Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	emental		
LRB	Number	03-2725/2		Intro	duction N	umber	AB-411			
Subject										
Exempt certain removal of topsoil from nonmetallic mining reclamation requirements										
Fiscal	Effect									
	No State Fisc ndeterminate Increase I Appropria Decrease Appropria	e Existing tions Existing	Rever Decre Rever	ase Existing	to	ncrease Cos o absorb wit Yes Decrease Co	thin agency	e possible v's budget No		
	Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease Permiss	e Costs sive Mandato se Costs sive Mandato	3. ☑ Increa ory ☑ Permis 4. ☑ Decre	ssive Mar ase Revenu	Go ndatory e	rpes of Loca overnment t ▼Towns ▼Counties ▼School Districts		e XCities		
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS										
Agenc	y/Prepared	Ву	P	Authorized S	Signature			Date		
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794				Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794				7/2/2003		

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 7/2/2003

LRB Number 03-2725/2	Introduction Number AB-411	Estimate Type	Original				
Subject							
Exempt certain removal of topsoil from nonmetallic mining reclamation requirements							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Bill Summary:

This legislation exempts from nonmetallic mining reclamation requirements the removal of soils for the purpose of creating a pond or wetland that has an area of three acres or less.

Loss of State Revenues:

The portion of the fees that fund the DNR costs are collected by county and local regulatory authorities (RA) and forwarded to the Department. In this funding arrangement the fiscal impact to the Department would be minimal (probably less than \$12,000.00/yr.) This estimate assumes 5 new exemptions per county x 70 counties = 350 operations x \$30 = \$10,500. It also assumes 1 exemption per city village or town RA x 25 x 30 = \$750. In summary, \$10,500 + \$750 = \$11,250.

Loss of Local Revenues:

Fiscal impacts to local government, while not major, will be a factor in funding such mandatory reclamation programs (\$750 to \$1,500 depending on the geology in the county). This is made worse since regulatory staff must still visit the site to verify acreage-based exemption (but without being able to recoup these costs).

Assuming 5 new exempt operations per county x \$150 = \$750 in lost revenues per county (\$750 x 70 counties = \$52,500) or \$150.00 per city village or town (if there was one operation that did not pay \$150).

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Upda	ted	Corrected		Supplemental						
LRB Number 03-2725/2		Introduction Num	ber	AB-411						
Subject Exempt certain removal of topsoil from the second	nonmeta	llic mining reclamation requ	uirement	rs.						
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):										
II. Annualized Costs:	-	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:								
		Increased Costs		Decreased Costs						
A. State Costs by Category										
State Operations - Salaries and Fring	es	\$								
(FTE Position Changes)		·								
State Operations - Other Costs										
Local Assistance										
Aids to Individuals or Organizations										
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$		\$						
B. State Costs by Source of Funds										
GPR										
FED										
PRO/PRS										
SEG/SEG-S										
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.)										
		Increased Rev		Decreased Rev						
GPR Taxes		\$		\$						
GPR Earned										
FED										
PRO/PRS										
SEG/SEG-S	SEG/SEG-S			-11,250						
TOTAL State Revenues		\$		\$-11,250						
NET A	NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT									
		<u>State</u>		<u>Local</u>						
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$	\$							
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$-11,250		\$-\$52,500						
Agency/Prepared By	Αι	ıthorized Signature		Date						
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Jo	e Polasek (608) 266-2794	7/2/2003							