Fiscal Estimate - 2007 Session

Original Updated	☐ Correct	ted	Supplemental						
LRB Number 07-3580/1	Introduction	n Number A	B-0634						
Description Minimum harvesting requirements for Great Lakes fish									
Fiscal Effect									
Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations R Appropriations R Create New Appropriations Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory P	ecrease Existing evenues		its Affected ☑Village ☐Cities ☑Others						
	ermissive Mandatory	School Districts	WTCS Districts						
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS									
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signatur	'e	Date						
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek (608) 26	Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 1/11/2008							

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 1/11/2008

LRB Number 07-3580/1	Introduction Number	AB-0634	Estimate Type	Original			
Description Minimum harvesting requirements for Great Lakes fish							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Summary:

The bill would eliminate the Department of Natural Resources' authority to use annual minimum catch requirements in its rules as a standard for renewing Great Lakes commercial fishing licenses.

Fiscal Effect:

The Department assumes that there would be an indeterminate--yet potentially significant--annualized fiscal impact associated with this bill. The Department issues commercial fishing licenses based on meaningful criteria, particularly including the criterion that, to qualify for a license, an applicant must have harvested in the previous license year at least a minimum amount of fish. The Department assumes, based on state court decisions, that by eliminating the Department's ability to impose the minimum catch requirement, and without replacing it with other equally meaningful relicensing criteria, the bill will move Wisconsin toward the recognition of commercial fishing licenses and quotas as property rights, and could significantly increase the cost of managing and regulating commercial fishing by requiring prior payment by the State for any compensable "takings". That is, if Wisconsin courts were to recognize commercial fishing licenses and quotas as property rights, any DNR rule change that that might reduce the commercial harvest or otherwise affect the productive value of a license may have to be compensated as a regulatory "taking". It is not possible to estimate this potential cost. However, regarding an estimate of the total value of the commercial fishery, the Department estimated in 1999 that the dockside value of the commercial harvest from Lake Michigan during the 1998-99 fishing year was \$4.2 million.

Additionally, because policy and legislative guidance require that standards exist to identify inactive licensees, and because the minimum catch requirement is the Department's primary vehicle for accomplishing that, the Department would incur one-time costs estimated at \$2,000 to promulgate rules specifying new standards by which the Department would identify inactive licensees.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

None

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2007 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

\boxtimes	Original		Updated			Corrected		Supp	lemental
LRE	3 Number	07-3580/	′ 1		Introduction Number AB-0634				
	cription mum harvesting	g requirement	s for Great L	akes fi	sh				
annı	e-time Costs ualized fiscal e	effect):	•				•		
	00 associated vitify inactive con				ig new	standards t	y wnich th	ie Departr	nent will
II. Ar	nnualized Cos	ts:				Annualized	Fiscal Im	pact on f	unds from:
						Increased C	osts	Decre	eased Costs
	tate Costs by								
St	ate Operations	- Salaries an	d Fringes				\$		\$
(F	TE Position Ch	anges)							
St	ate Operations	- Other Costs	3						
Lo	cal Assistance								
Aid	ds to Individual	s or Organiza	tions						
	TOTAL State	Costs by Cat	egory				\$		\$
B. S	tate Costs by	Source of Fu	ınds						
GF	PR								
FE	ED								
PF	RO/PRS				***************************************				
SE	EG/SEG-S								
	tate Revenues nues (e.g., tax						se or deci	rease sta	te
						Increased	Rev	Dec	reased Rev
GI	PR Taxes						\$		\$
GI	PR Earned								
FE	D								
PF	RO/PRS								
SE	EG/SEG-S								
	TOTAL State	Revenues					\$		\$
		ľ	NET ANNUA	LIZED	FISC	AL IMPACT			
						S	tate		Local
NET CHANGE IN COSTS					\$	\$			
NET	CHANGE IN F	REVENUE					\$		\$
Agency/Prepared By Aut			Autho	rized	Signature			Date	
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 Joe			Joe Po	e Polasek (608) 266-2794			1/11/2008		