

2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-AB288)

Received: 10/28/2009

Received By: **csundber**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Louis Molepske Jr (608) 267-9649**

By/Representing: **Lloyd Clark**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **csundber**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Occupational Reg. - prof lic**

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Rep.Molepske@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Keep one form of examination

Instructions:

See attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	csundber 10/28/2009	nmatzke 10/28/2009		_____			
/1			rschluet 10/28/2009	_____	lparisi 10/28/2009	lparisi 10/28/2009	

FE Sent For:

<END>

2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-AB288)

Received: 10/28/2009

Received By: **csundber**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Louis Molepske Jr (608) 267-9649**

By/Representing: **Lloyd Clark**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **csundber**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Occupational Reg. - prof lic**

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Rep.Molepske@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Keep one form of examination

Instructions:

See attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
--------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------	----------------	------------------	-----------------	-----------------

1/?	csundber	1/1 nwn 10/28		_____	_____		
-----	----------	------------------	---	-------	-------	--	--

FE Sent For:

<END>

Sundberg, Christopher

From: Karls-Ruplinger, Jessica
Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2009 10:15 AM
To: Sundberg, Christopher
Subject: RE: AB 288 (LRB-2352/2)

Chris,

The amendment is for Rep. Molepske's office (attn: Lloyd Clark).

Jessica

Jessica Karls-Ruplinger
Staff Attorney
Wisconsin Legislative Council
(608) 266-2230
Jessica.Karls@legis.wisconsin.gov

From: Sundberg, Christopher
Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2009 10:14 AM
To: Karls-Ruplinger, Jessica
Subject: FW: AB 288 (LRB-2352/2)

Let me know whose office to enter this for and I'll copy you when it goes out.

From: Clark, Lloyd
Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2009 10:10 AM
To: Karls-Ruplinger, Jessica; Sundberg, Christopher
Subject: RE: AB 288 (LRB-2352/2)

Hi Jessica and Chris,

That was when I was out sick for a week, I guess I missed it when I got back.

As the bill is on the floor tomorrow, can we get an amended version over to the Majority Leader's office today? I haven't run across this before, so I am unsure of the standard procedures.

Thanks for the help and the catch,

Lloyd

From: Karls-Ruplinger, Jessica
Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2009 9:59 AM
To: Clark, Lloyd
Subject: FW: AB 288 (LRB-2352/2)

Lloyd,

See the string of emails below. Let me know if you have any questions.

Jessica

Jessica Karls-Ruplinger
Staff Attorney
Wisconsin Legislative Council
(608) 266-2230
Jessica.Karls@legis.wisconsin.gov

From: Karls-Ruplinger, Jessica
Sent: Friday, October 09, 2009 11:41 AM
To: Clark, Lloyd
Subject: FW: AB 288 (LRB-2352/2)

Lloyd,

As I mentioned in my voicemail, there is a provision in AB 288 that caught my attention. The emails below describe the issue. Basically, it looks like there may have been an error in a provision that deletes some current statutory language. Give me a call when you have some time, if you want to discuss this further.

Jessica

Jessica Karls-Ruplinger
Staff Attorney
Wisconsin Legislative Council
(608) 266-2230
Jessica.Karls@legis.wisconsin.gov

From: Sundberg, Christopher
Sent: Friday, October 09, 2009 11:25 AM
To: Karls-Ruplinger, Jessica
Subject: RE: AB 288 (LRB-2352/2)

Good question. I've had a few questions on this issue before, and I had thought it was because the deletions made to s. 443.09 (5) eliminated all the language that might be inconsistent with the "one form" language in sub. (4). But even if there's no longer anything in sub. (5) that might be inconsistent with the "one form" language, it would only mean it's no longer necessary to say "Except as provided in sub. (5)"; it wouldn't mean the whole sentence should be deleted.

I checked the file and it looks like the sentence in question was stricken in red pen by the editor. If I remember right, the editor and I had discussed whether the deletion of the language in sub. (5) required some modification to sub. (4), but I guess we screwed it up. To get it right, the bill should be amended so section 7 of the bill strikes "Except as provided in sub. (5), only" and inserts "Only".

From: Karls-Ruplinger, Jessica
Sent: Friday, October 09, 2009 10:49 AM
To: Sundberg, Christopher
Subject: AB 288 (LRB-2352/2)

Chris,

I noticed in Assembly Bill 288 (LRB-2352/2), the following language on page 4, lines 3 to 4, of the bill would be removed from the statutes: "Except as provided in sub. (5), only one form of examination may be required for all applicants." This change was not proposed in last session's bill (2007 AB 69). Why was this change added?

Jessica

Jessica Karls-Ruplinger
Staff Attorney
Wisconsin Legislative Council
(608) 266-2230

Jessica.Karls@legis.wisconsin.gov

STATE OF WISCONSIN - LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB

Research (608-266-0341)

Library (608-266-7040)

Legal (608-266-3561)

LRB

10/28

Jay Hansen

Redraft LRBs 015 & 4:

1. Add nonrefundable \$ 300 permit fee.
2. Change 444.06 to allow DRL to ~~add~~ designate additional inspectors and charge club or promoter \$ 250.

