

Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

Original
 Updated
 Corrected
 Supplemental

LRB Number **03-2261/1**
 Introduction Number **SB-123**

Subject
 Prohibit population from being used for eligibility for recycling efficiency incentive grants

Fiscal Effect

State:

- No State Fiscal Effect
- Indeterminate
 - Increase Existing Appropriations
 - Decrease Existing Appropriations
 - Create New Appropriations
- Increase Existing Revenues
- Decrease Existing Revenues
- Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget
 - Yes
 - No
- Decrease Costs

Local:

- No Local Government Costs
- Indeterminate
- 1. Increase Costs
 - Permissive Mandatory
- 2. Decrease Costs
 - Permissive Mandatory
- 3. Increase Revenue
 - Permissive Mandatory
- 4. Decrease Revenue
 - Permissive Mandatory
- 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected
 - Towns Village Cities
 - Counties Others
 - School Districts WTCS Districts

Fund Sources Affected

- GPR
 FED
 PRO
 PRS
 SEG
 SEGS

Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations

Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	4/30/2003

Fiscal Estimate Narratives

DNR 4/30/2003

LRB Number 03-2261/1	Introduction Number SB-123	Estimate Type Original
Subject Prohibit population from being used for eligibility for recycling efficiency incentive grants		

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Based on an objection raised by the Senate Committee on Environmental and Natural Resources (SCENR) relating to proposed administrative rule ch NR 549 changes – relating to the Recycling Efficiency Incentive (REI) grants – the Joint Committee on the Review of Administrative Rules (JCRAR) voted unanimously to forward two identical bills (one for the Assembly and one for the Senate) that would prohibit the DNR from making any REI grant award based on population of the grantee. SB 123 is one of those two bills. (AB 253 is the other.)

This bill does not affect the amounts available for distribution to Responsible Units of Government but could affect the distribution of these dollars. There are 10 Responsible Units (all cities) with a population of at least 50,000 that might have been negatively impacted by the removal of the population eligibility criteria. However, cities may use other criteria in the rule to apply for REI grant funds in the first round. As these potential applicants can use other eligibility criteria to apply for and share in the \$1.9 million, it appears that no cities will be excluded from participation with the removal of the population eligibility criteria.

NOTE: Each city, town or village is a responsible unit unless that municipality designates its county to act as a responsible unit or the municipality transfers its responsible unit status to another unit of government or solid waste management system using a s. 66.0301, Stats., contract. Only those responsible units that have an effective recycling program approved by the DNR may receive this grant.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

None. Using population as an eligibility criteria would only have been possible for the first round of grants. The postmark deadline for Round One applications was April 15, 2003.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original
 Updated
 Corrected
 Supplemental

LRB Number 03-2261/1		Introduction Number SB-123	
Subject			
Prohibit population from being used for eligibility for recycling efficiency incentive grants			
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):			
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:	
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
A. State Costs by Category			
	State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$	
	(FTE Position Changes)		
	State Operations - Other Costs		
	Local Assistance		
	Aids to Individuals or Organizations		
	TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$
B. State Costs by Source of Funds			
	GPR		
	FED		
	PRO/PRS		
	SEG/SEG-S		
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)			
		Increased Rev	Decreased Rev
	GPR Taxes	\$	\$
	GPR Earned		
	FED		
	PRO/PRS		
	SEG/SEG-S		
	TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT			
		<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$	\$
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$	\$
Agency/Prepared By		Authorized Signature	
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794		Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	
		Date	
		4/30/2003	