## Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

Original Updated	Corrected S	Supplemental					
LRB Number <b>05-2032/1</b>	Introduction Number SB-	-63					
Subject							
Remove the population cap for villages to have a combined protective services department							
Fiscal Effect							
State:  No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Pevenues Decrease Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget To absorb within agency's budget Decrease Costs  Decrease Costs  Solution  Increase Costs  Solution  Increase Costs Increase Costs Indeterminate Increase Costs Increase Costs Increase Costs Indeterminate Increase Costs Inc							
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS							
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date					
DOA/ Dick Wagner (608) 266-0653	Martha Kerner (608) 266-1359 2/21/2005						

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOA 2/21/2005

LRB Number	05-2032/1	Introduction Number	SB-63	Estimate Type	Original		
Subject							
Remove the population cap for villages to have a combined protective services department							

## Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

It is uncertain how many villages now or in the future might choose the new permissive provision on the use of combined protective services as an option for police and fire protection.

However, the Department has recently learned that there is one village that might choose to retain a combined protective services department if the population cap is removed. The fiscal effects of such a choice would be dependent on the chosen level of services.

## **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

Unknown.