Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

\boxtimes	Original		Updated	200	Corrected		Supple	mental	
LRB	Number	05-0568/1		Introd	duction Nu	mber 🖊	AB-420		
Subjec	ct								
Give D	Give DATCP authority over all requirements concerning fencing of captive deer								
Fiscal	Effect								
	No State Fisc Indeterminate Increase E Appropria Decrease Appropria Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Reve	ease Existing	to :	crease Costs absorb withi Yes ecrease Cos	n agency		
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. ☐ Increase Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory 2. ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Districts ☐ Districts ☐ Districts									
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.115 (2)a									
Agenc	y/Prepared	Ву		Authorized \$	Signature			Date	
DATCP/ Melissa Mace (608) 224-4800				Barb Knapp (608) 224-4746 5/24/20				5/24/2005	

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DATCP 5/25/2005

LRB Number 05-0568/1	Introduction Number AB	-420 Estimate Type	Original					
Subject								
Give DATCP authority over all requirements concerning fencing of captive deer								

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This proposal will require that DATCP assume all responsibility for fence inspections for registered farm raised deer keepers. Currently, there are 850 registered farm raised deer keepers, 436 of those registered have white tail deer. Premises containing white tail deer are currently required to have a fencing certificate from the Department of Natural Resources. The remaining 414 are not required to be inspected or have a fencing certificate at this time.

For this fiscal estimate the assumption is that the current requirements for fencing of herds containing white tailed deer would be left intact and applied to all farm raised deer. This would mean that the 436 white tail deer farms with existing fence certificates would not need to reapply. These facilities will not need to be reinspected until their current certificates expire unless they add to their facility, deer escape or complaints regarding their fences are made. All non white tail deer herds (414) would need to be visited and educated on the fencing requirements and given time to bring existing fences into compliance, and then receive an initial fence inspection. Prior to the initial inspection we anticipate significant staff contacts seeking clarification of the requirements and extensions for the initial inspection. It is assumed that a minimum of 50 percent will require a second inspection, 25 percent of those will require multiple inspections before passing.

Additionally, a minimum of 25 percent of all registered farm-raised deer keepers (850) would need a reinspection because of additions, escapes, fence damage or complaint calls.

This will require an additional 6.0 staff to manage this and do the inspections and manage the data. The estimated cost of this staff is \$345,500.

At this time the Department does not possess the appropriate equipment to carry out these inspections. In order to complete fence inspections of all facilities, inspectors will need appropriate equipment to do fence surveys in all weather conditions and terrain. All applicable staff will need four wheel drive pick-ups, ATV with tracks for winter and trailers to haul them. ATV's could be stored regionally in order to be available to multiple staff. This estimate assumes five regional storage facilities, 5 ATV's and five trailers and five pairs of tracks. The equipment and storage is estimated to cost \$332,000.

This proposal requires that the rules to be submitted to legislative council staff with 10 months of the effective date of the proposal. At this time the department does not have staff that could be taken off of other priorities and assigned completely to the rule process for this rule. We need to hire 2 project staff for approximately 24 months to draft and implement the rules. These staff are estimated to cost \$183,400.

Revenues generated from fee's established under Wis. Statutes 90.21 are currently deposited to DNR. The bill repeals the fee and does not make any provision for DATCP to collect fees.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

After 24 months the annual amount would be reduced to 6.0 FTE and \$345,500.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2005 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental		
LRB	Number	05-0568	/1	Intro	duction Nu	mber	AB-420		
Subje	ect								
Give [DATCP autho	rity over all re	quirements o	concerning fe	encing of captiv	e deer			
			mpacts for	State and/or	Local Govern	nment (do	not include in		
annua	annualized fiscal effect):								
One time costs of equipment for staff is estimated at \$332,400.									
II. Án	nualized Cos	ts:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:				
					Increased Cos	its	Decreased Costs		
	ate Costs by						•		
Sta	te Operations	- Salaries an	d Fringes		\$400,70				
(FT	E Position Ch	anges)			(8.0 FTI	E)			
Sta	te Operations	- Other Cost	S		128,20	00	,		
Loc	al Assistance								
Aid	s to Individual	s or Organiza	ations						
1	OTAL State	Costs by Cat	tegory		\$528,90	00	\$		
B. Sta	ate Costs by	Source of Fu	ınds						
GP	R				528,90	00			
FEI)								
PR	O/PRS								
SEG/SEG-S									
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.)									
					Increased Re	ev	Decreased Rev		
GP	R Taxes					\$	\$		
GP	R Earned								
FEI)								
PR	O/PRS								
SE	G/SEG-S								
TOTAL State Revenues				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$	\$			
-	NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT								
					<u>Sta</u>	<u>ite</u>	<u>Local</u>		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS				\$528,90	00	\$			
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE					\$	\$			
Agency/Prepared By Authorized S					Signature		Date		
DATCP/ Melissa Mace (608) 224-4800 Barb					(608) 224-474	16	5/24/2005		