Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental
LRB	Number	05-1271/1		Introd	luction Num	ber A	B-98
Subje	ct						
Headla	amps in incle	ment weather					
Fiscal	Effect						
	No State Fisc Indeterminate Increase E Appropria Decrease Appropria Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Rev Dec Rev	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues	to abs		- May be possible n agency's budget No
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Village Cities Counties Counties Districts							
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS							
Agenc	y/Prepared l	Зу		Authorized S	ignature		Date
DOT/ Loralee Brumund (608) 267-3622				Carol Buckmaster (608) 267-6979			2/16/2005

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOT 2/16/2005

LRB Number	05-1271/1	Introduction Number	AB-98	Estimate Type	Original	
Subject						
Headlamps in inclement weather						

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The proposal:

The enactment of 2005 AB 98 would require headlamps and other required vehicle lights to be lighted when climatic conditions limit visibility such that objects on the highway are not clearly discernible at 500 feet from the front of the vehicle. Law enforcement officers would have the authority to stop and cite drivers for violating this law (standard enforcement).

Fiscal impacts:

- 1) There would be no additional costs to motorists since all vehicles must be equipped with the headlamps and tail lamps referred to in the proposal.
- 2) Though it is not possible to estimate the number of these violations, it is estimated that there would be no additional costs to law enforcement to enforce this law. Officers would enforce the law during routine traffic enforcement and no additional training beyond being informed of the new violation as a secondary enforcement would be required.
- 3) Though it is not possible to estimate the number of violations to be recorded with this new law, it is estimated that there would be no additional costs to the Division of Motor Vehicles to record these violations. These violations would be categorized the same as other violations that already exist for lighting requirements during hours of darkness.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Unknown

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2005 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Updated	Corrected	Supplemental			
LRB Number 05-1271/1	Introduction Num	ber AB-98			
Subject Headlamps in inclement weather					
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for annualized fiscal effect): None	State and/or Local Governm	ent (do not include in			
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fis	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:			
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs			
A. State Costs by Category					
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$				
(FTE Position Changes)					
State Operations - Other Costs					
Local Assistance					
Aids to Individuals or Organizations					
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$			
B. State Costs by Source of Funds					
GPR		· ·			
FED					
PRO/PRS					
SEG/SEG-S					
III. State Revenues - Complete this only w revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in l		r decrease state			
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev			
GPR Taxes	<u> </u>	\$			
GPR Earned					
FED					
PRO/PRS					
SEG/SEG-S					
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$			
NET ANNU	ALIZED FISCAL IMPACT				
	<u>State</u>	Local			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	\$0			
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	\$0			
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date			
DOT/ Loralee Brumund (608) 267-3622	Carol Buckmaster (608) 267-	6979 2/16/2005			