Fiscal Estimate - 2007 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	emental	
LRB N	umber 07	'-0976/1		Intro	duction No	umber	AB-03 4	12	
	Description Possession of certain nonnative wild animals of the family felidae and granting rule-making authority								
Fiscal Eff	iect								
	State Fiscal Efeterminate Increase Existi Appropriations Decrease Exist Appropriations Create New Ap	ng ting	Increase Revenue: Decrease Revenue:	s Existing	to	crease Cos absorb with Yes ecrease Co	hin agency		
Local: ☐ No Local Government Costs ☐ Indeterminate 1. ☐ Increase Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory 2. ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Decrease Revenue ☐ Counties ☐ Others ☐ School ☐ WTCS ☐ Districts ☐ Districts									
Fund Sou	rces Affected	PRO	PRS 🛛 SEG	i 🔲 SE	Affected GS	d Ch. 20 Ap	propriatio	ons	
Agency/P	repared By		Autl	norized S	Signature			Date	
DNR/ Joe	Polasek (608)	266-2794	Joe	Polasek ((608) 266-279	94		9/25/2007	

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 9/25/2007

LRB Number	07-0976/1	Introduction Number	AB-0342	Estimate Type	Original			
Description								
Possession of certain nonnative wild animals of the family felidae and granting rule-making authority								

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Summary: The bill requires that the owner of a nonnative "large cat" register the animal with the Department. The bill also requires the Department to maintain an electronic database that includes the information required to be provided by the owner and requires that the Department provide the information concerning each large cat to the county in which the cat is located.

Fiscal Effect: The Department would incur costs associated with processing registrations, compiling and maintaining a database, providing data to counties, and enforcing the provisions of the bill under the penalty provisions of Chapter 169.

The Department lacks reliable data with which to accurately estimate the number of large cats in the state that would be subject to the registration requirements of this bill. However, based on anecdotal evidence, the Department assumes that there may be as many as 400 nonnative large cats or hybrids in the state subject to the registration requirements.

Based on other databases it has developed, the Department anticipates one-time costs of \$3,000 associated with programming a database and providing Internet access to the information as required under the bill. The Department also anticipates labor related processing costs of \$10 per registration -- or \$4,000 (\$10 x 400 registrations). The Department also assumes it will incur ongoing, annualized costs of \$800 related to maintaining the database and providing information to counties. Counties may in turn incur administrative costs in an indeterminate amount as a result of this bill.

The Department also assumes that it will incur enforcement costs, particularly in the early years of the program. The Department assumes that owners of as many as 20% (an estimated 80 cats for purposes of this analysis) of the large cats required to be registered under the bill may not properly comply with the registration requirement, and may be brought to the Department's attention via a complaint from neighbors or community officials. DNR wardens would be expected to enforce the provisions of the bill under Chapter 169 and would incur time associated with investigating complaints, tracking potential violators, and educating those persons on the requirements under the bill, and, potentially, seizing the animal if the owner remained noncompliant.

The Department assumes that DNR wardens would spend, on average, at least 3 hours to complete these enforcement activities at an average hourly salary and fringe cost of \$40, or \$120 per case, multiplied by 80 cases, or \$9,600 in the first years of the program. The Department assumes that the enforcement workload would be less in subsequent years, but would expect to investigate at least 5 cases annually, resulting in annualized enforcement costs of \$600 (3 hours x \$40/hr x 5 cases = \$600).

Therefore, the estimated start-up costs associated with database development (\$3,000), registration processing (\$4,000), and initial enforcement (\$9,600) total \$16,600. Additionally, the Department anticipates that 20 large cats will be registered annually generating \$200 in processing costs (\$10 per registration x 20 registrations); 5 cat owners will be investigated annually at a cost of \$600; and the database will be maintained at an annual cost of \$800--totaling \$1,600 in ongoing annualized costs.

The bill directs the Department to promulgate a rule establishing a fee to cover its costs related to processing registrations, establishing and maintaining a database, and otherwise administering the provisions of the bill. The Department assumes that costs associated with "otherwise administering" the provisions of the bill include enforcement costs. Although the bill provides the Department the authority to charge a fee to cover its costs, it does not provide a means to appropriate the fee revenue to actually pay for its costs. As a result, the Department's costs will increase by amounts that cannot be absorbed in its current budget.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2007 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	X	Original		Updated			Corrected			Supplemental		
LI	RB	Number	07-0976	/1	-	Intro	duction N	lumbe	r /	AB-0342		
		iption ssion of certa	ain nonnative	wild anima	als of the	e family	felidae and	aranting	rule-	making authority		
1. (One-		or Revenue							not include in		
\$1 eff	6,60 forts	00 related to ((\$9,600).	database dev	relopment ((\$3,000)), registr	ation (\$4,00	0) and ii	nitial (enforcement		
II.	Ann	ualized Cos	sts:						Impa	ect on funds from		
_		y					Increased Co	osts		Decreased Costs		
_		te Costs by										
\vdash			s - Salaries an	ıd Fringes			\$	800		\$		
${m \mapsto}$		E Position Ch										
\vdash			s - Other Cost	s				800				
\vdash		al Assistance										
Ц			ls or Organiza									
Ш	<u> TC</u>	OTAL State	Costs by Ca	tegory			\$1,	600		\$		
-			Source of Fu	ınds								
	GPR											
	FED											
-)/PRS										
	SEG	S/SEG-S					1,	600				
III. rev	Stat venu	te Revenues ues (e.g., tax	s - Complete x increase, de	this only ecrease in	when p	roposa e fee, et	will increas	se or de	ecrea	se state		
							Increased F	Rev		Decreased Rev		
<u></u>	GPR	Taxes						\$		\$		
(GPR	REarned										
	FED											
	PRO)/PRS										
بَل	SEG	SSEG-S										
	TC	OTAL State I	Revenues					\$		\$		
			1	NET ANNU	JALIZEI	D FISC	AL IMPACT					
							St	tate		Local		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS							\$1,6	600	\$			
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE								\$	\$			
Agency/Prepared By Aut					Auth	orized S	Signature	Date				
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 Joe				Joe F	e Polasek (608) 266-2794 9/25/20							