Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

Original Updated	☑ Corr	rected	Supplemental	
LRB Number 03-4138/1	Introducti	on Number	AB-807	
Subject Internal Revenue Code update, P.L. 108-121, I for activated, armed forces reservists pay	Military Family Tax Re	lief Act; individual in	ncome tax exemption	
Appropriations Rev	rease Existing venues crease Existing venues	☑Increase Costs absorb within a ☑Yes ☑Decrease Cost	s - May be possible to agency's budget No s	
No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory	ease Revenue missive Mandatory rease Revenue missive Mandatory	5.Types of Local (Units Affected Towns Counties School Districts	Government Village Cities Others WTCS Districts	
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS	A SEG SEGS	ffected Ch. 20 App	oropriations	
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signate	ure	Date	
OOR/ Karyn Kriz (608) 261-8984 Dennis Collier (608) 266-5773 3/1/20				

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 3/1/2004

RB Number	03-4138/1	Introduction Number	AB-807	Estimate Type	Corrected
ubject					
ternal Revenu	e Code update,	P.L. 108-121, Military Famil	ly Tax Relie	f Act; individual inco	me tax exemption
r activated, ar	med forces reser	rvists pay	y rax rono	i / tot, maividuai mi	,,

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill adopts, for state income and franchise tax purposes, changes to the Internal Revenue Code made by Public Law 108-121, the Military Family Tax Relief Act of 2003 (MFTRA). This bill would also allow a subtraction from federal adjusted gross income (FAGI) for amounts received from the federal government by a member of a reserve component of the U.S. armed forces for services performed while on active duty.

MFTRA provides tax relief for members of the military serving in the Middle East and their families that will affect the tax returns filed for 2003. Wisconsin taxpayers who claim the tax relief on their 2003 federal returns would need to make adjustments on their state returns to recognize differences between state and federal law until Wisconsin adopts these provisions in 2004, at which time these taxpayers would need to file amended returns for 2003 to claim this tax relief for Wisconsin purposes.

Adopting the MFTRA provisions would reduce state income tax revenues annually by \$500,000, due to a deduction for travel expenses for National Guard and Reserve personnel. The ongoing loss from other provisions would be minimal. However, because most of the provisions of MFTRA are retroactive, there would be a one-time loss of \$1.3 million in FY05 in addition to the annual revenue loss of \$0.5 million. This would consist of -\$0.2 million from a military death benefit exclusion, -\$0.3 million from easing a restriction on the exclusion for the gain from the sale of a residence, and -\$0.8 million from the travel expenses deduction. Thus, the total revenue loss in FY05 would be \$1.8 million. The provisions of MFTRA that would be adopted for state tax purposes under this bill include the following:

exclusion for the gain from the sale of a residence, and -\$0.8 million from the travel expenses deduction. Thus, the total revenue loss in FY05 would be \$1.8 million. The provisions of MFTRA that would be adopted for state tax purposes under this bill include the following:
☐ The military death benefit is doubled from \$6,000 to \$12,000 and the full amount of the benefit is excluded from income; previously, one-half of the benefit was taxable. These changes are retroactive to September 11, 2001, and thus apply to military operations in Afghanistan and Iraq, as well as other locations since that date.
☐ The act clarifies that dependent care assistance provided by the U.S. Department of Defense is a qualified military benefit that is excluded from a taxpayer's gross income. The exclusion is effective for tax years beginning after December 31, 2002.
□ The act eases a restriction on the exclusion for the gain from the sale of a residence for uniformed and foreign service personnel. Under current law, taxpayers may exclude up to \$250,000 (\$500,000 for married couples filing jointly) of gain from the sale of a principal residence if they owned and used the property as a principal residence for two or more years during the five years preceding the sale. Uniformed and foreign service personnel called to active duty away from home may elect to suspend the five-year test, for one property, for up to five years. If the election is made, the five-year period does not include any period, up to five years, during which the service member is on extended duty (more than 90 days) at least 50 miles from home or in government quarters under government orders. The provision is retroactive to sales made after May 6, 1997.
☐ An exclusion from income is provided for reimbursement paid to members of the military for losses on the sale of their homes resulting from declines in home values due to a military base closure or reduction in operations. The exclusion, effective on November 12, 2003, is limited to the fair market value of the property.
□ MFTRA provides a deduction from gross income for travel expenses for members of the National Guard and Reserve when they travel more than 100 miles away from home and must stay away overnight. Under previous law, the deduction was from adjusted gross income, and thus limited to persons itemizing their deductions. The

☐ MFTRA expands the income and estate tax relief provided under the Victims of Terrorism Act of 2001 to

deduction applies to expenses paid or incurred for tax years starting after December 31, 2002.

astronauts who die in the line of duty, effective for deaths occurring after December 31, 2002. These provisions benefit the families of the astronauts killed in the space shuttle Columbia accident.

MFTRA also allows the filing extension provided to military personnel serving in a combat zone under current law to troops deployed in contingency operations, that is, those who may become involved in military actions. Wisconsin automatically adopts federal filing extensions under current law. Others provisions of the act do not have a substantive effect on Wisconsin.

The subtraction from FAGI for payments to members of a reserve unit while on active duty would first apply to taxable years beginning on January 1 of the year in which the subsection takes effect, or on January 1 of the following year if this subsection takes effect after July 31.

According to the Wisconsin National Guard website, there are 1,460 Wisconsin national guard members and 1,590 Wisconsin reservists currently mobilized. According to the Defense Manpower Data Center, 6.7% of active duty personnel are officers, the average annual pay of enlisted and officers is \$22,624 and \$52,943, respectively. Enlisted personnel and officers are on active duty for an average of 156 days and 130 days, respectively. All 12,900 Wisconsin national guard members and reservists are required to complete a two-week annual training period during which time they receive military pay and are considered to be on active duty.

Income received by reservists who are on active duty and serving in a combat zone is excluded from income for federal and state tax purposes. Therefore, this bill would apply to active reservists who do not serve in a combat zone. There are about 1,000 Wisconsin national guardsmen currently on active duty and serving in a combat zone. However, the number of Wisconsin reservists mobilized to serve in a combat zone is unknown.

Assuming about 2,050 Wisconsin military personnel are on active duty and not serving in a combat zone at any given point throughout the year, other than during the two-week training period, annual military pay to Wisconsin active duty personnel totals \$20.9 million. The payroll for the annual training period totals \$13.1 million. Assuming an average marginal tax rate of 5.5%, exempting this pay from taxation would decrease state income tax revenues by \$1.9 million (\$34.0 million x .055). However, this fiscal effect may be overstated in that some of these active duty personnel are serving in a combat zone and therefore by law already receive an exclusion from taxation of military pay.

The total annual revenue loss due to the adoption of certain tax provisions in the MFTRA and the creation of a subtraction for active duty military personnel would be \$2.4 million (\$1.9 million + \$0.5 million).

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original		Updated		X	Corrected			Supplemental
LRB I	Number	03-4138/ ⁻	1		Intro	duction N	umber	. A	B-807
Subjec	t								
Internal exempt	Revenue Co	ode update, P ated, armed fo	L. 108-121, rces reservi:	Milita sts pa	ry Family y	y Tax Relief	Act; indiv	∕idual	income tax
I. One-t annuali	time Costs o ized fiscal e	or Revenue In effect):	npacts for S	State a	and/or L	ocal Goverr	nment (d	lo no	t include in
One-tim retroact	ne revenue lo lively.	oss in FY05, in	า addition to	the or	ngoing lo	ss, of \$1.3 m	nillion fro	m add	opting provisions
II. Annı	ualized Cost	ts:				Annualize	d Fiscal	Impa	ct on funds from:
						Increased C	osts		Decreased Costs
	e Costs by (
		- Salaries and	Fringes				\$		
	Position Cha								
_		- Other Costs							
	l Assistance								
		s or Organizati							
		Costs by Cate					\$		\$
	e Costs by S	Source of Fun	ıds						
GPR									
FED									
PRO/									
SEG/	SEG-S								
III. State (e.g., ta	e Revenues x increase,	- Complete the decrease in li	his only who	en pro ets.)	oposal v	vill increase	or decr	ease	state revenues
						Increased I	Rev		Decreased Rev
	Taxes						\$		\$-2,400,000
	Earned								
FED		-							
PRO/									
	SEG-S								
ТО	TAL State R	Revenues					\$		\$-2,400,000
***			NET ANNUA	LIZE	D FISCA	L IMPACT			
-						S	tate		Local
	IANGE IN CO						\$		\$
NET CH	IANGE IN RE	EVENUE				\$-2,400,0	000		\$
	·								
Agency	/Prepared B	Зу		Auth	orized S	Signature	<u> </u>		Date
DOR/ Ka	aryn Kriz (60	08) 261-8984		Denn				3/1/2004	