

Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

Original Updated Corrected Supplemental

LRB Number 03-4375/1 **Introduction Number** AB-916

Subject
 Chronic wasting disease in certain captive deer

Fiscal Effect

State:

- No State Fiscal Effect
- Indeterminate
 - Increase Existing Appropriations
 - Decrease Existing Appropriations
 - Create New Appropriations
- Increase Existing Revenues
- Decrease Existing Revenues
- Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget
 - Yes No
- Decrease Costs

Local:

- No Local Government Costs
- Indeterminate
- 1. Increase Costs
 - Permissive Mandatory
- 2. Decrease Costs
 - Permissive Mandatory
- 3. Increase Revenue
 - Permissive Mandatory
- 4. Decrease Revenue
 - Permissive Mandatory
- 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected
 - Towns Village Cities
 - Counties Others
 - School Districts WTCS Districts

Fund Sources Affected **Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations**

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.370 (3)(mu)

Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	3/1/2004

Fiscal Estimate Narratives

DNR 3/1/2004

LRB Number 03-4375/1	Introduction Number AB-916	Estimate Type	Original
Subject Chronic wasting disease in certain captive deer			

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill authorizes a wildlife rehabilitator licensee who is rehabilitating white-tailed deer in Walworth County to keep white-tailed deer without any other license authorizing possession for purposes other than rehabilitation if the deer were taken from the wild before August 1, 2003. The bill further exempts such a licensee from registration requirements for farm-raised deer imposed by the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection. The bill also places some additional restrictions on a licensee related to preventing the spread of Chronic Wasting Disease, including the requirement that the licensee keep the deer confined in a fenced area that has a double-perimeter fence and that complies with the other rules promulgated by the DNR for deer fences for white-tailed deer.

It is assumed that the bill impacts only one rehabilitation licensee in Walworth County. It is assumed that the Department of Natural Resources will continue to monitor the operation to ensure that no escapes occur. It is also assumed that upon the death of each animal, tests will be performed to indicate the presence of disease, but testing is the responsibility of the licensee.

The adoption of AB916 would incur minimal expenses within the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. It is assumed that any such facility would be required to comply with the Fence Inspection Certificate requirement administered by the Department. The certificate costs \$50, and the revenue is deposited in the Conservation Fund. The Department would inspect the fence once, upon issuance of the certificate, at an estimated cost of \$50. The certificate is good for 10 years, so the fiscal impact is assumed to be one-time. It is assumed no other inspections would be required unless there was a complaint that the licensee was not in compliance. Also, funding may need to be provided to perform post-mortem tests on the animals housed at this facility for a variety of diseases.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original
 Updated
 Corrected
 Supplemental

LRB Number 03-4375/1		Introduction Number AB-916	
Subject			
Chronic wasting disease in certain captive deer			
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):			
The Department would incur a one-time cost of \$50 for an inspection of any required fencing, and receive a one-time revenue of \$50 for the Fence Inspection Certificate.			
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:	
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
A. State Costs by Category			
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		\$	
(FTE Position Changes)			
State Operations - Other Costs			
Local Assistance			
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$	\$
B. State Costs by Source of Funds			
GPR			
FED			
PRO/PRS			
SEG/SEG-S			
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)			
		Increased Rev	Decreased Rev
GPR Taxes		\$	\$
GPR Earned			
FED			
PRO/PRS			
SEG/SEG-S			
TOTAL State Revenues		\$	\$
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT			
		State	Local
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$	\$
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$	\$
Agency/Prepared By		Authorized Signature	Date
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794		Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	3/1/2004