

1 **91.40 Applying for certification of ordinance.** A political subdivision
2 seeking certification of a farmland preservation zoning ordinance or amendment to
3 a farmland preservation zoning ordinance shall submit all of the following to the
4 department in writing, along with any other relevant information that the political
5 subdivision chooses to provide:

6 (1) The complete farmland preservation zoning ordinance or amendment
7 proposed for certification.

8 (2) All of the following background information:

9 (a) A concise summary of the farmland preservation zoning ordinance or
10 amendment, including key changes from any previously certified farmland
11 preservation zoning ordinance.

12 (b) A concise summary of the process by which the farmland preservation
13 zoning ordinance or amendment was developed, including public hearings, notice to
14 and involvement of other governmental units, approval by the political subdivision,
15 and identification of any key unresolved issues with other governmental units
16 related to the farmland preservation zoning ordinance or amendment.

17 (c) A description of the relationship of the farmland preservation zoning
18 ordinance or amendment to the county certified farmland preservation plan,
19 including any material inconsistencies between the farmland preservation zoning
20 ordinance or amendment and the county certified farmland preservation plan.

21 (3) A statement, signed by the county planning director or the chief elected
22 official, certifying that the farmland preservation zoning ordinance or amendment
23 complies with s. 91.38 (1) (g) and (h).

1 (4) A statement, signed by the applicant's attorney or chief elected official,
2 certifying that the farmland preservation zoning ordinance or amendment complies
3 with all applicable requirements in s. 91.38.

4 (5) Other relevant information that the department requires by rule.

5 **91.42 Land use in farmland preservation zoning districts; general.** A
6 farmland preservation zoning ordinance does not qualify for certification under s.
7 91.36, if the farmland preservation zoning ordinance allows a land use in a farmland
8 preservation zoning district other than the following land uses:

9 (1) Uses identified as permitted uses in s. 91.44.

10 (2) Uses identified as conditional uses in s. 91.46.

11 (3) Prior nonconforming uses, subject to the following:

12 (a) A prior nonconforming use that is a residence may be expanded or
13 remodeled, as long as there is no increase in the number of dwelling units in the
14 residence.

15 (b) A prior nonconforming use that is not a residence may continue without
16 further approval unless it is materially altered.

17 (c) The proposed farmland preservation zoning districts under the farmland
18 preservation zoning ordinance contain only isolated prior nonconforming uses.

19 (4) Other uses allowed by the department by rule.

20 **91.44 Permitted uses.** (1) A farmland preservation zoning ordinance does
21 not comply with s. 91.42 if the farmland preservation zoning ordinance allows as a
22 permitted use in a farmland preservation zoning district a land use other than the
23 following land uses:

24 (a) Agricultural uses.

25 (b) Accessory uses.

1 (c) Agriculture-related uses.

2 (d) Nonfarm residences constructed in a rural residential cluster in accordance
3 with an approval of the cluster as a conditional use under s. 91.46 (1) (e).

4 (e) Undeveloped natural resource and open space areas.

5 (f) A transportation, utility, communication, or other use that is required under
6 state or federal law to be located in a specific place or that is authorized to be located
7 in a specific place under a state or federal law that preempts the requirement of a
8 conditional use permit for that use.

9 (g) Other uses identified by the department by rule.

10 (2) The department may promulgate rules imposing additional limits on the
11 permitted uses that may be allowed in a farmland preservation zoning district in
12 order for a farmland preservation zoning ordinance to comply with s. 91.42.

13 **91.46 Conditional uses. (1) GENERAL.** A farmland preservation zoning
14 ordinance does not comply with s. 91.42 if the farmland preservation zoning
15 ordinance allows as a conditional use in a farmland preservation zoning district a
16 land use other than the following land uses:

17 (a) Agricultural uses.

18 (b) Accessory uses.

19 (c) Agriculture-related uses.

20 (d) Nonfarm residences that qualify under sub. (2) or that meet more restrictive
21 standards in the farmland preservation zoning ordinance.

22 (e) Nonfarm residential clusters that qualify under sub. (3) or that meet more
23 restrictive standards in the farmland preservation zoning ordinance.

24 (f) Transportation, communications, pipeline, electric transmission, utility, or
25 drainage uses that qualify under sub. (4).

1 (g) Governmental, institutional, religious, or nonprofit community uses, other
2 than uses covered by par. (f), that qualify under sub. (5).

3 (h) Nonmetallic mineral extraction that qualifies under sub. (6).

4 (i) Oil and gas exploration or production that is licensed by the department of
5 natural resources under subch. II of ch. 295.

6 (j) Other uses allowed by the department by rule.

7 **(1m) ADDITIONAL LIMITATIONS.** The department may promulgate rules imposing
8 additional limits on the conditional uses that may be allowed in a farmland
9 preservation zoning district in order for a farmland preservation zoning ordinance
10 to comply with s. 91.42.

11 **(2) NONFARM RESIDENCES.** A nonfarm residence qualifies for the purposes of sub.

12 (1) (d) if the political subdivision determines that all of the following apply:

13 (a) The ratio of nonfarm residential acreage to farm acreage on the base farm
14 tract on which the nonfarm residence will be located will not be greater than 1 to 20
15 after the nonfarm residence is constructed.

16 (b) There will not be more than 4 dwelling units in nonfarm residences, nor
17 more than 5 dwelling units in residences of any kind, on the base farm tract after the
18 nonfarm residence is constructed.

19 (c) The location of the proposed nonfarm residential parcel, and the location of
20 the nonfarm residence on that nonfarm residential parcel, will not do any of the
21 following:

22 1. Convert prime farmland from agricultural use or convert land previously
23 used as cropland, other than a woodlot, from agricultural use if on the farm there are
24 reasonable alternative locations for a nonfarm residential parcel or nonfarm
25 residence.

1 2. Significantly impair or limit the current or future agricultural use of other
2 protected farmland.

3 **(3) NONFARM RESIDENTIAL CLUSTER.** A political subdivision may issue one
4 conditional use permit that covers more than one nonfarm residence in a qualifying
5 nonfarm residential cluster. A nonfarm residential cluster qualifies for the purposes
6 of sub. (1) (e) if all of the following apply:

7 (a) The parcels on which the nonfarm residences would be located are
8 contiguous.

9 (b) The political subdivision imposes legal restrictions on the construction of
10 the nonfarm residences so that if all of the nonfarm residences were constructed,
11 each would satisfy the requirements under sub. (2).

12 **(4) TRANSPORTATION, COMMUNICATIONS, PIPELINE, ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION, UTILITY,**
13 **OR DRAINAGE USE.** A transportation, communications, pipeline, electric transmission,
14 utility, or drainage use qualifies for the purposes of sub. (1) (f) if the political
15 subdivision determines that all of the following apply:

16 (a) The use and its location in the farmland preservation zoning district are
17 consistent with the purposes of the farmland preservation zoning district.

18 (b) The use and its location in the farmland preservation zoning district are
19 reasonable and appropriate, considering alternative locations, or are specifically
20 approved under state or federal law.

21 (c) The use is reasonably designed to minimize conversion of land, at and
22 around the site of the use, from agricultural use or open space use.

23 (d) The use does not substantially impair or limit the current or future
24 agricultural use of surrounding parcels of land that are zoned for or legally restricted
25 to agricultural use.

1 (e) Construction damage to land remaining in agricultural use is minimized
2 and repaired, to the extent feasible.

3 **(5) GOVERNMENTAL, INSTITUTIONAL, RELIGIOUS, OR NONPROFIT COMMUNITY USE.** A
4 governmental, institutional, religious, or nonprofit community use qualifies for the
5 purposes of sub. (1) (g) if the political subdivision determines that all of the following
6 apply:

7 (a) The use and its location in the farmland preservation zoning district are
8 consistent with the purposes of the farmland preservation zoning district.

9 (b) The use and its location in the farmland preservation zoning district are
10 reasonable and appropriate, considering alternative locations, or are specifically
11 approved under state or federal law.

12 (c) The use is reasonably designed to minimize the conversion of land, at and
13 around the site of the use, from agricultural use or open space use.

14 (d) The use does not substantially impair or limit the current or future
15 agricultural use of surrounding parcels of land that are zoned for or legally restricted
16 to agricultural use.

17 (e) Construction damage to land remaining in agricultural use is minimized
18 and repaired, to the extent feasible.

19 **(6) NONMETALLIC MINERAL EXTRACTION.** Nonmetallic mineral extraction
20 qualifies for the purposes of sub. (1) (h) if the political subdivision determines that
21 all of the following apply:

22 (a) The operation complies with subch. I of ch. 295 and rules promulgated under
23 that subchapter, with applicable provisions of the local ordinance under s. 295.13 or
24 295.14, and with any applicable requirements of the department of transportation
25 concerning the restoration of nonmetallic mining sites.

1 (b) The operation and its location in the farmland preservation zoning district
2 are consistent with the purposes of the farmland preservation zoning district.

3 (c) The operation and its location in the farmland preservation zoning district
4 are reasonable and appropriate, considering alternative locations outside the
5 farmland preservation zoning district, or are specifically approved under state or
6 federal law.

7 (d) The operation is reasonably designed to minimize the conversion of land
8 around the extraction site from agricultural use or open space use.

9 (e) The operation does not substantially impair or limit the current or future
10 agricultural use of surrounding parcels of land that are zoned for or legally restricted
11 to agricultural use.

12 (f) The farmland preservation zoning ordinance requires the owner to restore
13 the land to agricultural use, consistent with any required locally approved
14 reclamation plan, when extraction is completed.

15 **91.48 Rezoning of land out of a farmland preservation zoning district.**

16 (1) A political subdivision with a certified farmland preservation zoning ordinance
17 may rezone land out of a farmland preservation zoning district without having the
18 rezoning certified under s. 91.36, if all of the following apply:

19 (a) The political subdivision finds all of the following, after public hearing:

20 1. The land is better suited for a use not allowed in the farmland preservation
21 zoning district.

22 2. The rezoning is consistent with any applicable comprehensive plan.

23 3. The rezoning is substantially consistent with the county certified farmland
24 preservation plan.

1 4. The rezoning will not substantially impair or limit current or future
2 agricultural use of surrounding parcels of land that are zoned for or legally restricted
3 to agricultural use.

4 (b) The owner of the land pays to the political subdivision, for each rezoned acre
5 or portion thereof, a conversion fee equal to the greater of the following:

6 1. Three times the per acre value, for the year in which the land is rezoned, of
7 the highest value category of tillable cropland in the city, village, or town in which
8 the rezoned land is located, as specified by the department of revenue under s. 73.03
9 (2a).

10 2. An amount specified in the certified farmland preservation zoning
11 ordinance.

12 (2) A political subdivision shall by March of 1 each year provide all of the
13 following to the department:

14 (a) A report of the number of acres that the political subdivision has rezoned
15 out of a farmland preservation zoning district under sub. (1) during the previous year
16 and a map that clearly shows the location of those acres.

17 (b) A report of the total amount of conversion fees that the political subdivision
18 received as conversion fees under sub. (1) (b) for the rezoned acres under par. (a).

19 (c) A conversion fee equal to the amount under sub. (1) (b) 1. for each rezoned
20 acre reported under par. (a).

21 (3) A political subdivision that is not a county shall by March 1 of each year
22 submit a copy of the information that it reports to the department under sub. (2) (a)
23 and (b) to the county in which the political subdivision is located.

1 (2) ELIGIBLE LAND. Land is eligible if all of the following apply:

2 (a) The land is operated as part of a farm that produced at least \$6,000 in gross
3 farm revenues during the taxable year preceding the year in which the owner applies
4 for a farmland preservation agreement or a total of at least \$18,000 in gross farm
5 revenues during the last 3 taxable years preceding the year in which the owner
6 applies for a farmland preservation agreement.

7 (b) The land is located in a farmland preservation area identified in a certified
8 farmland preservation plan.

9 (c) The land is in an agricultural enterprise area designated under s. 91.84.

10 (3) PRIOR AGREEMENTS. (a) Except as provided in par. (c) or s. 91.66, a farmland
11 preservation agreement entered into before the effective date of this paragraph
12 [LRB inserts date], remains in effect for the term specified in the agreement and
13 under the terms that were agreed upon when the agreement was last created,
14 extended, or renewed.

15 (b) The department may not extend or renew a farmland preservation
16 agreement entered into before the effective date of this paragraph [LRB inserts
17 date].

18 (c) The department and an owner of land who entered into a farmland
19 preservation agreement before the effective date of this paragraph [LRB inserts
20 date] may agree to modify the farmland preservation agreement in order to allow the
21 owner to claim the tax credit under s. 71.613 rather than the tax credit for which the
22 owner would otherwise be eligible.

23 **91.62 Farmland preservation agreements; requirements. (1) CONTENTS.**

24 The department may not enter into a farmland preservation agreement unless the
25 agreement does all of the following:

1 (a) Specifies a term of at least 15 years.

2 (b) Includes a correct legal description of the tract of land covered by the
3 farmland preservation agreement.

4 (c) Includes provisions that restrict the tract of land to the following uses:

5 1. Agricultural uses and accessory uses.

6 2. Undeveloped natural resource and open space uses.

7 (2) FORM. The department shall specify a form for farmland preservation
8 agreements that complies with s. 59.43 (2m).

9 (3) EFFECTIVENESS. A farmland preservation agreement takes effect when it is
10 signed by all owners of the land covered by the farmland preservation agreement and
11 by the department.

12 (4) RECORDING. The department shall provide a copy of a signed farmland
13 preservation agreement to a person designated by the signing owners and shall
14 promptly present the signed agreement to the register of deeds for the county in
15 which the land is located for recording.

16 (5) CHANGE OF OWNERSHIP. A farmland preservation agreement is binding on
17 a person who purchases land during the term of a farmland preservation agreement
18 that covers the land.

19 **91.64 Applying for a farmland preservation agreement.** (1) SUBMITTING
20 AN APPLICATION. An owner who wishes to enter into a farmland preservation
21 agreement shall submit an application, on a form provided by the department, to the
22 county clerk of the county in which the land is located.

23 (2) CONTENTS OF APPLICATION. A person submitting an application under sub.
24 (1) shall include all of the following in the application:

1 (a) The name and address of each person who has an ownership interest in the
2 land proposed for coverage by the agreement.

3 (b) The location of the land proposed for coverage, indicated by street address,
4 global positioning system coordinates, or township, range, and section.

5 (c) The legal description of the land proposed for coverage.

6 (d) A map or aerial photograph of the land proposed for coverage, showing
7 parcel boundaries, residences and other structures, and significant natural features.

8 (e) Information showing that the land proposed for coverage is eligible under
9 s. 91.60 (2).

10 (f) A description of every existing mortgage, easement, and lien, other than
11 liens on growing crops, on land proposed for coverage, including the name and
12 address of the person holding the lien, mortgage, or easement.

13 (g) A signed agreement from each person required to be identified under par.

14 (f) subordinating the person's lien, mortgage, or easement to the agreement.

15 (h) Any other information required by the department by rule.

16 (i) Any fee under sub. (2m).

17 **(2m)** COUNTY PROCESSING FEE. A county may charge a reasonable fee for
18 processing an application for a farmland preservation agreement.

19 **(3)** COUNTY REVIEW. (a) A county shall review an application under sub. (2) to
20 determine whether the land proposed for coverage meets the requirements under s.
21 91.60 (2) (b) and (c). The county shall provide its findings to the applicant in writing
22 within 60 days after the day on which the county clerk receives a complete
23 application.

24 (b) If the county finds under par. (a) that the land proposed for coverage meets
25 the requirements under s. 91.60 (2) (b) and (c), the county shall promptly send all of

1 the following to the department, along with any other comments that the county
2 chooses to provide:

3 1. The original application, including all of the information provided with the
4 application.

5 2. A copy of the county's findings.

6 **(4) DEPARTMENT ACTION ON APPLICATION.** (a) The department may prepare a
7 farmland preservation agreement that complies with s. 91.62 and enter into the
8 farmland preservation agreement under s. 91.60 (1) based on a complete application
9 and on county findings under sub. (3) (b).

10 (b) The department may decline to enter into a farmland preservation
11 agreement for any of the following reasons:

12 1. The application is incomplete.

13 2. The land is not eligible land under s. 91.60 (2).

14 **91.66 Terminating a farmland preservation agreement.** (1) The
15 department may terminate a farmland preservation agreement or release land from
16 a farmland preservation agreement at any time if all of the following apply:

17 (a) All of the owners of land covered by the farmland preservation agreement
18 consent to the termination or release, in writing.

19 (b) The department finds that the termination or release will not impair or limit
20 agricultural use of other protected farmland.

21 (c) The owners of the land pay to the department, for each acre or portion
22 thereof released from the farmland preservation agreement, a conversion fee equal
23 to 3 times the per acre value, for the year in which the farmland preservation
24 agreement is terminated or the land is released, of the highest value category of

1 tillable cropland in the town in which the land is located, as specified by the
2 department of revenue under s. 73.03 (2a).

3 (1m) All conversion fees received under sub. (1) (c) shall be deposited in the
4 working lands fund.

5 (2) The department shall provide a copy of its decision to terminate a farmland
6 preservation agreement or release land from a farmland preservation agreement to
7 a person designated by the owners of the land and shall present a copy of the decision
8 to the register of deeds for the county in which the land is located for recording.

9 **91.68 Violations of farmland preservation agreements.** (1) The
10 department may bring an action in circuit court to do any of the following:

11 (a) Enforce a farmland preservation agreement.

12 (b) Restrain, by temporary or permanent injunction, a change in land use that
13 violates a farmland preservation agreement.

14 (c) Seek a civil forfeiture for a change in land use that violates a farmland
15 preservation agreement.

16 (2) A forfeiture under sub. (1) (c) may not exceed twice the fair market value
17 of the land covered by the agreement at the time of the violation.

18 **91.70 Farmland preservation agreements; exemption from special**
19 **assessments.** (1) Except as provided in sub. (3), no political subdivision, special
20 purpose district, or other local governmental entity may levy a special assessment
21 for sanitary sewers or water against land in agricultural use, if the land is covered
22 by a farmland preservation agreement.

23 (2) A political subdivision, special purpose district or other local governmental
24 entity may deny the use of improvements for which the special assessment is levied
25 to land that is exempt from the assessment under sub. (1).

1 1. The department receives a petition requesting the designation and the
2 petition complies with s. 91.86.

3 3. The parcels in the area are contiguous. Parcels that are only separated by
4 a lake, stream, or transportation or utility right-of-way are contiguous for the
5 purposes of this subdivision.

6 4. The area is located entirely in a farmland preservation area identified in a
7 certified farmland preservation plan.

8 5. The land in the area is primarily in agricultural use.

9 (f) In designating agricultural areas under this subsection, the department
10 shall give preference to areas that include at least 1,000 acres of land.

11 **(2) EMERGENCY RULES.** The department may use the procedure under s. 227.24
12 to promulgate a rule designating an agricultural preservation area or modifying or
13 terminating the designation of an agricultural preservation area. Notwithstanding
14 s. 227.24 (1) (c) and (2), a rule promulgated under this subsection remains in effect
15 until the department modifies or repeals the rule. Notwithstanding s. 227.24 (1) (a)
16 and (3), the department is not required to determine that promulgating a rule under
17 this subsection as an emergency rule is necessary for the preservation of the public
18 peace, health, safety, or welfare and is not required to provide a finding of emergency
19 for a rule promulgated under this subsection.

20 **(3) EFFECT OF DESIGNATION.** The designation of an area under sub. (1) allows
21 owners of eligible land within the area to enter into farmland preservation
22 agreements with the department. If the department modifies or terminates the
23 designation of an area under sub. (1) and that modification or termination results in
24 land covered by a farmland preservation agreement no longer being located in a
25 designated area, the farmland preservation agreement remains in effect for the

1 remainder of its term, but the department may not extend or renew the farmland
2 preservation agreement.

3 (4) MAP. In a rule designating an agricultural enterprise area, the department
4 shall include a map that clearly shows the boundaries of the proposed agricultural
5 enterprise area so that a reader can easily determine whether a parcel of land is
6 located within the agricultural enterprise area.

7 (5) EFFECTIVE DATE OF DESIGNATION. The designation of an agricultural
8 enterprise area takes effect on January 1 of the calendar year following the year in
9 which the rule designating the area is published, unless the rule specifies a later
10 effective date.

11 **91.86 Agricultural enterprise area; petition.** (1) DEFINITION. In this
12 section, "eligible farm" means a farm that produced at least \$6,000 in gross farm
13 revenues during the taxable year preceding the year in which a petition is filed
14 requesting the department to designate an area in which the farm is located as an
15 agricultural enterprise area or a total of at least \$18,000 in gross farm revenues
16 during the 3 taxable years preceding the year in which a petition is filed.

17 (2) PETITIONERS. (a) The department may consider a petition requesting that
18 it designate an area as an agricultural enterprise area if all of the following jointly
19 file the petition:

20 1. Each political subdivision in which any part of the proposed agricultural
21 enterprise area is located.

22 2. Owners of at least 5 eligible farms located in the area.

23 (b) Each petitioner under par. (a) who is an individual shall sign the petition.
24 For a petitioner that is not an individual, an authorized officer or representative
25 shall sign the petition.

1 **(3) CONTENTS OF PETITION.** (a) The department may not approve a petition
2 requesting that it designate an area as an agricultural enterprising area unless the
3 petition contains all of the following:

4 1. The correct legal name and principal address of each petitioner.

5 2. A summary of the petition that includes the purpose and rationale for the
6 petition.

7 3. A map that clearly shows the boundaries of the proposed agricultural
8 enterprise area so that a reader can easily determine whether a parcel of land is
9 located within the proposed area.

10 4. Information showing that the proposed agricultural enterprise area meets
11 the requirements under s. 91.84 (1) (e).

12 5. A clear description of current land uses in the proposed agricultural
13 enterprise area, including current agricultural uses, agriculture-related uses,
14 transportation, utility, energy, and communication uses, and undeveloped natural
15 resource and open space uses.

16 6. A clear description of the agricultural land use and development goals for
17 the proposed agricultural enterprise area, including proposed agricultural uses,
18 agriculture-related uses, and relevant transportation, utility, energy, and
19 communication uses.

20 7. A plan for achieving the goals under subd. 6., including any planned
21 investments, grants, development incentives, cooperative agreements, land or
22 easement purchases, land donations, and promotion and public outreach activities.

23 8. A description of any current or proposed land use controls in the proposed
24 agricultural enterprise area, including farmland preservation agreements.

1 (b) Petitioners under sub. (2) may include in the petition the names and
2 addresses of other persons who propose to cooperate in achieving the goals under par.
3 (a) 6.

4 **SECTION 75.** 92.04 (2) (c) of the statutes is repealed.

5 **SECTION 76.** 92.05 (3) (L) of the statutes is amended to read:

6 92.05 (3) (L) *Technical assistance; performance standards.* The department
7 shall provide technical assistance to county land conservation committees and local
8 units of government for the development of ordinances that implement standards
9 adopted under s. 92.07 (2), ~~92.105 (1)~~, 92.15 (2) or (3) or 281.16 (3). The department's
10 technical assistance shall include preparing model ordinances, providing data
11 concerning the standards and reviewing draft ordinances to determine whether the
12 draft ordinances comply with applicable statutes and rules.

13 **SECTION 77.** 92.104 of the statutes is repealed.

14 **SECTION 78.** 92.105 of the statutes is repealed.

15 **SECTION 79.** 92.106 of the statutes is repealed.

16 **SECTION 80.** 92.14 (2) (e) of the statutes is amended to read:

17 92.14 (2) (e) ~~Promoting compliance with the requirements under ss. 92.104 and~~
18 ~~92.105~~ soil and water conservation by persons claiming ~~a~~ farmland preservation
19 ~~credit tax credits~~ under subch. IX of ch. 71.

20 **SECTION 81.** 92.14 (3) (a) 1. of the statutes is amended to read:

21 92.14 (3) (a) 1. Compliance with soil and water conservation requirements
22 ~~under ss. 92.104 and 92.105~~ by applicable to persons claiming ~~a~~ farmland
23 preservation ~~credit tax credits~~ under subch. IX of ch. 71.

24 **SECTION 82.** 92.14 (3) (d) of the statutes is amended to read:

1 92.14 (3) (d) Implementing land and water resource management projects
2 undertaken to comply with the soil and water conservation requirements under ss.
3 92.104 and 92.105 by applicable to persons claiming a farmland preservation credit
4 tax credits under subch. IX of ch. 71.

5 **SECTION 83.** 93.06 (10m) of the statutes is amended to read:

6 93.06 (10m) FARMLAND PRESERVATION COLLECTIONS. Enter into contracts to
7 collect amounts owed to the state under ch. 91, 2007 stats., as the result of the
8 relinquishment of, or the release of land from, a farmland preservation agreement
9 or as the result of the rezoning of land zoned for exclusive agricultural use.

10 **SECTION 84.** 101.143 (4) (ei) 1m. a. of the statutes is amended to read:

11 101.143 (4) (ei) 1m. a. The owner or operator of the farm tank owns a parcel
12 of 35 or more acres of contiguous land, on which the farm tank is located, which is
13 devoted primarily to agricultural use, as defined in s. 91.01 (1) (2), including land
14 designated by the department of natural resources as part of the ice age trail under
15 s. 23.17, which during the year preceding submission of a first claim under sub. (3)
16 produced gross farm profits, as defined in s. 71.58 (4), of not less than \$6,000 or
17 which, during the 3 years preceding that submission produced gross farm profits, as
18 defined in s. 71.58 (4), of not less than \$18,000, or a parcel of 35 or more acres, on
19 which the farm tank is located, of which at least 35 acres, during part or all of the
20 year preceding that submission, were enrolled in the conservation reserve program
21 under 16 USC 3831 to 3836.

22 **SECTION 85.** 101.143 (4) (ei) 1m. b. of the statutes is amended to read:

23 101.143 (4) (ei) 1m. b. The claim is submitted by a person who, at the time that
24 the notification was made under sub. (3) (a) 3., was the owner of the farm tank and
25 owned a parcel of 35 or more acres of contiguous land, on which the farm tank is or

1 was located, which was devoted primarily to agricultural use, as defined in s. 91.01
2 (1) ~~(2)~~, including land designated by the department of natural resources as part of
3 the ice age trail under s. 23.17, which during the year preceding that notification
4 produced gross farm profits, as defined in s. 71.58 (4), of not less than \$6,000 or
5 which, during the 3 years preceding that notification, produced gross farm profits,
6 as defined in s. 71.58 (4), of not less than \$18,000, or a parcel of 35 or more acres, on
7 which the farm tank is located, of which at least 35 acres, during part or all of the
8 year preceding that notification, were enrolled in the conservation reserve program
9 under 16 USC 3831 to 3836.

10 **SECTION 86.** 165.25 (4) (ar) of the statutes is amended to read:

11 165.25 (4) (ar) The department of justice shall furnish all legal services
12 required by the department of agriculture, trade and consumer protection relating
13 to the enforcement of ss. ~~91.68~~, 100.171, 100.173, 100.174, 100.175, 100.177, 100.18,
14 100.182, 100.195, 100.20, 100.205, 100.207, 100.209, 100.21, 100.28, 100.37, 100.42,
15 100.50, ~~and~~ 100.51, ~~and~~ 100.55, and chs. 126, 136, 344, 704, 707, and 779, together
16 with any other services as are necessarily connected to the legal services.

17 **SECTION 87.** 281.16 (3) (e) of the statutes is amended to read:

18 281.16 (3) (e) An owner or operator of an agricultural facility or practice that
19 is in existence before October 14, 1997, may not be required by this state or a
20 municipality to comply with the performance standards, prohibitions, conservation
21 practices or technical standards under this subsection unless cost-sharing is
22 available, under s. 92.14 or 281.65 or from any other source, to the owner or operator.
23 For the purposes of this paragraph, sub. (4) and ss. 92.07 (2), ~~92.105(1)~~, 92.15 (4) and
24 823.08 (3) (c) 2., the department of natural resources shall promulgate rules that
25 specify criteria for determining whether cost-sharing is available under s. 281.65

1 and the department of agriculture, trade and consumer protection shall promulgate
2 rules that specify criteria for determining whether cost-sharing is available under
3 s. 92.14 or from any other source. The rules may not allow a determination that
4 cost-sharing is available to meet local regulations under s. 92.07 (2), ~~92.105 (1)~~ or
5 92.15 that are consistent with or that exceed the performance standards,
6 prohibitions, conservation practices or technical standards under this subsection
7 unless the cost-sharing is at least 70% of the cost of compliance or is from 70% to 90%
8 of the cost of compliance in cases of economic hardship, as defined in the rules.

9 **SECTION 88.** 281.65 (5) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

10 281.65 (5) (b) Prepare sections of the priority watershed or priority lake plan
11 relating to farm-specific implementation schedules, requirements under ~~ss. 92.104~~
12 ~~and 92.105~~ s. 281.16 (3), animal waste management and selection of agriculturally
13 related best management practices and submit those sections to the department for
14 inclusion under sub. (4m) (b). The best management practices shall be cost-effective
15 best management practices, as specified under sub. (4) (e), except in situations in
16 which the use of a cost-effective best management practice will not contribute to
17 water quality improvement or will cause a water body to continue to be impaired as
18 identified to the federal environmental protection agency under 33 USC 1313 (d) (1)
19 (A).

20 **SECTION 89.** 281.65 (5) (d) of the statutes is amended to read:

21 281.65 (5) (d) Develop a grant disbursement and project management schedule
22 for agriculturally related best management practices to be included in a plan
23 established under sub. (4) (g) and identify recommendations for implementing
24 activities or projects under ~~ss. 92.10, 92.104 and 92.105~~ and 281.16 (3).

25 **SECTION 90.** 281.65 (5) (e) of the statutes is amended to read:

1 281.65 (5) (e) Identify areas within a priority watershed or priority lake area
2 that are subject to activities required under ~~ss. 92.104 and 92.105~~ s. 281.16 (3).

3 **SECTION 91.** 289.33 (3) (d) of the statutes is amended to read:

4 289.33 (3) (d) "Local approval" includes any requirement for a permit, license,
5 authorization, approval, variance or exception or any restriction, condition of
6 approval or other restriction, regulation, requirement or prohibition imposed by a
7 charter ordinance, general ordinance, zoning ordinance, resolution or regulation by
8 a town, city, village, county or special purpose district, including without limitation
9 because of enumeration any ordinance, resolution or regulation adopted under s.
10 91.73, 2007 stats., s. 59.03 (2), 59.11 (5), 59.42 (1), 59.48, 59.51 (1) and (2), 59.52 (2),
11 (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), (11), (12), (13), (15), (16), (17), (18), (19), (20), (21), (22), (23), (24),
12 (25), (26) and (27), 59.53 (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (7), (8), (9), (11), (12), (13), (14), (15), (19),
13 (20) and (23), 59.535 (2), (3) and (4), 59.54 (1), (2), (3), (4), (4m), (5), (6), (7), (8), (10),
14 (11), (12), (16), (17), (18), (19), (20), (21), (22), (23), (24), (25) and (26), 59.55 (3), (4),
15 (5) and (6), 59.56 (1), (2), (4), (5), (6), (7), (9), (10), (11), (12), (12m), (13) and (16), 59.57
16 (1), 59.58 (1) and (5), 59.62, 59.69, 59.692, 59.693, 59.696, 59.697, 59.698, 59.70 (1),
17 (2), (3), (5), (7), (8), (9), (10), (11), (21), (22) and (23), 59.79 (1), (2), (3), (5), (6), (7), (8),
18 (10) and (11), 59.792 (2) and (3), 59.80, 59.82, 60.10, 60.22, 60.23, 60.54, 60.77, 61.34,
19 61.35, 61.351, 61.354, 62.11, 62.23, 62.231, 62.234, 66.0101, 66.0415, 87.30, 91.73,
20 196.58, 200.11 (8), 236.45, 281.43 or 349.16 or, subch. VIII of ch. 60, or subch III of
21 ch. 91.

22 **SECTION 92.** 823.08 (2) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

23 823.08 (2) (b) "Agricultural use" has the meaning given in s. 91.01 (4) (2).

24 **SECTION 93.** 846.04 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

1 846.04 (1) The plaintiff may, in the complaint, demand judgment for any
2 deficiency that may remain due the plaintiff after sale of the mortgaged premises
3 against every party who is personally liable for the debt secured by the mortgage.
4 Judgment may be rendered for any deficiency remaining after applying the proceeds
5 of sale to the amount due. The judgment for deficiency shall be ordered in the original
6 judgment and separately rendered against the party liable on or after the
7 confirmation of sale. The judgment for deficiency shall be entered in the judgment
8 and lien docket and, except as provided in subs. (2) and (3), enforced as in other cases.
9 A mortgage foreclosure deficiency judgment entered on or after October 14, 1997, on
10 property devoted primarily to under agricultural use, as defined in s. 91.01 (5), on
11 and after October 14, 1997, (2), for at least 12 consecutive months during the
12 preceding 36-month period shall be recorded as an agriculture judgment.

13 **SECTION 94.** 846.04 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:

14 846.04 (2) Except as provided in sub. (3), if a mortgage foreclosure deficiency
15 judgment is entered on property devoted primarily to under agricultural use, as
16 defined in s. 91.01 (5), (2), for at least 12 consecutive months during the preceding
17 36-month period, an action on the deficiency judgment shall be commenced within
18 10 years after the date on which the mortgage foreclosure deficiency judgment is
19 entered or be barred.

20 **SECTION 95.** 946.13 (2) (g) of the statutes is amended to read:

21 946.13 (2) (g) Contracts with, or tax credits or payments received by, public
22 officers or employees for wildlife damage claims or abatement under s. 29.889, for
23 farmland preservation under s. 91.13, 2007 stats., or s. 91.60 or subch. IX of ch. 71
24 and s. 91.13, soil and water resource management under s. 92.14, soil erosion control

1 under s. 92.10, 1985 stats., animal waste management under s. 92.15, 1985 stats.,
2 and nonpoint source water pollution abatement under s. 281.65.

3 **SECTION 9343. Initial applicability; Revenue.**

4 (1) FARMLAND PRESERVATION CREDIT. The treatment of section 71.613 of the
5 statutes first applies to taxable years beginning on January 1, 2010.

6 (END)

D-NOTE

Andrew Miner:

Please review the appropriation changes very carefully. I have addressed items 1, 2, and 5 in this version of the draft. from DOR's 1/26/09 memo

As I've discussed in previous emails, DOR's items 3, 4, and 6 did not make sense so I did include any changes related to those items

MES

2009-2010 DRAFTING INSERT
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0203/P6insMES
RCT&MES:cjs:rs

1 INS 4-4

2 SECTION 1. 20.566 (1) (b) of the statutes is created to read:

3 20.566 (1) (b) *Farmland preservation credit, 2010 and beyond.* From the
4 working lands fund, the amounts in the schedule for administration of the farmland
5 preservation tax credit under s. 71.613.

6 INS 23-15

7 (b) The department shall annually adjust the dollar amounts that are used to
8 determine the amount of a claim under par. (a), while maintaining the ratio of the
9 amounts that are specified in par. (a) 1., 2., and 3., based on the estimated number
10 of claims and the amount estimated to be expended from the appropriation under ss.
11 20.835 (2) (do) and (qb), as determined under s. 79.135. The department shall
12 incorporate the annually adjusted dollar amounts into the income tax forms and
13 instructions.

14 INS 25-5

15 SECTION 2. 79.135 of the statutes is created to read:

16 **79.135 Farmland preservation tax credit, 2010 and beyond.** (1) In the
17 2010-11 fiscal year, the amount that is estimated to be expended from the
18 appropriation under s. 20.835 (2) (qb) is \$15,000,000 and the amount that is
19 estimated to be expended from the appropriation under s. 20.835 (2) (do) is
20 \$12,280,000.

21 (2) In the 2011-12 fiscal year, and in each fiscal year thereafter, the amount
22 that is estimated to be expended from the appropriation under s. 20.835 (2) (qb) is
23 \$15,000,000, plus the amount that is estimated to be expended from the

under this
section

among
the
amounts

note: bud

1 appropriation under s. 20.835 (2) (qb) in the previous fiscal year and less the actual
2 amount that is expended from the appropriation under s. 20.835 (2) (qb) in the
3 previous fiscal year, and the amount that is estimated to be expended from the
4 appropriation under s. 20.835 (2) (do) is \$12,280,000, plus the amount that is
5 estimated to be expended from the appropriation under s. 20.835 (2) (do) in the
6 previous fiscal year and less the actual amount that is expended from the
7 appropriation under s. 20.835 (2) (do) in the previous fiscal year.

under this
section

**DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU**

LRB-0203/P6dn

RCT&MES:cjs:rs

8 days

But do you want to require a claimant to be domiciled in this state for the entire year as is the case under current law?

Andrew Miner:

Please review the appropriation changes very carefully, particularly created s. 79.135. You may want to have DOR to review these changes too. I have addressed items 1, 3, and 5 from DOR's 1/26/09 memo. After talking with Lili Crane at DOR, I've decided to keep the definition of "claimant" in s. 71.613 consistent with the current law definitions in s. 71.07 (3m) (a) 1. (intro.), 71.28 (2m) (a) 1. (intro.), and 71.47 (2m) (a) 1. (intro.) instead of changing the definition in the bill and all 3 of these definitions.

Ms. Crane also indicated that DOR was concerned that the administration language in s. 71.613 (4) is more harsh than other administration language in ch. 71, but it is essentially identical to the language in s. 71.07 (9e) (d), which is the predominant method of referring to the administrative provisions. That language is cross-referenced by the credits in s. 71.07 (5d), (6e), and (6m), and is repeated in s. 71.07 (5m) (d).

The language that applies to the current farmland preservation credit, the farmland tax relief credit, and the homestead credit (see, for example, s. 71.55 (6m)), which DOR has suggested as a substitute to s. 71.613 (4), is somewhat less harsh than what appears in s. 71.613 (4), but it's essentially the same. In any event, more credits use the s. 71.613 (4) language, which is the current way credits are drafted. I don't think there is an appreciable difference between the s. 71.613 (4) language and the 71.55 (6m) language, and my understanding is that DOR is OK with the current s. 71.613 (4) language.

Marc E. Shovers
Managing Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-0129
E-mail: marc.shovers@legis.wisconsin.gov

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0203/P6dn
MES:cjs:ph

January 28, 2009

Andrew Miner:

Please review the appropriation changes very carefully, particularly created s. 79.135. You may want to have DOR to review these changes too. I have addressed items 1, 3, and 5 from DOR's 1/26/09 memo. After talking with Lili Crane at DOR, I've decided to keep the definition of "claimant" in s. 71.613 consistent with the current law definitions in s. 71.07 (3m) (a) 1. (intro.), 71.28 (2m) (a) 1. (intro.), and 71.47 (2m) (a) 1. (intro.) instead of changing the definition in the bill and all 3 of these definitions. But do you want to require a claimant to be domiciled in this state for the entire year as is the case under current law?

Ms. Crane also indicated that DOR was concerned that the administration language in s. 71.613 (4) is more harsh than other administration language in ch. 71, but it is essentially identical to the language in s. 71.07 (9e) (d), which is the predominant method of referring to the administrative provisions. That language is cross-referenced by the credits in s. 71.07 (5d), (6e), and (6m), and is repeated in s. 71.07 (5m) (d).

The language that applies to the current farmland preservation credit, the farmland tax relief credit, and the homestead credit (see, for example, s. 71.55 (6m)), which DOR has suggested as a substitute to s. 71.613 (4), is somewhat less harsh than what appears in s. 71.613 (4), but it's essentially the same. In any event, more credits use the s. 71.613 (4) language, which is the current way credits are drafted. I don't think there is an appreciable difference between the s. 71.613 (4) language and the 71.55 (6m) language, and my understanding is that DOR is OK with the current s. 71.613 (4) language.

Marc E. Shovers
Managing Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-0129
E-mail: marc.shovers@legis.wisconsin.gov

Shovers, Marc

From: Steinmetz, Jana D - DOA [Jana.Steinmetz@Wisconsin.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 12:28 PM
To: Shovers, Marc; Tradewell, Becky
Cc: Miner, Andrew - DOA; Lillethun, Chad W - DOA; Grinde, Kirsten - DOA
Subject: FW: LRB Draft: 09-0203/P6 Change farmland preservation program

Marc,

Please see DOR comments below.

*1/28/09 -
 JANA says to
 use def. of
 "claimant"
 from
 P. 71.58(1)*

From: Ziegler, Paul D - DOR
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 12:27 PM
To: Steinmetz, Jana D - DOA; Walker, William D - DATCP; Crane, Lili B - DOR; Romanski, Randy - DATCP; Templeton, Carrie E - DOR
Cc: Miner, Andrew - DOA; Lillethun, Chad W - DOA; Grinde, Kirsten - DOA; Kraus, Jennifer - DOA; Koskinen, John B - DOR; Cianciara, Jacek J - DOR
Subject: RE: LRB Draft: 09-0203/P6 Change farmland preservation program

A few changes needed plus a necessary cautionary note.

Changes to draft:

1. An amendment to s.79.13(2)(b) should be added to the draft to truncate the annual farmland tax relief funding determination -- consistent with the amendment in the draft to s.20.835(2)(q) as shown on the bottom of page 3 and top of page 4.
2. The newly created appropriation under s.20.835(2)(qb) should be changed from an annual appropriation to a sum sufficient appropriation. While the expected expenditures are \$15 million annually, the language in the draft re: the annual funding determination clearly suggests and allows movement above and below this figure.
3. The drafter's note on page 2 after line 2 may be dropped. The amendment to s.20.835(2)(q) on page 2 line 2 is sufficient to allow any "clean up" claims to be paid. In addition, to my knowledge, no payments have ever been made under the s.20.835(2)(ka) appropriation.

CAUTIONARY NOTE

While the draft provides the initial per acre payments at \$10, \$7.50, or \$5 depending on the qualifying criteria, DOR may need to prorate these amounts even in the first year (TY10/FY11) since we do not have data to evaluate the estimated payments under this structure. This proration could be substantial. Prior to printing the tax forms in the fall of 2010 (for TY10/FY11), DOR must make its first determination of the per acre amounts (as allowed on page 23 lines 16-21) and will certainly be dependent on DATCP's assistance in this process. If data to make the determinations is limited, the per acre payments may incur substantial swings in the initial years as the error from one year must be corrected for in the next.

Paul

From: Steinmetz, Jana D - DOA
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 10:34 AM
To: Walker, William D - DATCP; Crane, Lili B - DOR; Ziegler, Paul D - DOR; Romanski, Randy - DATCP; Templeton, Carrie E - DOR

01/28/2009

Cc: Miner, Andrew - DOA; Lillethun, Chad W - DOA; Grinde, Kirsten - DOA; Kraus, Jennifer - DOA
Subject: FW: LRB Draft: 09-0203/P6 Change farmland preservation program
Importance: High

Please review this draft ASAP and let me know if there are questions or concerns.

From: Henry, Patty [mailto:Patty.Henry@legis.wisconsin.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 10:19 AM
To: Miner, Andrew - DOA
Cc: Steinmetz, Jana D - DOA; Hanaman, Cathlene - LEGIS; Beadles, Kathleen - DOA
Subject: LRB Draft: 09-0203/P6 Change farmland preservation program

Following is the PDF version of draft 09-0203/P6.

Shovers, Marc

From: Steinmetz, Jana D - DOA [Jana.Steinmetz@Wisconsin.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2009 12:13 PM
To: Shovers, Marc; Ziegler, Paul D - DOR
Cc: Miner, Andrew - DOA; Lillethun, Chad W - DOA; Grinde, Kirsten - DOA; Tradewell, Becky
Subject: RE: LRB Draft: 09-0203/P6 Change farmland preservation program

Here are the goals from my perspective.

We want a hard limit of \$27,280,000. \$15 million from the Lottery appn. \$12,280,000 from the GPR appn. Make them sum certain appns.

Does this take care of most or all of your questions?

From: Shovers, Marc [mailto:Marc.Shovers@legis.wisconsin.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2009 11:58 AM
To: Steinmetz, Jana D - DOA; Ziegler, Paul D - DOR
Cc: Miner, Andrew - DOA; Lillethun, Chad W - DOA; Grinde, Kirsten - DOA; Tradewell, Becky - LEGIS
Subject: RE: LRB Draft: 09-0203/P6 Change farmland preservation program

Jana and Paul:

I've looked at DOR's recent suggestions and I'm not sure that I understand your intent about the funding. As I understand it, the first point from Paul's email, the suggestion to "truncate the annual farmland . . . funding determination consistent with s. 20.835 (2) (q)", is a suggestion to create a sunset provision in s. 79.13 (2) (b) that is similar to s. 20.835 (2) (q). I think this is unnecessary and may be unworkable. The sunset language in s.20.835 (2) (q) is sufficient -- it is the actual appropriation. In addition, I'm not sure it makes sense to sunset language that merely provides a mechanical formula to estimate something.

In item 2, DOR has requested that s. 20.835 (2) (qb) be changed from "the amounts in the schedule" to a sum sufficient. I thought that your intent was to limit the expenditure from the lottery fund to \$15,000,000. If so, you shouldn't make (2) (qb) a sum sufficient. And if (2) (qb) is a sum sufficient, why have s. 20.835 (2) (do)? Would there ever be a situation under which all claims would not be paid from the sum sufficient approp. in sub. (2) (qb)? If you really want to limit the payments from the lottery fund to \$15,000,000, how can you do this with a sum sufficient approp.? Doesn't it make more sense to keep (2) (qb) as an "amounts in the schedule" appropriation?

DOR's CAUTIONARY NOTE indicated that there is some concern that proration may be necessary for claims related to TY 2010, so Jana and I decided to reinstate s. 71.613 (3) (f), limiting the maximum amount of credits that may be claimed to \$27,280,000, and requiring DOR prorating if the eligible claims exceed this amount. I think this provision should be limited to claims related to 2010, otherwise the provisions in ss. 71.613 (2) (b) and 79.135, which essentially allow for a rollover of unused amounts to subsequent years would not work. It seems awkward to require DOR to first adjust the dollar amounts per acre, and then prorate the payments down again. Do you agree that the s. 71.613 (3) (f) should be limited to TY 2010 claims, and the dollar amount per acre adjustments in s. 71.613 (2) (b) should first apply to TY 2011?

As I tried to draft this, I got confused as to what your actual intent is with regard to the funding. Do you want to limit total expenditures for this program to \$27,280,000, and do you want to limit the amount from the lottery fund to \$15,000,000? If so, I think both appropriations (s. 20.835 (2) (qb) and (do)) should be sum certain -- \$15,000,000 and \$12,280,000 -- and there would be no need for the language in s. 79.135. If you want to allow for the rollover provisions in s. 79.135, how does this coexist with a limit on the total expenditures of \$27,280,000? If you don't want to limit expenditures to \$27,280,000, but you want to limit the amounts expended from the lottery fund, you could just have a sum certain of \$15,000,000 from the lottery fund, and a

01/29/2009

sum sufficient from GPR in sub. (2) (do).

I think it would be most helpful to just get a clear statement of what your goals are with regard to appropriations. Do you want a hard limit of \$27,280,000, with DOR proration if eligible claims exceed this amount? Do you want to limit payments from the lottery fund and, if so, to what amount? Do you want a sum certain appropriation from the lottery fund, with no \$27,280,000 limit? Do you want a sum certain amount from the lottery fund, a sum sufficient from GPR, and no \$27,280,000 cap? Thanks for your help with this.

Marc

From: Steinmetz, Jana D - DOA [mailto:Jana.Steinmetz@Wisconsin.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 12:28 PM
To: Shovers, Marc; Tradewell, Becky
Cc: Miner, Andrew - DOA; Lillethun, Chad W - DOA; Grinde, Kirsten - DOA
Subject: FW: LRB Draft: 09-0203/P6 Change farmland preservation program

Marc,

Please see DOR comments below.

From: Ziegler, Paul D - DOR
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 12:27 PM
To: Steinmetz, Jana D - DOA; Walker, William D - DATCP; Crane, Lili B - DOR; Romanski, Randy - DATCP; Templeton, Carrie E - DOR
Cc: Miner, Andrew - DOA; Lillethun, Chad W - DOA; Grinde, Kirsten - DOA; Kraus, Jennifer - DOA; Koskinen, John B - DOR; Cianciara, Jacek J - DOR
Subject: RE: LRB Draft: 09-0203/P6 Change farmland preservation program

A few changes needed plus a necessary cautionary note.

Changes to draft:

1. An amendment to s.79.13(2)(b) should be added to the draft to truncate the annual farmland tax relief funding determination -- consistent with the amendment in the draft to s.20.835(2)(q) as shown on the bottom of page 3 and top of page 4.
2. The newly created appropriation under s.20.835(2)(qb) should be changed from an annual appropriation to a sum sufficient appropriation. While the expected expenditures are \$15 million annually, the language in the draft re: the annual funding determination clearly suggests and allows movement above and below this figure.
3. The drafter's note on page 2 after line 2 may be dropped. The amendment to s.20.835(2)(q) on page 2 line 2 is sufficient to allow any "clean up" claims to be paid. In addition, to my knowledge, no payments have ever been made under the s.20.835(2)(ka) appropriation.

CAUTIONARY NOTE

While the draft provides the initial per acre payments at \$10, \$7.50, or \$5 depending on the qualifying criteria, DOR may need to prorate these amounts even in the first year (TY10/FY11) since we do not have data to evaluate the estimated payments under this structure. This proration could be substantial. Prior to printing the tax forms in the fall of 2010 (for TY10/FY11), DOR must make its first determination of the per acre amounts (as allowed on page 23 lines 16-21) and will certainly be dependent on DATCP's assistance in this process. If data to make the determinations is limited, the per acre payments may incur substantial swings in the initial years as the error from one year must be corrected for in the next.

Paul

From: Steinmetz, Jana D - DOA
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 10:34 AM
To: Walker, William D - DATCP; Crane, Lili B - DOR; Ziegler, Paul D - DOR; Romanski, Randy - DATCP; Templeton, Carrie E - DOR
Cc: Miner, Andrew - DOA; Lillehun, Chad W - DOA; Grinde, Kirsten - DOA; Kraus, Jennifer - DOA
Subject: FW: LRB Draft: 09-0203/P6 Change farmland preservation program
Importance: High

Please review this draft ASAP and let me know if there are questions or concerns.

From: Henry, Patty [mailto:Patty.Henry@legis.wisconsin.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 10:19 AM
To: Miner, Andrew - DOA
Cc: Steinmetz, Jana D - DOA; Hanaman, Cathlene - LEGIS; Beadles, Kathleen - DOA
Subject: LRB Draft: 09-0203/P6 Change farmland preservation program

Following is the PDF version of draft 09-0203/P6.