

2005 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Joint Resolution

Received: 09/26/2005

Received By: pdykman

Wanted: Soon

Identical to LRB:

For: Scott Fitzgerald (608) 266-5660

By/Representing: Tad Ottman

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: pdykman

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: Constitutional Amendments
Dom. Rel. - marriage

Extra Copies: RAC
PJK

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email: Sen.Fitzgerald@legis.state.wi.us

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Marriage 2nd consideration

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?							
/1	pdykman 09/26/2005	wjackson 09/27/2005	chaugen 09/27/2005	_____	mbarman 09/27/2005	mbarman 11/16/2005	
				_____	mbarman 11/16/2005		

FE Sent For:

<END>

2005 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Joint Resolution

Received: 09/26/2005

Received By: pdykman

Wanted: Soon

Identical to LRB:

For: Scott Fitzgerald (608) 266-5660

By/Representing: Tad Ottman

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: pdykman

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: Constitutional Amendments
Dom. Rel. - marriage

Extra Copies: RAC
PJK

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email: Sen.Fitzgerald@legis.state.wi.us

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Marriage 2nd consideration

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?							
/1	pdymkan 09/26/2005	wjackson 09/27/2005	chaugen 09/27/2005	_____	mbarman 09/27/2005		
				_____	mbarman 11/16/2005		

FE Sent For:

<END>

e-mail only
- see
attached

see
attached

2005 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Joint Resolution

Received: **09/26/2005**

Received By: **pdykman**

Wanted: **Soon**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Scott Fitzgerald (608) 266-5660**

By/Representing: **Tad Ottman**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **pdykman**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Constitutional Amendments
Dom. Rel. - marriage**

Extra Copies: **RAC
PJK**

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Sen.Fitzgerald@legis.state.wi.us**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Marriage 2nd consideration

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?							
/1	pdykman 09/26/2005	wjackson 09/27/2005	chaugen 09/27/2005	_____	mbarman 09/27/2005		

FE Sent For:

<END>

2005 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Joint Resolution

Received: **09/26/2005**

Received By: **pdykman**

Wanted: **Soon**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Scott Fitzgerald (608) 266-5660**

By/Representing: **Tad Ottman**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **pdykman**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Constitutional Amendments
Dom. Rel. - marriage**

Extra Copies: **RAC
PJK**

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Sen.Fitzgerald@legis.state.wi.us**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Marriage 2nd consideration

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	pdykman	1 wlj 9/27	ck 9-27	ck 9-27 <i>[Signature]</i>			

FE Sent For:

<END>



Nlj

2005 SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION

Tues

Re Gen

- 1 **To create** section 13 of article XIII of the constitution; **relating to:** providing that
2 only a marriage between one man and one woman shall be valid or recognized
3 as a marriage in this state (2nd consideration).

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

EXPLANATION OF PROPOSAL

This proposed constitutional amendment, to be given 2nd consideration by the 2005 legislature for submittal to the voters in November 2006, was first considered by the 2003 legislature in 2003 Assembly Joint Resolution 66, which became 2003 Enrolled Joint Resolution 29.

It provides that only a marriage between one man and one woman shall be valid or recognized as a marriage in this state and that a legal status identical or substantially similar to that of marriage for unmarried individuals shall not be valid or recognized in this state.

PROCEDURE FOR 2ND CONSIDERATION

When a proposed constitutional amendment is before the legislature on 2nd consideration, any change in the text approved by the preceding legislature causes the proposed constitutional amendment to revert to first consideration status so that 2nd consideration approval would have to be given by the next legislature before the proposal may be submitted to the people for ratification [see joint rule 57 (2)].

If the legislature approves a proposed constitutional amendment on 2nd consideration, it must also set the date for submitting the proposed constitutional

amendment to the people for ratification and must determine the question or questions to appear on the ballot.

1 Whereas, the 2003 legislature in regular session considered a proposed
2 amendment to the constitution in 2003 Assembly Joint Resolution 66, which became
3 2003 Enrolled Joint Resolution 29, and agreed to it by a majority of the members
4 elected to each of the 2 houses, which proposed amendment reads as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 13 of article XIII of the constitution is created to read:

[Article XIII] Section 13. Only a marriage between one man and one woman shall be valid or recognized as a marriage in this state. A legal status identical or substantially similar to that of marriage for unmarried individuals shall not be valid or recognized in this state.

SECTION 2. Numbering of new provision. The new section 13 of article XIII of the constitution created in this joint resolution shall be designated by the next higher open whole section number in that article if, before the ratification by the people of the amendment proposed in this joint resolution, any other ratified amendment has created a section 13 of article XIII of the constitution of this state. If one or more joint resolutions create a section 13 of article XIII simultaneously with the ratification by the people of the amendment proposed in this joint resolution, the sections created shall be numbered and placed in a sequence so that the sections created by the joint resolution having the lowest enrolled joint resolution number have the numbers designated in that joint resolution and the sections created by the other joint resolutions have numbers that are in the same ascending order as are the numbers of the enrolled joint resolutions creating the sections.

5 *Now, therefore, be it resolved by the senate, the assembly concurring,*
6 *That* the foregoing proposed amendment to the constitution is agreed to by the 2005
7 legislature; and, be it further

8 *Resolved, That* the foregoing proposed amendment to the constitution be
9 submitted to a vote of the people at the election to be held on the Tuesday after the
10 first Monday in November 2006; and, be it further

11 *Resolved, That* the question concerning ratification of the foregoing proposed
12 amendment to the constitution be stated on the ballot as follows:

1 **QUESTION 1: “Marriage.** Shall section 13 of article XIII of the constitution be
2 created to provide that only a marriage between one man and one woman shall be
3 valid or recognized as a marriage in this state and that a legal status identical or
4 substantially similar to that of marriage for unmarried individuals shall not be valid
5 or recognized in this state?”

6

(END)

Barman, Mike

From: Our Family [pjdykman@chorus.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2005 11:53 AM
To: Dykman, Peter; LRB.Legal
Cc: Ottman, Tad
Subject: RE: LRB 3729/1

Please send Tad Ottman in Sen. Fitzgerald's office an electronic copy of 3729/1 and please jacket it for the senate and send the jacket to Sen. Fitzgerald's office.

Thank you.

From: Dykman, Peter [mailto:Peter.Dykman@legis.state.wi.us]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2005 11:25 AM
To: pjdykman@chorus.net
Subject: FW: LRB 3729/1

From: Ottman, Tad
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2005 11:25:12 AM
To: Dykman, Peter
Subject: LRB 3729/1
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Hi Peter,

For some reason, I don't have an electronic copy of the constitutional amendment on marriage. Can you resend me a copy of LRB 3729/1 so that we can send the draft around with the cosponsorship memo and also so we can get it jacketed?

Thanks,

Tad Ottman
Sen. Scott Fitzgerald

Emery, Lynn

From: Emery, Lynn
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2005 2:34 PM
To: Sen.Fitzgerald
Subject: LRB 05-3729/1 (attached as requested)

Attachments: 05-3729/1



05-37291.pdf (16
KB)

Lynn Emery
Program Assistant
Legislative Reference Bureau
(608) 266-3561