## Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected Sup	plemental			
LRB Number <b>03-2069/2</b>	Introduction Number AB-3	61			
Subject Fine and forfeiture collections					
Fiscal Effect					
Appropriations Reve	ease Existing enues Increase Costs - May rease Existing to absorb within ager enues I Yes I Decrease Costs	v be possible ncy's budget \tag{\tag{No}}			
Permissive Mandatory Perm  2. Decrease Costs 4. Decr Permissive Mandatory Perm	5.Types of Local Government Units Aff  I Towns Villa Towns Other Counties Other School Owreasing Mandatory Districts Dist	ige Cities ers			
Fund Sources Affected  Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS					
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date			
DOR/ Daniel Huegel (608) 266-5705	Dennis Collier (608) 266-5773 6/2/2				

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 6/2/2003

LRB Number	03-2069/2	Introduction Number	AB-361	Estimate Type	Original
Subject					
Fine and forfeit	ure collections				

## **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

Under current law, when a circuit court imposes a fine or forfeiture for the violation of a state law, the county treasurer pays 90% to the state and retains 10% as an administrative fee. Under the bill, for fines or forfeitures not collected within 120 days of being imposed, the county treasurer could retain 40% of collections if the county employs collection staff who work for more than one county. This higher percentage would sunset on June 30, 2005.

The revenue data collected by the Department of Revenue does not contain information on the amount of court-imposed fines and forfeitures that are not paid within 120 days of being imposed. The Department therefore cannot reasonably estimate the decrease in the state's share or the increase in counties' share of fines and forfeitures that this bill will engender.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications**