Fiscal Estimate - 2007 Session

Original Dpdated	Corrected Supp	lemental					
LRB Number 07-2731/1	Introduction Number SB-20	4					
Description The removal of nonconforming outdoor advertising signs							
Fiscal Effect							
Appropriations Reversible Permissive Mandatory Perm 2. Decrease Costs 4. Decrease Costs Reversible Permissive Appropriations Reversible Permissive Appropriations Reversible Permissive Mandatory Permissive Decrease Costs 4. Decrease Costs 4. Decrease Costs 4. Decrease Costs 9. Decre	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues To absorb within agent Tyes Decrease Costs 5.Types of Local Government Units Affet Towns Village Towns Counties Othe School Districts Districts	cy's budget No cted ge Cities rs					
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.395 (3)							
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date					
DOT/ Richard Moss (608) 267-7830	ulie Johnson (608) 267-3703 7/17/2007						

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOT 7/17/2007

LRB Number 07-2731/1	Introduction Number	SB-204	Estimate Type	Original			
Description							
The removal of nonconforming outdoor advertising signs							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

There are approximately 4300 nonconforming signs along Wisconsin's highways. These signs are 37% of the inventory of off-premise outdoor advertising signs regulated by the department.

For purposes of analysis, assume the intent of the authors is to allow any repairs or maintenance, subject only to the limitation on cost of those activies in relation to the replacement cost of the sign.

If this bill were enacted, a process for establishing the value of a nonconforming sign and determining when repairs and maintenance on a sign exceeds 50% of its replacement value will be needed. Essential components of this process include:

- ... obtaining and verifying a replacement value* for each sign from the sign owner
- ... developing and implementing a method of capturing and tracking the damage, repair, and customary maintenance costs for each nonconforming sign, which will involve creating a document, both hard copy and electronic, for use by sign owners to inform the department about damage to, destruction of, and maintenance to their sign
- ... distributing the document to all sign owners and educating each sign owner in its use
- ... entering the sign's value and other related information into the database, including downloading detailed photographs
- ... building a new feature into the existing sign database to preserve these sign values and the accumulated costs of repairs and maintenance for each sign

A conservative estimate of the time needed to accomplish all of the above tasks for each nonconforming sign is three hours, or 12,900 hours to accomplish this work. This represents approximately 7 FTE positions, using 1800 hours available in one FTE position.

Assuming these tasks could be performed under contract at a value of \$85,000 per each FTE position, this would cost the department \$595,000. As an alternative, permanent FTE positions could be created to perform this work. Other methods of accomplishing the work would be explored, which would reduce costs.

If this bill became law, the department would recoup these costs by seeking an increase of the annual sign and permit fee for nonconforming and grandfathered signs through the administrative rule process. The impact would be an increased annual fee for this type of sign. Current fees of \$50 per year would be projected to be \$190 per year.

*This bill does not define replacement value. Therefore, for the purposes of this bill, we assume that the method adopted by administrative law judges when deciding sign cases is acceptable. Basically, the cost to replace each sign component at current, new prices and the labor to install the components creates the sign's current replacement value.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

The intent of both Wisconsin and Federal law is to control outdoor advertising and allow this advertising in only certain (commercial or industrial) areas and with certain other restrictions on size, spacing and other criteria. To allow outdoor advertising signs to remain indefinitely in agricultural and forested areas, or other non-commercial areas, is inconsistent with the public policy intent of those laws.

The provision of law that allowed existing uses to remain for the remainder of their normal service life was an accommodation that recognized the pre-existing investment and allowed for a transition over time that benefited the sign owners while avoiding the need for an upfront, immediate buyout of the sign owners

interest to remove existing signs that no longer conformed to the standards set by the law. Such a policy change would have required a substantial public investment, and, as with other planning and zoning decisions, typically is resolved by allowing for a transition period during which only minimum maintenance is allowed.

Given that these signs are generally located along busy highway corridors that continue to see higher usage, and in locations where the law no longer allows construction of new signs, the value of the signs has grown significantly. When these signs must be acquired, the value of the signs is not determined based on the depreciated value of the structure that was in place at the time the law was changed, nor even at the current replacement costs of the materials and labor to construct that sign. Rather, the value continues to increase based on the ability to generate greater revenues from the sign because they are protected from new competition by the law. These escalating costs end up being borne by taxpayers when there is a need to eventually acquire a sign for a highway project. Were the original intent of the law followed, to allow the signs to remain only for their normal service life with limited routine maintenance, the signs would eventually be eliminated without the cost to the taxpayers. Had there been an expectation that the signs would be allowed to be maintained indefinitely, it would have been wise to purchase all the signs at the time the law was enacted, when the values were lower and to achieve the public benefits sooner.

The effective elimination of the ability to affect changes over time through this type of grandfathering or nonconforming status also has implications for other public decisions related to community planning and land use.

Annualized costs to the department as described above in the assumptions section are calculated to be \$595,000.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2007 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original ☐ Updated	ł	Corrected		Supplemental		
LRB Number 07-2731/1		Introduction Num	ber S	B-204		
Description The removal of nonconforming outdoor ad	vertisi	ng signs				
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts f annualized fiscal effect):	or Sta	te and/or Local Governm	ent (do n	ot include in		
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:				
		Increased Costs		Decreased Costs		
A. State Costs by Category						
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		\$		\$		
(FTE Position Changes)						
State Operations - Other Costs		595,000				
Local Assistance						
Aids to Individuals or Organizations						
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$595,000		\$		
B. State Costs by Source of Funds				·		
GPR						
FED	****					
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S		595,000				
III. State Revenues - Complete this only revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in			r decreas	e state		
		Increased Rev		Decreased Rev		
GPR Taxes		\$		\$		
GPR Earned						
FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S						
TOTAL State Revenues		\$		\$		
NET ANNI	UALIZ	ED FISCAL IMPACT				
		<u>State</u> \$595,000		Local		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS				\$		
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$		\$		
Agency/Prepared By	Au	thorized Signature		Date		
DOT/ Richard Moss (608) 267-7830	Jul	ulie Johnson (608) 267-3703 7/17/2007				