Fiscal Estimate - 2007 Session

☑ Orio	ginal	Upo	dated	Cor	rected		Suppler	mental
LRB Nun	nber 07-3 8	808/1	li	ntroduct	tion Numbe	er A	B-0784	1
authorizing a	the creation of leading the creation of leading the contract of the creation o	rict to apply	stricts, authorizin for funding from a local park distri	certain pro	ograms admin	istered b	by the De	ıx, partment
Fiscal Effec	t	and the state of t						
Indete	ate Fiscal Effect erminate crease Existing propriations ecrease Existing propriations eate New Appro		Increase Exis Revenues Decrease Ex Revenues				agency's	
⊠ Indete 1. □ I □ I 2. □ I	ncrease Costs Permissive M Decrease Costs	3. landatory 4.	. Increase Rev Permissive Decrease Re Permissive	Mandato evenue		nent Uni ns 🔯 nties 🔯	its Village Others WTCS Districts	☑ Cities Park districts
Fund Source		RO 🔲 PF	RS 🔲 SEG [SEGS	Affected Ch. :	20 Appr	ropriatio	ns
Agency/Pre	pared By		Authori	ized Signa	iture		l	Date
DOR/ Daniel Huegel (608) 266-5705 Paul			Paul Zie	aul Ziegler (608) 266-5773				2/19/2008

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 2/19/2008

LRB Number	07-3808/1	Introduction Number	AB-0784	Estimate Type	Original
	~ ~				

Description

Authorizing the creation of local park districts, authorizing a local park district to levy a property tax, authorizing a local park district to apply for funding from certain programs administered by the Department of Natural Resources, and authorizing a local park district to impose impact fees and issue debt

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under the bill, one or more municipalities, one or more counties, or any combination of municipalities and counties could create a park district. A park district would be a separate governmental entity, independent of the municipalities and counties that formed it. A park district would have the authority to acquire, develop, maintain, improve, operate, and manage parks, park facilities, recreational facilities, or recreational programs.

The Department of Revenue is unable to predict the number of park districts that might be formed as a result of the bill. Given this limitation, the fiscal effects of the bill are expected to be as follows:

Property taxes levies: Park districts could levy a tax of up to 1 mill (\$1.00 per \$1,000) on the equalized value of taxable property within the district. A higher rate could be imposed if approved by the park district board and by voters at a referendum. For 2007, a park district's tax levy may not increase by more than greater of (a) 3.86% or (b) the percentage increase in its equalized value due to net new construction. For 2008, a park district's tax levy may not increase by more than greater of (a) 2.00% or (b) the percentage increase in its equalized value due to net new construction. To the extent that voters are willing to pay higher taxes to support a park district, this part of the bill may increase property taxes when compared to current law.

Local expenditures: Counties and municipalities may have short-term cost increases or decreases due to the creation of park districts, depending on changes in the level and type of services provided, plus any changes in administrative responsibilities. In the year the park district is created, the establishing governments must reduce their tax levy by an amount equal to the prior year's levy for park and recreational activities that are transferred to the park district.

Impact fees: A park district could impose impact fees on developers to recover capital costs caused by the development. A park district may be more or less willing to impose such fees when compared to municipalities. As a result, the effect of this part of the bill is indeterminate.

Property tax exemption: The property of a park district would be exempt from property taxes. Since most, if not all, park district property would already be exempt from taxes (since it would be owned by counties or municipalities), this part of the bill should have no effect on property tax levies and the property tax base.

Real estate transfer fee: Transfers of property to a park district would be exempt from the real estate transfer fee (\$0.30 per \$100 of value transferred). The fee is paid when the instrument transferring the property is recorded with the county register of deeds. The county retains 20% of the fee and remits the remainder to the state. This part of the bill will reduce county and state revenues from the fee by an unknown amount. However, since it is probable that few, if any, transfers of parkland would occur if not for the provisions of the bill, this fee exemption will have little fiscal impact.

Income and sales taxes: The income of a park district would be exempt state income taxes. Park district purchases would be exempt from state and local sales taxes. Since the same exemptions hold for counties and municipalities, this part of the bill should have no effect on state tax collections.

The Department of Revenue (DOR) would need to determine an equalized value for any park district created under the bill. DOR would also have to make significant changes in its systems for property assessment and property tax collection oversight. As a result, DOR would incur one-time costs of \$37,000 and contining, annual costs of \$12,700.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2007 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Updated	Corrected	Supplemental			
LRB Number 07-3808/1	Introduction Numb	oer AB-0784			
Description Authorizing the creation of local park distric authorizing a local park district to apply for 1 Department of Natural Resources, and auth issue debt	unding from certain programs ac	lministered by the			
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts fo annualized fiscal effect):		•			
The Department of Revenue would incur or systems regarding property assessment, pr equalized values.					
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fisc	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:			
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs			
A. State Costs by Category					
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$12,700	\$			
(FTE Position Changes)	(0.3 FTE)				
State Operations - Other Costs					
Local Assistance	ente e um granta ente e y em el prije en el entrema entrema en	na n			
Aids to Individuals or Organizations	sted				
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$12,700	\$			
B. State Costs by Source of Funds					
GPR	12,700				
FED :	4 × +				
PRO/PRS					
SEG/SEG-S					
III. State Revenues - Complete this only versenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in	when proposal will increase or license fee, ets.)	decrease state			
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev			
GPR Taxes	\$	\$			
GPR Earned					
FED					
PRO/PRS					
SEG/SEG-S					
TOTAL State Revenues	**************************************	\$			
NET ANNU	ALIZED FISCAL IMPACT				
	State	Local			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$12,700	\$			
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$.	\$			
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date			
DOR/ Daniel Huegel (608) 266-5705	Paul Ziegler (608) 266-5773	2/19/2008			