Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	mental
LRB	Number	05-1365/1		Intro	duction Num	nber A	B-120	
	iting cell phor	ne use by opera se restriction vi		n instruction	permit or proba	ationary lice	nse and	creating
	No State Fisc ndeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat	Existing tions Existing	Rever Decre Rever	ease Existing	to at	ease Costs osorb withir Yes rease Costs	n agency	
	Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease	e Costs sive Mandato	3. Increa ory Permi 4. Decre	ase Revenue issive	Gove	s of Local ernment Uni owns Counties School Districts	its Affect Village Others WTCS District	Cities
Fund S	Sources Affe		PRS 🛛 S	SEG 🔲 SE	Affected C EGS 20.395(5)c	ch. 20 Арр і q	ropriatio	ns
Agenc	y/Prepared I	Ву		Authorized	Signature			Date
DOT/ B	DOT/ Erin Egan (608) 266-1449			Carol Buckmaster (608) 267-6979			2/28/2005	

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOT 2/28/2005

LRB Number	05-1365/1	Introduction Number	AB-120	Estimate Type	Original
Subject					

Subject

Prohibiting cell phone use by operators holding an instruction permit or probationary license and creating new penalty for these restriction violations

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Bill Summary:

This proposal prohibits drivers with an instruction permit or during the first nine months following issuance of a probationary license (for drivers under the age of 18) from using a cellular phone while driving, except to report an emergency.

Assumptions:

- -The visual identification of drivers subject to this restriction is not easy for law enforcement. After a stop, it will assist law enforcement to have the restriction printed on the license and available on the driver record.
- -Law enforcement already has the ability to cite ANY driver for inattentive driving (ID), including the use of a cell phone, under s. 346.89(1), Stats. In 2004 there were 10,032 convictions for inattentive driving (ID). The number involving the use of a cell-phone is not known.
- -This proposal is not expected to significantly increase the number of convictions.
- -No charge code will be developed for this conviction since it cannot be identified separately from other inattentive driving violations.

Conclusion

One time costs:

\$40,800 for data processing work to create a new restriction for cell phone use

Basis for Conclusion:

Data Processing effort required to do this is estimated at 48 days x \$850 (\$600 contract programmers + \$250 CPU time)= \$40,800

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2005 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Updated	Corrected	Supplemental			
LRB Number 05-1365/1	Introduction Number	r AB-120			
Subject					
Prohibiting cell phone use by operators holdin creating new penalty for these restriction viola		onary license and			
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for sannualized fiscal effect):	State and/or Local Government	(do not include in			
Data Processing development \$40,800		·			
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:			
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs			
A. State Costs by Category					
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$				
(FTE Position Changes)					
State Operations - Other Costs					
Local Assistance					
Aids to Individuals or Organizations					
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$			
B. State Costs by Source of Funds					
GPR					
FED					
PRO/PRS		And the second second			
SEG/SEG-S					
III. State Revenues - Complete this only wh revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in lic		crease state			
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev			
GPR Taxes	\$, \$			
GPR Earned					
FED					
PRO/PRS					
SEG/SEG-S					
TOTAL State Revenues	\$. \$			
NET ANNUA	LIZED FISCAL IMPACT				
	<u>State</u>	Local			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	\$			
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	\$			
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date			
	Carol Buckmaster (608) 267-6979				