Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	emental		
LRB	Number	03-4456/1		Introd	luction Nu	umber	SB-556			
Subjec	ct									
	Authorize creation of special purpose district for county parks									
Fiscal	Effect									
	No State Fiscondeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Revo	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues	to	crease Cos absorb wif Yes ecrease Co		e possible 's budget No		
2	Indeterminate I. Increase Permiss Z. Decrease Permiss	e Costs sive Mandato se Costs sive Mandato	3. ⊠Incre ory ⊠Pern 4. ⊠Decr	ease Revenue nissive	Gor Affe datory	pes of Loca vernment t ected Towns Counties School Districts	al Units Village Others WTCS Districts	County park districts		
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations										
☐ GP		PRO [PRS	SEG SEC	3S					
Agency	y/Prepared E	 Зу		Authorized Si	gnature			Date		
DOR/ Daniel Huegel (608) 266-5705			Dennis Collier (608) 266-5773				3/24/2004			

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 3/25/2004

LRB Number 03-4456/1	Introduction Number SB-556	Estimate Type	Original						
Subject									
Authorize creation of special purpose district for county parks									

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under the bill, any county could separate its county park commission or county park department from the county and create a county park district (CPD). A CPD would be a separate governmental entity. A CPD's territory would be contiguous with the county that created it. A CPD would have the authority to acquire, develop, maintain, improve, operate, and manage parks, park facilities, recreational facilities, or recreational programs.

The Department of Revenue does not have information that would allow it to estimate the number of CPDs that might be formed under the bill, and can therefore not reasonably place dollar amounts on some of the fiscal effects this bill would engender. These fiscal effects are:

- (1) If a CPD is created, the portion of the county tax levy attributable to park and recreational purposes is to be transferred to the CPD, and a tax rate for these purposes is to be calculated. A CPD could levy a property tax at no more than the calculated rate unless voters approve a higher rate at a referendum. To the extent that voters are willing to pay higher taxes for CPD services, this part of the bill could increase property tax levies.
- (2) A CPD could impose impact fees on developers to recover the district's capital costs caused by the development. Since a CPD board could be more or less willing than a county board to impose impact fees, the potential impact on impact fees is indeterminate.
- (3) The property of a CPD would be exempt from local property taxes. Since this property is generally owned by counties and thus already exempt from property taxes, this part of the bill should have no effect on property tax levies.
- (4) Purchases by a CPD would be exempt from state and local sales taxes. Since a CPD's activities are currently performed by counties whose purchases are exempt, this part of the bill should have no effect on state and local sales taxes.
- (5) A CPD's income would be exempt from state income taxes. Since a CPD's activites are currently performed by counties whose income is exempt, this part of the bill should have no effect on state income tax collections.
- (6) Transfers of property to a CPD would be exempt from the real estate transfer fee (\$0.30 per \$100 of value transferred). The fee is normally paid when the instrument transferring the property is recorded with the register of deeds. The county retains 20% of the fee and remits the remainder to the state. This part of the bill will reduce county and state revenues by an unknown amount.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications