Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Suppler	nental
LRB	Number	03-3761/2		Introd	duction Nun	nber S	B-444	
Subject Airport	developmen	nt zones						
Fiscal	Effect							
Local:	No Local Gov	Existing tions Existing tions ew Appropriation vernment Costs	Revenu Decrea Revenu	se Existing	absor	ase Costs - rb within age Yes ease Costs	ency's bud	dget ⊠No
2	2. Decreas	e Costs ive Mandator se Costs ive Mandator	4. Decreas	sive Manda	Units A atory Co	of Local Go Affected owns [ounties [chool [stricts	Village Others WTCS Districts	Cities
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR PRO PRO SEG SEGS s.20.143 (1) (a)								
Agency	y/Prepared E	Зу	A	uthorized S	ignature]	Date
COMM/ Julie Keal (608) 266-6748				Louie Cornelius (608) 266-8629				2/17/2004

Fiscal Estimate Narratives COMM 2/17/2004

LRB Number 03-3761/2	Introduction Number	SB-444	Estimate Type	Original				
Subject								
Airport development zones								

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill establishes the Airport Development Zone Program, under which Commerce would designate areas in the state as Airport Development Zones (ADZ).

Commerce will designate areas as ADZs. An ADZ may be designated in a geographic area in which the construction or expansion of an airport is expected to take place. In designating ADZs, Commerce will follow statutory criteria and must determine that the airport development project serves a public purpose, that the airport development project will likely retain or increase employment in the state, that the airport development project is not likely to occur or continue without the designation of an ADZ, and that the airport development project is likely to positively affect the area. Businesses located in those zones may, after submitting an application and business plan to the department, be certified to claim the existing development zones jobs and environmental and capital investment credits.

According to the Department of Transportation, Wisconsin has 131 airports, 8 of which have commercial service. Areas surrounding all of these airports would be eligible to apply for designation, if they planned on expanding, since the language makes no distinctions based on size of the airport, and whether the airport is publicly or privately owned. An area without an existing airport could apply if it planned to construct an airport. The bill does not limit the number of ADZs that may be designated. Under the bill, each ADZ is allocated \$3 million in tax credits that may be claimed by businesses in the zone.

Although the bill does not currently provide any staffing, Commerce will need 2.0 FTE positions to administer the program. Based on the assumption that the tax credits available to businesses will make the program attractive to communities, Commerce expects that 20 to 30 communities are likely to apply to participate in the program. Many of these communities will meet the statutory criteria for designation, and will be designated.

Commerce's costs for the 2.0 FTE positions will be as follows:

Salary \$81,400 Fringe \$31,800 Supplies \$8,000 Total \$121,200

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original 🔲 Updat	ed	Corrected		Supplemental
LRB Number 03-3761/2		Introduction Num	ber \$	SB-444
Subject				
Airport development zones				
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts	for State	e and/or Local Governme	nt (do no	ot include in
annualized fiscal effect):			,	
None.				
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fig	scal Imp	act on funds from:
		Increased Costs		Decreased Costs
A. State Costs by Category				
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	3	\$113,200		
(FTE Position Changes)		(2.0 FTE)		
State Operations - Other Costs		8,000		
Local Assistance	-			
Aids to Individuals or Organizations				
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$121,200		\$
B. State Costs by Source of Funds		T	-	
GPR		121,200		
FED PRO/PRS				
PRO/PRS				
SEG/SEG-S				
III. State Revenues - Complete this only (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license	/ when p	oroposal will increase or o	decrease	state revenues
(-13,	100, 5.5.	Increased Rev		Decreased Rev
GPR Taxes		\$		Decreased Rev
GPR Earned		Ţ		Ψ
FED				
PRO/PRS				
SEG/SEG-S				
TOTAL State Revenues		\$		\$
NET AN	NUALIZ	ED FISCAL IMPACT		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		State		Local
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$121,200		\$	
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$		\$	
Agency/Prepared By	Au	thorized Signature		Date
COMM/ Julie Keal (608) 266-6748	lou	uie Cornelius (608) 266-862		
(10 Cornellas (000) 200-002	2/17/2004		