## Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	emental
LRB	Number	05-2014/1		Intro	duction N	lumber	AB-124	ļ
Subject  Provision of home and community-based services under CIP II tro persons relocated from facilities (life lease program)								
	No State Fisc ndeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat	Existing tions Existing	Reve	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues				
Local:  No Local Government Costs  Indeterminate  1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory  2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts  School WTCS Districts								
Fund Sources Affected  Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.435 (4) (b) and (4) (o)								
Agenc	y/Prepared I	Зу	·	Authorized	Signature			Date
DHFS/ Erin Warner (608) 266-9363			Fredi Ellen Bove (608) 266-2907 2/28/2005			2/28/2005		

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DHFS 3/1/2005

LRB Number	05-2014/1	Introduction Number	AB-124	Estimate Type	Original	
Subject						
Provision of home and community-based services under CIP II tro persons relocated from facilities (life lease program)						

## **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

This bill modifies the Community Integration Program (CIP II). Specifically, it makes CIP II available to any nursing home resident who wants to relocate to the community. It provides counties Medical Assistance waiver funding to cover the individual's cost of care in the community and does not require a nursing home to close the relocating individual's nursing home bed. Counties may receive waiver funding for each relocated individual for the period that the person is eligible for and participating in the MA waiver program. Funding is no longer available if the person returns to a nursing facility or no longer participates in the waiver program. Under this bill, the Department of Health and Family Services must ensure that MA expenditures for individuals receiving services under this new initiative, in aggregate, do not exceed MA expenditures if these individuals were receiving services in a nursing home.

In its fiscal estimate for an identical bill introduced in the 2003 session, AB 920, the Department estimated the fiscal effect by comparing actual MA waiver and fee-for-service costs of people who relocated from nursing homes to the community in CY 02 to their nursing home and fee-for-service costs before relocating. The net cost differential under this methodology was approximately \$33 per day per recipient.

The Department has since developed a more refined cost model to estimate the effect of relocations. This analysis compares actual nursing home costs for the individuals who have care needs that make them most likely to be able to relocate to the community to the actual cost of individuals currently in the community with the same care needs. It compares their nursing home and fee-for-service costs to the MA waiver, family care, and fee-for-service costs of individuals with equivalent care needs in the community. The new cost differential under this methodology is approximately \$24 per day per recipient.

Maintaining the same rate of relocations, 15 per month, as assumed in the Department's previous fiscal and and assuming this bill is effective October 1, 2005, MA costs could decrease by \$455,900 AF (\$192,300 GPR) in FY 06 and \$2,016,400 AF (\$859,000 GPR) in FY 07.

There is no estimated local fiscal effect. The bill does not require counties to relocate individuals from a nursing home to the community. For individuals who are relocated under this bill, the Department would provide counties waiver funding at a level that is projected to cover the individual's cost of care in the community.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications** 

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2005 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	☑ Original ☐ Updated	☐ Corrected [	Supplemental				
L	RB Number <b>05-2014/1</b>	Introduction Number	AB-124				
Pr (li	rovision of home and community-based service lease program)	· ·					
ar	One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for St nnualized fiscal effect):						
11.	Annualized Costs:	recommendation of the second s	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:				
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs				
A	. State Costs by Category						
	State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$					
Ц	(FTE Position Changes)	:					
Ц	State Operations - Other Costs						
Ц	Local Assistance						
Ш	Aids to Individuals or Organizations		-2,016,400				
	TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$-2,016,400				
В	. State Costs by Source of Funds	· ·					
	GPR		-859,000				
	FED TO THE THE PARTY OF THE PAR		-1,157,400				
-63	PRO/PRS						
	SEG/SEG-S						
	l. State Revenues - Complete this only whe evenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in lice		crease state				
		Increased Rev	Decreased Rev				
	GPR Taxes	\$	\$				
	GPR Earned						
	FED						
	PRO/PRS						
	SEG/SEG-S						
	TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$				
	NET ANNUAL	IZED FISCAL IMPACT					
		State	<u>Local</u>				
N	ET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$-2,016,400	\$				
N	ET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	\$				
Ą	gency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
Dŀ	HFS/ Erin Warner (608) 266-9363	Fredi Ellen Bove (608) 266-2907	2/28/2005				