Fiscal Estimate - 2007 Session

X	Original		Updated		Correcte	ed		Supplemen	tal
LRB	Number	07-3377/1		Intro	duction	Number	SE	3-308	
Descri The sa approp	le of dogs, re	egulation of cer providing a pen	ain dog breeders, alty	granting	rule-mak	king authority	, maki	ing an	
Fiscal	Effect								
	No State Fisc ndeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	Existing tions Existing	☐ Increase Revenues ☐ Decrease Revenues	s Existing	L=	Increase C to absorb t Y Decrease	within a es	May be pos agency's bu ☑I	dget
	ndeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease	e Costs ive Mandato	3. Increase I ry Permissiv 4. Decrease	e Man Revenue	idatory e	5.Types of Lo Governmer Towns Countie School Districts	nt Units	s Affected Village Others WTCS Districts	Cities
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.115(2) (j)									
Agenc	y/Prepared E	Зу	Auth	orized S	Signature)		Date)
DATCP/ Melissa Mace (608) 224-4800 Barb				rb Knapp (608) 224-4746					4/2007

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DATCP 11/14/2007

LRB Number 07-3377/1	Introduction Number	SB-308	Estimate Type	Original				
Description The sale of dogs, regulation of certain dog breeders, granting rule-making authority, making an								
appropriation, and providing a pena	in dog breeders, grami Ity	ng rule-makii	ig authority, makir	iy ari				

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Commercial dog breeders in Wisconsin are an unregulated business. The department has no actual data available on how many dog breeders are in the state, and no data on how many dogs are sold annually by commercial dog breeders in this state. Without more data on this we are unable to estimate, with accuracy, the revenue or expenses that would be generated.

However, in order to provide an estimate on the fiscal impact of this bill, DATCP has researched other state programs and found Nebraska's initial pet regulation program was similar to the proposed legislation. Information obtained from talking with the NE program staff was used to provide a basis for this estimate.

Nebraska's program defines a commercial dog breeder as having four or more unaltered male or female animals, if any animals are sold or bred. The program, initially, allowed for inspections to be conducted only in response to a complaint. According to the Nebraska division of animal industry, they license 514 facilities. Ninety-eight percent of these facilities are dog facilities and they have received an average of 87 complaints annually on these dog facilities.

Number of facilities in WI was estimated by adjusting the number of commercial dog facilities licensed in NE to reflect that Wisconsin's population is about 3 times higher than Nebraska's. This increase assumes that there are more facilities breeding animals to meet a higher demand by a larger population. To address the different definition of a commercial breeder we estimated that 1/3 of the facilities would meet the definition for commercial breeder as set forth in the proposed bill.

Utilizing the above methodology, it is estimated that Wisconsin will have 500 commercial dog breeding facilities, and DATCP will receive 86 complaints on facilities that are subject to licensing. DATCP will receive an additional 174 complaints on facilities over which we will have no authority.

Re-inspections will be conducted at 50% of facilities. The average re-inspection cost is projected at \$115.

In order to create and run this program the department would need to develop a licensing database, develop rules, and educate the public about the pet buyer's protection criteria.

DATCP has no information available to differentiate among the three levels defined in the bill draft, an average license fee of \$100 was used for estimating revenue.

Revenue:

Licenses: \$49,900 Re-inspections: \$4,900

Expenses:

3.0 FTE: \$204,900 per year

Licensing Database Development: \$68,000 (one time development cost.)

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2007 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental			
LRB	Number	07-3377	7/1	Intro	duction Nun	nber	SB-308			
The sa	priation, and p	providing a pe	enalty		ting rule-making					
l. One annua	e-time Costs o alized fiscal e	or Revenue effect):	Impacts for	State and/or	Local Governr	ment (do	not include in			
folder	er to impleme designed to m est a minimum	neet the infor	ng of comme mation requi	ercial dog bree irements as o	eders DATCP w utlined in the bill	ill need to I. Develo _l	o create a licensing pment of the folder			
II. Anı	nualized Cost	ts:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:					
					Increased Costs		Decreased Costs			
A. Sta	ite Costs by (Category								
Stat	te Operations	- Salaries an	d Fringes		\$173,400)				
(FT	E Position Cha	anges)			(3.0 FTE)	,				
Stat	State Operations - Other Costs				31,500					
Loc	al Assistance									
Aids	s to Individuals	s or Organiza	ations				****			
Т	OTAL State (Costs by Cat	tegory		\$204,900		\$			
B. Sta	ite Costs by S	Source of Fu	ınds							
GPF	٦									
FEC)									
PRO	D/PRS (20.115	5)			204,900	1				
SEC	G/SEG-S									
III. Sta reveni	ite Revenues ues (e.g., tax	- Complete increase, de	this only whecrease in li	nen proposal cense fee, et	l will increase o	or decrea	ıse state			
					Increased Rev		Decreased Rev			
GPF	R Taxes				\$		\$			
GPF	R Earned									
FED)									
PRC	D/PRS (20.115	5(2))			54,800					
SEG	S/SEG-S									
T	OTAL State R	levenues			\$54,800		\$			
		<u> </u>	NET ANNUA	LIZED FISCA	L IMPACT	L				
					State		Local			
NET C	NET CHANGE IN COSTS				\$204,900	\$				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE				\$54,800	\$					
Agenc	y/Prepared B	Bv.		Authorized S	Signature		Date			
				rb Knapp (608) 224-4746						
		(555)	.555	-air i liapp (300) <u>22</u> 7-4/40		11/14/2007			