Introc	luction Numbe	r A	B-237				
Subje Fundir		stabilization fund	l .			,					
Fiscal	Effect										
Local:	No Local Go Indeterminat	Existing tions Existing tions ew Appropriation vernment Costs e e Costs sive Mandator	Increase Exi Revenues Decrease Exi Revenues s 3. Increase Revenues y Permissive 4. Decrease Revenues	xisting venue	absorb wing Decrease 5.Types of L Units Affec	ithin age Yes Costs cocal Gooted	ency's bu	No			
		·	y Permissive		Schoo atory Distric		WTCS Districts	i			
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations											
⊠ GF	PR FED	PRO	PRS SEG	SE	GS						
Agend	y/Prepared	Ву	Autho	orized S	ignature			Date			
DOA/ Dick Wagner (608) 266-0653 Marth					na Kerner (608) 266-1359						

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOA 4/7/2003

LRB Number	03-1957/2	Introduction Number	AB-237	Estimate Type	Original				
Subject									
Funding of budget stabilization fund									

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The bill would increase the transfer from the general fund from its current 50% percent to 100% of the difference between actual revenues and projected revenus. The bill would also prolong the transfers until the fund reached 11% instead of 5%. Once the fund is 8% plus \$100 million a new tax credit is created.

The bill is not likely to have a major effect in the upcoming biennium. In the longer term the bill does not create new revenues or authorize new expenditures, but would shift a greater proportion of revenues from the general fund to the budget stabilization fund. Thus, the accounting presentation of state funds is presented differently by placing them in a specially designated fund but the fiscal effect on the state overall is not changed in terms of revenues. It could be argued this fund designation may have favorable consequences for bond ratings and interest rates. The new credit would offset a growing balance in the fund once it reached the prescribed level of 8% plus \$100 million.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Unknown.