

☞ **05hr_AC-CC_ab0040_pt01**



(FORM UPDATED: 08/11/2010)

WISCONSIN STATE LEGISLATURE ... PUBLIC HEARING - COMMITTEE RECORDS

2005-06

(session year)

Assembly

(Assembly, Senate or Joint)

Committee on Corrections and the Courts...

COMMITTEE NOTICES ...

- Committee Reports ... **CR**
- Executive Sessions ... **ES**
- Public Hearings ... **PH**

INFORMATION COLLECTED BY COMMITTEE FOR AND AGAINST PROPOSAL

- Appointments ... **Appt** (w/Record of Comm. Proceedings)
- Clearinghouse Rules ... **CRule** (w/Record of Comm. Proceedings)
- Hearing Records ... bills and resolutions (w/Record of Comm. Proceedings)
(**ab** = Assembly Bill) (**ar** = Assembly Resolution) (**ajr** = Assembly Joint Resolution)
(**sb** = Senate Bill) (**sr** = Senate Resolution) (**sjr** = Senate Joint Resolution)
- Miscellaneous ... **Misc**

Present: (9) Representatives Bies, Gundrum, Owens, Suder,
LeMahieu, Pope-Roberts, Wasserman, Seidel
and Parisi.

Absent: (1) Representative Underheim.

Moved by Representative LeMahieu, seconded by Representative
Suder that **Assembly Bill 40** be recommended for passage.

Ayes: (6) Representatives Bies, Gundrum, Owens,
Suder, LeMahieu and Wasserman.

Noes: (3) Representatives Pope-Roberts, Seidel and
Parisi.

Absent: (1) Representative Underheim.


PASSAGE RECOMMENDED, Ayes 6, Noes 3



Andrew Nowlan
Committee Clerk



WISCONSIN STATE LEGISLATURE



Wisconsin Narcotics Officers Association, Inc.

P.O. Box 471, Grafton, WI 53024-0471

Dedicated to Training and Intelligence



February 8, 2005

On behalf of the Wisconsin Narcotics Officers Association (WNOA), I would like to express our strong support for Assembly Bill 40 (AB-40). The WNOA represents over 250 Federal, State and Local Law Enforcement Officers in Wisconsin who are dedicated to the enforcement of state and federal narcotics laws. AB-40 would have a positive and important impact on Wisconsin Law Enforcement if enacted.

Currently, if a Law Enforcement Agency (LEA) arrests an individual for a drug-related offense and the individual is in possession of an amount of US Currency (USC) that is greater than \$2,000 and it is believed to be either the proceeds or used to facilitate the sale or use of a controlled substance, the currency is seized. The LEA then requests a federal LEA to adopt the seizure and forfeit the currency to the LEA. The federal LEA (most commonly the US Department of Justice-Drug Enforcement Administration) removes 20% from the total value of the seizure for administrative and legal costs. If the amount seized is less than \$2,000, the federal LEA will not file for forfeiture of the asset because it is below their threshold.

Along a similar line, if a LEA seizes a vehicle that was used to facilitate a drug transaction, that vehicle is subject to forfeiture. If the vehicle has an equity value of at least \$5,000, the vehicle can be forfeited through a federal LEA. Again, the federal LEA removes 20% of the value of the asset for processing.

Under current state law, if any currency is seized and forfeited, it must be placed in the "School Fund". Similarly, when a vehicle is forfeited, the LEA may retain up to 50% of the proceeds in order to pay for "expenses" relating to the investigation, storing or maintenance of the seized vehicle, but the remaining 50% must go to the School Fund.

In numerous instances, LEA's arrest individuals in possession of currency that falls below the federal threshold, e.g. \$1,875 in USC. In addition, LEA's frequently come into contact with vehicles that are valued at less than the \$5,000 federal threshold and may be too expensive for the LEA to seize due to repairs that would be needed to make the vehicle part of a LEA fleet. In either instance, the LEA traditionally does not like to return the currency to the defendant or return the defendant's vehicle to them, only to be used to commit another felony at a later time. The best way, in addition to incarceration, to disrupt a drug trafficker is to remove the "tools" they use to commit their unlawful and

dangerous acts. The best way to remove their tools is through court authorized forfeiture actions.

The current procedure for filing for an asset, e.g. currency valued at \$988 would be to complete the necessary agency reports, file additional report with the District Attorney's Office, complete the School Fund paper work and then until recently, pay a \$119 filing fee. The LEA does all of this, just to give the money to the school fund. They actually lost money because of the filing fee. That has since changed, now there is no filing fee. But there is still a substantial amount of the officer's time taken up completing miscellaneous paperwork without the agency receiving any compensation other than the fact that the LEA is taking away the drug traffickers "tools".

It is evident that the current system is not advantageous to either the LEA or the School Fund. This can be shown by the total amount of monies deposited into the School Fund. For CY 2002, \$3,413 was deposited and for CY 2003, \$2,635 was deposited. Our LEAs do not feel it is cost effective for their agency to have an officer complete numerous reports and file for an asset, only to have the entire asset turned over to the School Fund.

Under AB-40, the potential is far reaching for both the School Fund and for LEA's in Wisconsin. If AB-40 is enacted as proposed, the WNOA feels that the number of assets filed for forfeiture under the authority of AB-40 would soar. Currently, the Milwaukee Police Department seizes well over \$50,000 a year (\$2,000 and under) from individuals that are arrested for drug related offenses. Currently, the majority of the money is ordered by the court to be directed to a court designated "Crime Prevention Fund". In polling our members, the vast majority (I would state 95%) do not have the opportunity within their jurisdiction for the asset to be directed to a Crime Prevention Fund or similar program. In those instances, the asset is almost always returned to the drug trafficker. In my 23 years in law enforcement, 16 of which have been in narcotics enforcement, I have never seized currency that was turned over to the School Fund. In each instance, and there have been too many to recall, I was forced to return the currency or vehicle back to the defendant.

With the overwhelming increase in forfeiture actions if permitted under AB-40, I feel the School Fund would benefit as much as the LEA's. With the ever-decreasing LE budgets, any assistance to law enforcement is greatly appreciated. To have to return a drug dealers "tools", the tools that they obtained by selling drugs to our youth, our underprivileged, our addicted and other members of our great state is nothing less than demoralizing.

If you just look at the potential for the School Fund there would be no reason not to enact AB-40. If a mere \$100,000 is forfeited by state LEA's that would mean the School Fund would receive \$30,000 and state and local LEA's would receive \$70,000. That may be as little as 50-75 seizures to obtain that amount (The Milwaukee Police Department seizes over \$50,000 a year alone). It is a win-win-lose situation. The School Fund receives a greater amount of funding, the local LEA receives much needed assistance in these tight financial times and the drug trafficker loses their "tools" to continue their despicable trade. Now include in the forfeiture potential for the School Fund and the LEA if you

include the proceeds from the sale of vehicles and other property that were used to facilitate illegal drug activity. The potential is great.

We in law enforcement must always remind the public that the goal of having the government have the authority to seize and forfeit the personal property of the public when it is used to facilitate illegal activity is to punish the violator by taking away their "tools of the trade", not for padding the budgets of a LEA. I am extremely confident that Wisconsin's professional LEA's will not target individuals or groups just for the asset forfeiture potential. The LEA will target an individual or organization in an attempt to make their city, town or village a much safer place for everyone. We are all too aware of the damage that drugs do to the social fabric of every citizen of the state of Wisconsin. The horrible and senseless violence associated with the drug trade, the millions of dollars that are lost in drug related property crimes, the lost productivity in the workplace, the shattered lives because of the devastating effects that drugs have on relationships and on and on and on. That is why we need the passage of AB-40, not so we can pad the coffers of a governmental agency, so law enforcement can have another "tool" to fight the never-ending knot of illegal drugs and associated violence that is tied to our state. A lot of the drug dealers that are arrested are not the type of people that are often portrayed in the movies. A good majority are trafficking in controlled substances because they do not have to work too hard, they need to fund their own addiction or they see the "easy money" that can be made through drug dealing. These are the people that we need to stop, these are the people that are selling the bag of marijuana to our children in the high school, these are the people that are making the half-ounce of methamphetamine in their trailer and selling it to their friends, these are the people that are selling the hundreds of pills of Ecstasy at the dance club on Friday and Saturday nights just so everyone can have a "good time rollin". These are not the largest drug dealers, but these are the people we in narcotics enforcement come into contact on a daily basis. We need more "tools" to combat the ever-increasing number of drug traffickers operating within our jurisdictions. AB-40 is just one of those tools that will help us continue to be the front line defenders for society against the deadly evils of drug use and abuse.

The WNOA strongly supports and urges the enactment of AB-40. If there is anything else our association can do to support this legislation please do not hesitate to contact me at your earliest conveyance at (414) 406-0349. Thank you for authoring this legislation and working together, we can and will make Wisconsin a safer place for all of us.

Sincerely,




David L. Spakowicz

President

Wisconsin Narcotics Officers Association



WISCONSIN STATE LEGISLATURE



Nowlan, Andrew

From: Dunlap, Brad E.
Sent: Wednesday, February 09, 2005 10:33 PM
To: Rep.Bies
Subject: notes for testimony

Garey,

Thank you very much for inviting me to testify on behalf of AB40. I hope our testimony helped the bill in your committee. Please keep me apprised of the results and when the next hearing date is for the bill. I had a discussion out in the hall with Bob Smiley, the guy who spoke against the bill at the hearing. I think after the discussion he and the lobbyist (I did not catch his name) have a slightly different attitude about it. I gave them my business card and offered to answer any additional questions they might have of me concerning the testimony I provided. I also suggested they contact you again to clarify any misconceptions they might have about the ramifications of the bill. I sincerely believe that they might be misinterpreting what the change in the law would mean for the School Fund. I hope I did not speak out of turn in offering additional information. If they contact me, would you like me to refer them to your office? I would be more than happy to do what I can to ensure this bill gets passed. I have attached a separate document with my notes. If you cannot open it let me know and I will re-submit it as an email. Thank you again for the opportunity to participate in this process.

Sincerely,

Brad Dunlap
920-720-3626

Fax

920-751-6901

02/10/2005



Nowlan, Andrew

From: RiskMgmt007@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, February 09, 2005 9:20 PM
To: Rep.Bies
Cc: tvogel@co.door.wi.us; mwinkel@co.door.wi.us
Subject: Thank you State Representative Gary Bies -- From Rich Woldt

TO: State Representative Gary Bies

FROM: Richard Woldt - CEO
The Risk Management Learning Center

cc: Terry Vogel - Door County Sheriff
 Mark Winkel - Chief Detective Door County Sheriff Department
 State Representative Pat Strachota

DATE: February 9, 2005

SUBJECT: Speaking In favor of AB40

Representative Bies:

Thank you for the opportunity to speak in favor of AB40 today. AB40 is a win-win-win for law enforcement, schools and our community. The 70/30 split provides law enforcement with an incentive to pursue small asset forfeitures which in turn provides them with funding for additional crime fighting efforts. Schools will benefit from the added law enforcement focus on crimes that put their students at risk. They'll also benefit from reduced crime in other areas. We know that discouraging one type of crime reduces other types of criminal activity in the process. For example, juveniles who sell drugs are often involved in school vandalism and gang tagging. I'll not repeat my comments on character disorders other than to say, we all have them and they came from not seeing the consequences of our behavior. **AB40 creates negative consequences for inappropriate behavior at an age and time when it can make a difference and change bad behavior to good.** If we can change a juvenile's behavior today, we'll not have to prosecute, convict, sentence and house a criminal tomorrow.

AB40 also helps foster a partnership between law enforcement, school administrators and the community. Money collected through small asset forfeitures is earmarked for libraries, right? That 30% should be earmarked to purchase books that build character and encourage honesty and good behavior. Once the books are on the shelves, community leaders could sponsor programs that reward those who read them and promote their message. Take an example for the VFW. They sponsor essay contests on patriotism at local high schools which in turn reinforces our patriotism. The business community could sponsor scholarship based on essays about growing good character and promoting community values. Judges could require offenders to present their essays to students; and the circle of benefits keeps growing.

Representative Bies, this might seem like a bit of a reach but it's not. **Our young people will live up to or down to our expectations. AB40 helps define those expectations.** It also helps plant a seed by funding programs that enforce our community standards and provides our young people with an opportunity to excel. **Thank you for AB40 and thank you for your focus on supporting law enforcement, our schools and our community.**

Rich Woldt CPP, CFE
ACFEI – Level III Certified Homeland Security Instructor
Department of Emergency Government - Certified NICS Instructor
Private Detective
CEO: The Risk Management Learning Center
 Hot links to:
RMLearningCenter.com

02/10/2005




Remarks of Investigator Mark Winkel
Door County Sheriff's Office
In support of Bill amending State Statute 961.55

no date

- I wish to bring to your attention to a problem often faced by local Law Enforcement, in particular to Drug Units throughout the State of Wisconsin. This problem exists with the State of Wisconsin's Asset Forfeiture Law specifically Statute 961.55(5)(b).
- The law states: "If property forfeited is money, all money shall be deposited in the State School Fund."
- To circumvent the States unreasonable position, and to ensure that a portion of the lawfully seized cash assets are returned to the local Drug Units, it is common practice for agencies to forfeit said assets Federally through the Drug Enforcement Administration under the provisions of Title 21, United States code 881.
- By Federally forfeiting lawfully seized cash assets, such as those derived from illicit drug sales, the Federal Government through its Equitable Sharing Program in turn makes the responsible local Law Enforcement Agency eligible to receive 80% of the net forfeited cash assets, after costs, and awards associated with the action are deducted.
- Last year (2004) the Door County Sheriff's Department was involved in the lawful seizures of approximately \$12,000 in cash assets seized pursuant to drug investigations. Almost all of these monies were in turn forfeited through the Federal Governments Equitable Sharing Program.
- Monies returned to local Drug Units by the Federal Government are used to purchase equipment, as buy funds, pay for additional personnel and fund continuing drug investigations.
- We ask that you support this bill which asks for a modification to State Statute 961.55.
- This modification would allow the Law Enforcement Agency to retain 70% of any lawfully seized cash asset that does not exceed \$2,000 and 50% of any amount in excess of \$2,000.
- Such a modification to the States current law would not only increase vital monies returning to local Law Enforcement but also increase revenues submitted to the State due to what is sure to be an increased number of State Asset Forfeiture cases.
- In the wake of shrinking budgets, and the anticipated loss of State shared revenue and Federal Grant monies such as the Byrne Memorial Grant, this bill, if passed, would help provide local Law Enforcement with needed monies to continue vital programs designed to keep our local communities safe.



WISCONSIN STATE LEGISLATURE



no date

I have been employed by the Department of Justice for 12 years, the previous 2 of which I have been assigned as the Project Director of the Lake Winnebago Area Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force.

The Lake Winnebago Area MEG (here-after LWAM) services the Counties of Outagamie, Winnebago, Fond du Lac, and Calumet – roughly 500,000 people. By population served, it is the 2nd largest MEG unit in the State, behind Milwaukee. By number of investigators assigned, it is the 3rd largest behind Milwaukee and Dane County. There are currently 33 federally funded drug task forces in the State of Wisconsin.

These drug task forces are funded (completely or in part) by the Edward Byrne Memorial Grant, a federal grant that is administered by the Wisconsin Office of Justice Assistance. The LWAM subsidizes this grant funding through two other sources – asset forfeiture and County contributions. In 2005, the LWAM has been awarded a grant of approximately \$300,000, and will have an estimated operating budget of approximately \$425,000. Over the past several years, this grant award has been cut a total of approximately \$80,000, and is in peril of being cut another 60% (\$180,000) for calendar year 2006. Therefore, any shortfalls experienced by the LWAM will be passed along to the four Counties, who will need to supplement the LWAM operations with their own budgets.

The U.S. government currently maintains a \$2,000 threshold on forfeiture actions, when accompanied by a felony drug arrest. That is, they will not “adopt” seizures of less than \$2,000 for forfeiture. In 2004, the threshold was \$1,000. This increase in the seizure threshold will result in a significant decrease in forfeiture actions by the LWAM.

In 2004, the LWAM conducted 76 seizures of cash from suspects in drug cases, totaling \$102,047. 18 of these seizures (roughly 20%) were submitted to the federal government for forfeiture proceedings. These seizures totaled \$87,525. Of special note is that 8 of the 18 seizures would no longer be accepted by the federal government due to the increase in the seizure threshold. The remaining 58 seizures (roughly 80%) did not rise to the level of federal forfeiture. These totaled \$14,522.

The LWAM maintains a policy of not filing forfeiture requests through the district attorney's offices. This policy is the result of two intimately related factors - neither the district attorney's offices nor the LWAM have the staff, resources, or time to conduct additional investigation into seized currency, since the current statute states that 100% of said funds, if successfully forfeited, shall be deposited in the School Fund. It therefore is not cost effective to pursue state forfeiture actions.

If the current proposed amendment to Chapter 961 had been law in 2004, the LWAM would have received \$10,165 in forfeited currency, while the School Fund would have received \$4,356. The fate of the currency seized now rests in the hands of the circuit court judges, and this money is frequently returned to the defendant upon request of the defense attorney, or applied to fines, court costs, probation & parole fees, and other

surcharges at the adjudication of the criminal case. In these cases neither the drug task force nor the School Fund receives any money.

If this bill is passed into law, it would be a win-win-win situation.

First, it is the intention of the asset forfeiture laws to deprive drug traffickers of proceeds obtained through illegal sales of controlled substances. Currently, many defendants are reclaiming their "profits" as no equitable mechanism exists for the forfeiture of those proceeds by State and local law enforcement agencies. This bill would provide the necessary incentive for law enforcement to pursue forfeiture of any and all drug proceeds, regardless of the amount.


Second, funding for drug task forces continues to decline, while the problem posed by drug trafficking at best remains the same. While it is not the intention of the LWAM to use asset forfeiture as a primary funding source, it is a vital component of our organization, and many other drug enforcement agencies. Asset forfeiture money is traditionally spent on things such as equipment, training, and overtime expenses, all vital areas of drug enforcement.

And third, the School Fund is currently losing a significant funding source by failing to provide an incentive for law enforcement agencies to seize and forfeit drug proceeds through State court. While hard data cannot be provided on the number of seizures that were not pursued by law enforcement, it is important to remember that any police officer from any department within this state has the ability to seize drug proceeds given probable cause. If law enforcement agencies throughout the state had the ability to realize a tangible benefit for seizing drug proceeds, the School Fund would receive a significantly greater amount of funding from law enforcement than it currently enjoys.

Thank you.



WISCONSIN STATE LEGISLATURE



2005 Task Force Contact Info.

<u>Task Force</u>	<u>Agency</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>TF Respons</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>PO Box</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>ZIP</u>	<u>Phone</u>	<u>email</u>
Barron-Rusk	Barron Co. S.O.	Project Dire	Richard Car	1420 State Hwy 25	Nortl Barron			WI	54812	715.537.581	carrr@bnso.org
	Barron Co. S Sheriff	Thomas J R	1420 State Hwy 25	Nortl Barron				WI	54812	715.537.581	richie@bnso.org
	Rusk Co. S Sheriff	David Kami	311 Miner Ave	East, Sui	Ladysmith			WI	54848	715.532.2200	
	Barron Co. S Financial Reporting	Penny Pierce	1420 State Hwy 25	Nortl Barron				WI	54812	715.537.581	penny.pierce@co.barron.wi.us
Brown	Brown Co. S Sheriff	Project Dire	Dennis Kocl	300 E Waln	PO Box 220	Green Bay		WI	54305-2003	920.448.422	Kocken_DN@co.brown.wi.us
		Financial Of	Roger Degr	305 E Walnut St		Green Bay		WI	54301	920.448.402	Degroot_RL@co.brown.wi.us
	Brown Co. SO	Fiscal Repoi	Donald Heir	300 E Waln	PO Box 220	Green Bay		WI	54305-2003	920.448.421	Hein_DL@co.brown.wi.us
	Brown Co. S Lieutenant	Progress Re	Tom Molito	300 E Waln	PO Box 220	Green Bay		WI	54305-2003	920.448.762	Molitor_TJ@co.brown.wi.us
Columbia	Columbia C Detective	Project Dire	Anthony L.	711 E Cook	PO Box 132	Portage		WI	53901	608.742.411	anthonyv.belay@co.columbia.wi.us
		Financial Of	Lois Schepp		PO Box 177	Portage		WI	53901	608.742.964	lois.schepp@co.columbia.wi.us
	Columbia C Deputy	Progress Re	Cory Otto	711 E Cook	PO Box 132	Portage		WI	53901	608.742.411	cory.otto@co.columbia.wi.us
Dane	Dane Co. S Sheriff	Project Dire	Gary H. Har	115 W Doty St		Madison		WI	53703	608.284.681	Hamblin@co.dane.wi.us
		Financial Of	Margret Kro	210 Martin	Luther King	Madison		WI	53703	608.266.411	krohn@co.dane.wi.us
		Fiscal Repoi	Cindy Larso	2701 International	Lane,	Madison		WI	53704	608.242.231	Clarson@cityofmadison.com
	Madison PE Lieutenant	Progress Re	Sandy Theu	2701 International	Lane,	Madison		WI	53704	608.242.231	stheune@cityofmadison.com
Dodge	Dodge Co. S Detective	Project Dire	Jim Engels	141 N Main St		Juneau		WI	53039	920.386.396	jengels@co.dodge.wi.us
	Dodge Co. S Chief Deput	Fiscal Repoi	Blaine Laue	141 N Main St		Juneau		WI	53039	920.386.372	blauersdorff@co.dodge.wi.us
Douglas	Douglas Co. SO	Project Dire	Maria Plascl	1316 N 14th St	Suite 100	Superior		WI	54880	715.395.142	plaschm@ci.superior.wi.us
	Douglas Co. SO	Project Coo	John Nordb	1316 N 14th St	Suite 100	Superior		WI	54880	715.395.142	nordbergj@ci.superior.wi.us
Eau Claire	Eau Claire C Sheriff	Project Dire	Ron D. Crar	728 Second Ave		Eau Claire		WI	54703	715.839.471	sheriff@co.eau-claire.wi.us
		Financial Of	Dianne Hug	728 Second Ave		Eau Claire		WI	54703	715.839.622	gianne.hughes@co.eau-claire.wi.us
		Progress Re	Kirk Olson	728 Second Ave		Eau Claire		WI	54703	715.723.604	kolson@sbcglobal.net
Green	Green Co. S Chief Deput	Project Dire	Jeffrey S.	SI 2827 6th St	PO Box 473	Monroe		WI	53566-0473	608.328.957	skatrudj@greensheriff.com
	Green Co. S Sheriff	Financial Of	Randy Rode	2827 6th St	PO Box 473	Monroe		WI	53566-0473	608.328.955	roderickr@greensheriff.com
Green Lake	Green Lake Co. SO	Project Dire	Timothy Lu	486 Hill St	PO Box 586	Green Lake		WI	54941	920.294.401	lueptow@co.green-lake.wi.us
	Green Lake Co. SO	Financial Of	Lori Evans	486 Hill St	PO Box 586	Green Lake		WI	54941	920.294.412	levans@co.green-lake.wi.us
	Green Lake Co. SO	Drug Data	Ellen A. Pla	486 Hill St	PO Box 586	Green Lake		WI	54941	920.294.412	eplantz@co.green-lake.wi.us


Jefferson	Jefferson Co Detective S	Project Dire Timothy Ma 411 S Center Ave Financial Of Barbara A. 1320 S Main St	Jefferson Jefferson	WI WI	53549 920.568.258 timm@co.jefferson.wi.us 53549 920.674.714 daveE@co.jefferson.wi.us
Kenosha	Kenosha Co Sheriff	Project Dire David Beth 1000 55th St Financial Of Nancy Otis 1000 55th St Lieutenant Progress Re Harvey Hed 1000 55th St Drug Data Julie Junker 1000 55th St	Kenosha Kenosha Kenosha Kenosha	WI WI WI WI	53140 262.605.510 dbeth@co.kenosha.wi.us 53140 262.605.517 notis@co.kenosha.wi.us 53140 262.605.793 hhedden@co.kenosha.wi.us 53140 262.605.793 jjunkerm@co.kenosha.wi.us
Kewaunee	(Chief Deput Sheriff Investigator	Project Dire David E. Co 620 Juneau St Financial Of John M. Cm 620 Juneau St Progress Re Jason Veese 620 Juneau St Drug Data Karen Schot 620 Juneau St	Kewaunee Kewaunee Kewaunee Kewaunee	WI WI WI WI	54216 920.388.718 Cornelid@kewauneeeco.org 54216 920.388.718 Cmevial@kewauneeeco.org 54216 920.388.718 Veeseeri@kewauneeeco.org 54216 920.388.717 Schoenik@kewauneeeco.org
La Crosse	La Crosse C Captain Financial Di	Project Dire Jeff Wolf 333 Vine St Room 1500 Financial Of Gary Ingvall: 400 4th St R-Administrat Progress Re Richard Ter N4542 Old Hickory Dr	La Crosse La Crosse Onalaska	WI WI WI	54601 608.785.619 Wolf.jeff@co.la-crosse.wi.us 54601 608.785.975 ingvalson.gary@co.la-crosse.wi.us 54650 608.783.385 Riterlie@charter.net
Manitowoc	Manitowoc Lieutenant	Project Dire Mark D. An 1025 S 9th St Financial Of Todd Recke 1010 S 8th St	Manitowoc Manitowoc	WI WI	54220 920.683.421 MarkAnderson@co.manitowoc.wi.us 54220 920.683.405 todreckelberg@co.manitowoc.wi.us
Marathon	Marathon C Sheriff Captain	Project Dire Randall Ho 500 Forest St Financial Of Diane Semml 500 Forest St Progress Re Tomas Kujja 500 Forest St Drug Data Steve Rust 500 Forest St	Wausau Wausau Wausau Wausau	WI WI WI WI	54403 715.261.120 SORJH1@mail.co.marathon.wi.us 54403 715.261.120 Sodlis@mail.co.marathon.wi.us 54403 715.261.106 Sotik1@mail.co.marathon.wi.us 54403 715.261.106 sosjr@mail.co.marathon.wi.us
Marinette	City of Mari Lieutenant Captain	Project Dire Jeffery Hilb- 1905 Hall Ave Financial Of John Mabry 1905 Hall Ave	Marinette Marinette	WI WI	54143 715.732.515 jhilbelink@marinette.wi.us 54143 715.732.515 imabry@marinette.wi.us
Milwaukee	Milwaukee District Attc Deputy DA ADA Milwaukee Captain Milwaukee Lieutenant	Project Dire E. Michael 1821 West State St Room Financial Of James J. Ma 821 West State St Room Progress Re Steven V. L. 821 West State St Room Drug Data Greg Habeck Director Mark Mathy 801 West Michigan St Mark Mathy	Milwaukee Milwaukee Milwaukee Milwaukee Milwaukee	WI WI WI WI WI	53233 414.278.465 Mccann.emichael@mail.da.state.wi.us 53233 414.278.536 Martin.jim@mail.da.state.wi.us 53233 414.278.432 licata.steve@mail.da.state.wi.us 414.220.475 ghabeck@milwaukeehidta.org 53233 414.220.474 mmathy@milwaukeehidta.org (cell) 414.406.4680
Oneida	Oneida Co. Sheriff	Project Dire Timothy G. 2000 E Winnebago St Financial Of Margie Sore PO Box 400 Fiscal Repoi Kim Adams 2000 E Winnebago St	Rhineland Rhineland Rhineland	WI WI WI	54501 715.361.510 tmiller@co.oneida.wi.us 54501 715.369.614 msoresonson@co.oneida.wi.us 54501 715.361.516 kadams@co.oneida.wi.us

	Detective St	Progress Re	Daniel Hess	2000 E Winnebago St	Rhineland	WI	54501	715.361.515	dhess@co.oneida.wi.us	
Ozaukee	Ozaukee Co Lieutenant	Project Dire	Dean Rober	1201 S Sprui	PO Box 245	Port Washin	WI	53074-0245	262.284.846	droberts@co.ozaukee.wi.us
	Finance Dir	Financial Of	Andrew Lar	121 W Mair	PO BOX 99	Port Washin	WI	53074-0994	262.284.824	alamb@co.ozaukee.wi.us
		Drug Data	Kathy Kropi	1201 S Sprui	PO Box 245	Port Washin	WI	53074-0245	262.284.846	kkropidlowski@co.ozaukee.wi.us
Iowa-Grant	City of Platt Chief	Project Dire	Doug McKi	5 W Mineral St		Platteville	WI	53818	608.348.231	mckinleyd@platteville.org
		Financial Of	Duane Borg	75 N Bonson		Platteville	WI	53818	608.348.974	borgend@platteville.org
		Progress Re	Scott Marqu	5 W Mineral St		Platteville	WI	53818	877.882.667	marquardts@platteville.org
		Drug Data	Sarah Hake						877.882.667	IGDTF@charterinternet.com
Portage	Portage Co. SO	Project Dire	Nicholas Gr	1500 Strong's Ave		Stevens Poi	WI	54481	715.346.142	griesban@co.portage.wi.us
		Financial Of	Randy Thur	213 Park St		Wautoma	WI	54982	920.787.047	randy.sheriff@co.waushara.wi.us
Racine	Racine Co. Captain	Project Dire	William Gre	717 Wisconsin Ave		Racine	WI	53403	262.636.322	William.greer@goracine.org
		Financial Of	Spencer Rol	717 Wisconsin Ave		Racine	WI	53403	262.636.317	Spencer.robertson@goracine.org
		Progress Re	Don Wheelc	717 Wisconsin Ave		Racine	WI	53403	262.886.682	Donald.wheeler@goracine.org
Richland	Richland Co Sheriff	Project Dire	Darrell Berg	181 W Seminary St		Richland Ce	WI	53581	608.647.212	berglinda@co.richland.wi.us
	Joining with	Financial Of	Lorna Dilley	181 W Seminary St		Richland Ce	WI	53581	608.647.8906	
	Sergeant	Progress Re	Charles Mcl	181 W Seminary St		Richland Ce	WI	53581	608.647.8906	mcbainc@co.richland.wi.us
Rock	Rock Co. SO	Project Dire	Bill Harper	200 E Highway 14		Janesville	WI	53545	608.757.792	harperb@co.rock.wi.us
		Financial Of	Susan Balog	200 E Highway 14		Janesville	WI	53545	608.757.792	balog@co.rock.wi.us
		Progress Re	Marty Zamudio						608.365.952	zamudim@isp.state.il.us
Sauk	Sauk Co. SO	Project Dire	Joseph Wels	1300 Lange Ct		Baraboo	WI	53913	608.355.322	jwelsch@co.sauk.wi.us
	Lieutenant	Financial Of	Kevin Fults	1300 Lange Ct		Baraboo	WI	53913	608.355.322	kfults@co.sauk.wi.us
Shawano	Shawano Co. Sergeant	Project Dire	Gerald Thor	405 N Main St		Shawano	WI	54166	715.526.792	Law506@co.shawano.wi.us
	Finance Dir	Financial Of	Diane Rusel	311 N Main St		Shawano	WI	54166	715.526.482	findiane@co.shawano.wi.us
Sheboygan	City of Sheb Chief	Project Dire	David Kirk	828 Center Ave		Sheboygan	WI	53081	920.459.332	Shebmeg@bytehead.com
	Lieutenant	Financial Of	Kurt Brasse	828 Center Ave		Sheboygan	WI	53081	920.459.392	Shebmeg@bytehead.com
St. Croix	St. Croix Co Investigator	Project Dire	Dan Breyne	1101 Carmichael Rd		Hudson	WI	54016	715.381.432	danb@co.saint-croix.wi.us
	Sheriff	Financial Of	Dennis Hills	1101 Carmichael Rd		Hudson	WI	54016	715.381.432	dennish@co.saint-croix.wi.us
Walworth	Walworth Co Captain	Project Dire	Dana Nigbo	W4054 County Road N	Elkhorn	WI	53121	262.741.442	Dnigbor@co.walworth.wi.us	

Elkhorn PD Chief Walworth Co. SO	Financial Of Joel Christie; PO Box 920 Progress Re: Lori Reynol W4054 County Road N	Elkhorn N Elkhorn	WI WI	53121 262.723.221 53121 262.741.466	christensenj@charterinternet.net lreynold@co.walworth.wi.us
Washington Washington Sheriff Lieutenant	Project Dire Brian K Raf; 500 N Schmidt Rd Financial Of Jennifer Rin; 500 N Schmidt Rd Progress Re: Bob Konstai; 500 N Schmidt Rd	West Bend West Bend West Bend	WI WI WI	53095 262.335.435 53095 262.335.435 53095 262.335.443	brian.rahn@co.washington.wi.us jennifer.rindi@co.washington.wi.us bob.konstanz@co.washington.wi.us
Waukesha Waukesha C Captain	Project Dire Eric Seversc; 515 W Moreland Blvd Financial Of Thomas Kot; 515 W Moreland Blvd Drug Data Lynn Larson Drug Data Mary Mueller	Waukesha Waukesha	WI WI	53188 262.896.815 53188 262.896.815 262.548.714 262.548.714	eseverson@waukeshacounty.gov koth@waukeshacounty.gov larsen@waukeshacounty.gov mmueller@waukeshacounty.gov
Winnebago Winnebago SAC	Project Dire Bradley Dur; PO Box 719 Financial Of Chuck Oren; 448 Algoma Blvd Fiscal Repoi; Doug Petraszak Drug Data Shelley Bronold	Neenah Oshkosh	WI WI	54957-0719 920.751.694 54901 920.236.487 920.236.487 920.751.694	Dunlapbe@doj.state.wi.us Corenstein@co.winnebago.wi.us dpetraszak@co.winnebago.wi.us bronolds@doj.state.wi.us



WISCONSIN STATE LEGISLATURE



Task Force	Participating Counties	Lead Agency	Application Amount	CY06 Recommended	PA Portion	Total Award
Barron-Rusk Drug Enforcement Unit	Barron, Rusk	Barron County	\$31,713	Not Funded		Not Funded
Brown County Drug Task Force	Brown	Brown County	\$142,330	\$93,260	\$42,776	\$136,036
Columbia County Drug Enforcement & Education Task Force	Columbia	Columbia County	\$33,149	Not Funded		Not Funded
Dane County Narcotics and Gang Task Force	Dane	Dane County	\$210,750	\$164,660	\$46,090	\$210,750
Northwest Area Crime Unit	Ashland, Bayfield, Burnett, Douglas, Iron, Sawyer, Washburn	Douglas County	\$98,810	\$46,204	\$21,192	\$67,396
West Central Drug Task Force	Buffalo, Clark, Chippewa, Dunn, Eau Claire, Pepin	Eau Claire County	\$554,408	\$79,445	\$36,440	\$115,885
Green-Lafayette Drug Task Force	Green, Lafayette	Green County	\$33,982	Not Funded		Not Funded
South East Area Drug Operations Group	Dodge, Jefferson, Kenosha, Racine, Walworth	Kenosha County	\$337,606	\$257,935	\$79,671	\$337,606
Door-Kewaunee MEG	Door, Kewaunee	Kewaunee County	\$37,599	Not Funded		Not Funded
West Central MEG Drug Task Force	Jackson, La Crosse, Monroe, Trempealeau, Vernon	La Crosse County	\$62,500	\$62,500		\$62,500
Manitowoc County Metro Drug Unit	Manitowoc	Manitowoc County	\$59,969	\$27,818	\$12,760	\$40,578
Central Area Drug Enforcement Group	Marathon	Marathon County	\$152,838	\$49,972	\$22,921	\$72,893
Northeast Tri-County Drug Enforcement Group	Florence, Marinette, Oconto	Marinette, City of	\$77,577	Not Funded		Not Funded
Milwaukee Metropolitan Drug Enforcement Group	Milwaukee	Milwaukee County	\$1,031,296	\$610,942	\$280,225	\$891,167
North Central Drug Enforcement Group	Forest, Langlade, Lincoln, Oneida, Price, Taylor, Vilas	Oneida County	\$101,249	\$57,645	\$26,440	\$84,085
Ozaukee County Anti-Drug Task Force	Ozaukee	Ozaukee County	\$18,000	Not Funded		Not Funded
Richland-Iowa-Grant Drug Task Force	Iowa, Grant, Richland	Platteville, City of	\$36,398	\$33,210	\$3,188	\$36,398
Rock County Narcotics Enforcement Team	Rock	Rock County	\$179,198	Not Funded		Not Funded
Sauk County Drug Enforcement Task Force	Sauk	Sauk County	\$129,250	Not Funded		Not Funded
Shawano-Menominee County MEG	Menominee, Shawano	Shawano County	\$56,935	Not Funded		Not Funded
Sheboygan County MEG Unit	Sheboygan	Sheboygan, City of	\$35,459	\$27,113	\$12,436	\$39,549
St. Croix Valley Drug Task Force	Pierce, Polk, St. Croix	St. Croix County	\$66,900	Not Funded		Not Funded
Central Wisconsin Drug Task Force	Adams, Green Lake, Juneau, Marquette, Portage, Waupaca, Waushara, Wood	Stevens Point, City of	\$99,741	\$102,682	\$47,098	\$149,780
Washington County Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Unit	Washington	Washington County	\$156,094	\$36,203	\$16,606	\$52,809
Waukesha County Metropolitan Drug Enforcement Unit	Waukesha	Waukesha County	\$175,533	\$100,582	\$46,135	\$146,717
Lake Winnebago Area MEG Unit	Calumet, Fond du Lac, Outagamie, Winnebago	Winnebago County	\$278,180	\$149,829	\$68,722	\$218,551
			\$4,197,464	\$1,900,000	\$762,700	\$2,662,700

2005 Task Force Rank

Rank	Task Force	Total Score (Lowest is Best)
1	Milwaukee	24
2	Kenosha SEADOG	28
3	Winnebago	30
4	Dane	32
5	Brown	44
5	Waukesha	44
6	Eau Claire West Central	45
7	La Crosse	48
8	Stevens Point (Wood)	53
9	Rock	54
10	Oneida	58
11	Douglas	59
12	St. Croix	61
13	Marathon	65
14	Sheboygan	74
15	Washington	78
16	Platteville-Richland-Iowa	86
17	Barron-Rusk	88
18	Sauk	94
19	Manitowoc	99
19	Marinette	99
20	Columbia	104
21	Ozaukee	106
22	Shawano-Menominee	108
23	Green Lafayette	116
24	Door Kewaunee	119

Total Rank based on Population, Violent Crime Offenses, Property Offenses, Adult Cocaine Arrests, and Methamphetamine Incidents

2005 Task Force All Scores and Rank

Rank	Task Force	Pop.	04 Violent Offenses	04 Property Offenses	04 Adult Cocaine Arrests	04 Meth Incidents	Total Score (Lowest is Best)
17	Barron-Rusk	21	17	22	26	2	88
5	Brown	8	4	6	6	20	44
20	Columbia	23	22	20	21	18	104
4	Dane	4	2	3	4	19	32
11	Douglas	13	8	11	14	13	59
6	Eau Claire	7	9	8	10	11	45
23	Green Lafayette	24	24	26	25	17	116
2	Kenosha SEADOG	2	3	2	2	19	28
24	Door Kewaunee	25	26	25	24	19	119
7	La Crosse	9	7	10	8	14	48
19	Manitowoc	20	21	18	20	20	99
13	Marathon	14	13	15	11	12	65
19	Marinette	18	19	19	23	20	99
1	Milwaukee	1	1	1	1	20	24
10	Oneida	11	12	12	13	10	58
21	Ozaukee	19	25	24	18	20	106
16	Platteville	17	14	21	16	18	86
8	Stevens Point	6	11	7	15	14	53
9	Rock	12	6	9	7	20	54
18	Sauk	22	20	17	19	16	94
22	Shawano-Menominee	26	23	23	17	19	108
14	Sheboygan	16	16	14	12	16	74
12	St. Croix	10	15	13	22	1	61
15	Washington	15	18	16	9	20	78
5	Waukesha	5	10	5	5	19	44
3	Winnebago	3	5	4	3	15	30

Estimated 2005 Population Rank,
2004 Violent Crime Offenses Rank and
2004 Property Crime Offenses Rank

Task Force	Estimated 2005 Population	Task Force	2004 Violent Crime Offenses	Task Force	2004 Property Crime Offenses
Milwaukee	938995	Milwaukee	5264	Milwaukee	43759
SEADOG	617890	Dane	1145	SEADOG	16286
Winnebago	479272	SEADOG	896	Dane	13206
Dane	458297	Brown	605	Winnebago	10362
Waukesha	377348	Winnebago	536	Waukesha	5775
Stevens Point	306671	Rock	345	Brown	5741
Eau Claire	255343	La Crosse	318	Stevens Point	5608
Brown	240404	Douglas	317	Eau Claire	5359
La Crosse	230189	Eau Claire	277	Rock	5316
St. Croix	159628	Waukesha	267	La Crosse	4384
Oneida	158187	Stevens Point	265	Douglas	3922
Rock	156994	Oneida	201	Oneida	3710
Douglas	134051	Marathon	186	St. Croix	3038
Marathon	131377	Platteville	130	Sheboygan	2875
Washington	125940	St. Croix	124	Marathon	2482
Sheboygan	116075	Sheboygan	122	Washington	2310
Platteville	92517	Barron Rusk	107	Sauk	2126
Marinette	87927	Washington	82	Manitowoc	1897
Ozaukee	85787	Marinette	81	Marinette	1791
Manitowoc	84480	Sauk	72	Columbia	1350
Barron Rusk	62264	Manitowoc	71	Platteville	1259
Sauk	59226	Columbia	59	Barron Rusk	1185
Columbia	54940	Shawano Menominee	47	Shawano Menominee	1176
Green Lafayette	51890	Green Lafayette	31	Ozaukee	1009
Kewaunee Door	50381	Ozaukee	29	Kewaunee	841
Shawano Menominee	46646	Kewaunee Door	25	Green	568

Task Force	2004 Adult Cocaine Arrests	Task Force	2004 Meth Incidents
Milwaukee	2135	St. Croix	157
SEADOG	529	Barron Rusk	123
Winnebago	222	Oneida	19
Dane	206	Eau Claire	12
Waukesha	185	Marathon	10
Brown	181	Douglas	9
Rock	138	Stevens Point	8
La Crosse	131	La Crosse	8
Eau Claire	96	Winnebago	5
Washington	96	Sauk	4
Marathon	52	Sheboygan	4
Sheboygan	47	Green Lafayette	3
Oneida	43	Platteville	2
Douglas	40	Columbia	2
Steven's Point	38	Waukesha	1
Platteville	24	Shawano Menominee	1
Shawano	22	Kewaunee Door	1
Ozaukee	21	SEADOG	1
Columbia	20	Dane	1
Manitowoc	20	Washington	0
Sauk	20	Rock	0
St. Croix	18	Ozaukee	0
Marinette	15	Milwaukee	0
Kewaunee Door	12	Marinette	0
Green Lafayette	6	Manitowoc	0
Barron Rusk	2	Brown	0

Drug Task Force Federal Awards (CY2002 - CY2005) and Requests for CY2006

Task Force	2002 Federal	2003 Federal	2004 Federal	2005 Federal	2006 Request
Barron	\$42,209	\$53,543	\$35,459	\$21,375	\$31,713 -
Brown	\$150,923	\$135,586	\$137,740	\$162,755	\$142,330 ?
Columbia	\$38,553	\$39,719	\$38,399	\$33,149	\$33,149 -
Crawford	\$13,927	\$8,331	\$7,709	Not Funded	
Dane	\$304,431	\$276,004	\$285,259	\$287,360	\$210,750 ?
Douglas	\$82,159	\$73,721	\$80,634	\$80,634	\$98,810 ?
Eau Claire	\$161,412	\$134,666	\$138,645	\$138,645	\$554,408 ?
Green	\$50,459	\$50,459	\$38,792	\$33,982	\$33,982 -
Kenosha	\$123,557	\$93,750	\$101,696		\$337,606 ?
Dodge			\$43,624		
Jefferson	\$48,872	\$53,243	\$50,816	\$450,141	
Racine	\$170,606	\$131,160	\$139,361		
Walworth	\$72,652	\$60,113	\$66,645		
Kewaunee	\$70,918	\$35,459	\$35,459	\$37,599	\$37,599 -
La Crosse	\$133,340	\$124,238	\$132,566	\$115,898	\$62,500
Manitowoc	\$56,537	\$44,807	\$47,980	\$65,999	\$59,969 ?
Marathon	\$73,670	\$66,714	\$87,211	\$87,211	\$152,838 ?
Marinette	\$41,966	\$40,842	\$39,528	\$39,528	\$77,577 -
Milwaukee	\$1,123,919	\$950,520	\$1,035,787	\$1,035,787	\$1,031,296 ?
Oneida	\$111,348	\$101,551	\$98,600	\$100,600	\$101,249 ?
Ozaukee	\$37,803	\$33,740	\$35,459	\$18,000	\$18,000 -
Platteville	\$56,821	\$83,567	\$38,632	\$51,132	\$36,398 ?
Richland	\$10,395	\$8,558	\$9,788	Not Funded	
Stevens Point	\$156,229	\$135,946	\$147,011	\$179,198	\$179,198 ?
Green Lake	\$15,677	\$16,659	\$15,965		
Rock	\$124,063	\$105,948	\$117,658	\$125,607	\$129,250 -
Sauk	\$45,910	\$44,990	\$43,927	\$42,701	\$56,935 -
Shawano	\$35,459	\$35,459	\$35,459	\$35,459	\$35,459 -
Sheboygan	\$69,720	\$62,313	\$64,769	\$64,769	\$66,900 ?
St. Croix	\$89,463	\$111,420	\$115,718	\$110,819	\$99,741 -
Washington	\$69,561	\$70,517	\$81,180	\$63,180	\$156,094 ?
Waukesha	\$200,322	\$168,543	\$175,533	\$175,533	\$175,533 ?
Winnebago	\$299,996	\$262,395	\$261,477	\$261,477	\$278,180 ?
RMS				\$118,926	
Total	\$4,082,877	\$3,614,481	\$3,784,486	\$3,937,464	\$4,197,464