

### Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

Original     
  Updated     
  Corrected     
  Supplemental

<b>LRB Number</b> <b>03-2588/1</b>	<b>Introduction Number</b> <b>AB-435</b>
------------------------------------	------------------------------------------

**Subject**  
 Repeal the comprehensive planning (Smart Growth) statute

**Fiscal Effect**

**State:**

No State Fiscal Effect  
 Indeterminate

<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriations	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget
<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriations	<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriations		<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs

**Local:**

No Local Government Costs  
 Indeterminate

1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenue <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	5. Types of Local Government Units Affected <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Towns <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Village <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cities <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Counties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Others <u>RPC</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> School Districts <input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts
2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	4. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenue <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	

<b>Fund Sources Affected</b>	<b>Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEGS	

<b>Agency/Prepared By</b> DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	<b>Authorized Signature</b> Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	<b>Date</b> 8/13/2003
--------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives

DNR 8/14/2003

LRB Number	<b>03-2588/1</b>	Introduction Number	<b>AB-435</b>	Estimate Type	<b>Original</b>
<b>Subject</b>					
Repeal the comprehensive planning (Smart Growth) statute					

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Bill Summary: This bill will repeal the comprehensive planning (Smart Growth) statutes.

State Fiscal Estimate: Exact costs are unknown as it is impossible to know what will occur or what the indirect or cumulative effects will be in the absence of a comprehensive plan. DNR assumes the absence of a comprehensive plan will increase the risk of piecemeal decisions which may increase the chance of results that will lead to further costs in DNR areas of concern. The areas of concern include, but are not limited, to costs associated with restoring natural areas, maintaining air and water quality, managing waste disposal facilities, and remediation costs.

Local Government Costs: Local governments will lose the \$1 million in annual planning grants administered by the Department of Administration. However, local governments will also not have the cost of developing the plans. These costs are difficult to determine at this time.

DNR assumes that in the absence of a comprehensive plan, local governments may make decisions which may lead to increased infrastructure costs such as sewer service facilities, drinking water supplies, and roads. Addressing these needs can financially burden a community. Again, there is no real way to predict actual costs, but the risk of increased costs is assumed to grow in the absence of a comprehensive land use plan.

### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original     
  Updated     
  Corrected     
  Supplemental

<b>LRB Number</b> 03-2588/1		<b>Introduction Number</b> AB-435	
<b>Subject</b>			
Repeal the comprehensive planning (Smart Growth) statute			
<b>I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):</b>			
<b>II. Annualized Costs:</b>		<b>Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:</b>	
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
<b>A. State Costs by Category</b>			
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		\$	
(FTE Position Changes)			
State Operations - Other Costs			
Local Assistance			
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			
<b>TOTAL State Costs by Category</b>		<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>B. State Costs by Source of Funds</b>			
GPR			
FED			
PRO/PRS			
SEG/SEG-S			
<b>III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)</b>			
		Increased Rev	Decreased Rev
GPR Taxes		\$	\$
GPR Earned			
FED			
PRO/PRS			
SEG/SEG-S			
<b>TOTAL State Revenues</b>		<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT</b>			
		State	Local
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$	\$
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$	\$
<b>Agency/Prepared By</b>		<b>Authorized Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794		Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	8/13/2003