

2011 Jr1 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-AB11)

Received: 02/21/2011

Received By: **jkuesel**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Companion to LRB:

For: **Mark Radcliffe (608) 266-7461**

By/Representing: **Steve Boe**

May Contact:

Drafter: **jkuesel**

Subject: **State Govt - state bldg proj**

Addl. Drafters:

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Rep.Radcliffe@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Consolidation study for proposed new state buildings

Instructions:

Per attached E mail, 2/21/11.

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	jkuesel 02/21/2011	wjackson 02/21/2011		_____			
/1			phenry 02/22/2011	_____	sbasford 02/22/2011	sbasford 02/22/2011	

FE Sent For:

<END>

2011 Jr1 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-AB11)

Received: 02/21/2011

Received By: **jkuesel**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Companion to LRB:

For: **Mark Radcliffe (608) 266-7461**

By/Representing: **Steve Boe**

May Contact:

Drafter: **jkuesel**

Subject: **State Govt - state bldg proj**

Addl. Drafters:

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Rep.Radcliffe@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Consolidation study for proposed new state buildings

Instructions:

Per attached E mail, 2/21/11.

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
12/11	jkuesel 2/21/11	1/1 WLj 2/21	2/22 ph	X			

FE Sent For:

<END>

Kuesel, Jeffery

From: Champagne, Rick
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2011 1:32 PM
To: Hurley, Peggy; Kuesel, Jeffery
Subject: FW: More amendments for Rep. Radcliffe

Amendment Drafting. I've put in names of drafters. Thank you!

From: Boe, Steve
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2011 1:28 PM
To: Champagne, Rick
Subject: More amendments for Rep. Radcliffe

Rick,

Mark is looking for 4 more amendments.

- 1) He would like to make an amendment that would require inmates to pay for the medical co-pay. This amendment should be based off of a bill that was done last year. The LRB# was LRB2810/1 CMH:bjk:md PJH
- 2) Require all new state buildings to require a consolidation study prior to approval of the building commission. The study must show (JTK)
 - a. All buildings in a 30 mile radius
 - b. The available space within those structures
 - c. The age of the other structures
 - d. Available land space around for additions
- 3) to restrict cabinet members and their deputies pay to the same as legislators (RAC)
- 4) Restrict legislator per diem to no more than 50 per year. (RAC)

Please call me if you have any questions and if you could reply so that I know you received this email.

Thanks,

Boe

Steve Boe

Office of Rep. Mark Radcliffe

State Capitol, Room 321 - West

P.O. Box 8953

Madison, WI 53708-8953

608-266-7461 (office)

888-534-0092 (toll free)

steve.boe@legis.wisconsin.gov



State of Wisconsin
2011 - 2012 LEGISLATURE

January 2011 Special Session

Tue 2/21 8:30 AM



LRBb0361/ ✓ 1
JTK.....

Wlj

~~PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION~~
ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT,
TO ASSEMBLY BILL 11

1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

2 ✓ 1. Page 1, line 3: after "facilities," insert "studies concerning certain proposed
3 state facilities,".

4 2. Page 9, line 6: after that line insert:

5 *C*

"SECTION 4m³. 13.48 (10) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

6 13.48 (10) (a) No state board, agency, officer, department, commission or body
7 corporate may enter into a contract for the construction, reconstruction, remodeling
8 of or addition to any building, structure, or facility, in connection with any building
9 project which involves a cost in excess of \$150,000 without completion of final plans
10 and arrangement for supervision of construction and prior approval by the building
11 commission. The building commission shall not approve a project to construct a new
12 enclosed state facility before receiving a consolidation study from the department of

1 administration pertaining to the proposed construction. The building commission
2 may not approve a contract for the construction, reconstruction, renovation or
3 remodeling of or an addition to a state building as defined in s. 44.51 (2) unless it
4 determines that s. 44.57 has been complied with or does not apply. This section
5 applies to the department of transportation only in respect to buildings, structures
6 and facilities to be used for administrative or operating functions, including
7 buildings, land and equipment to be used for the motor vehicle emission inspection
8 and maintenance program under s. 110.20.”.

History: 1971 c. 125; 1973 c. 90; 1973 c. 243 s. 82; 1973 c. 335 s. 13; 1975 c. 39, 40, 198, 199; 1977 c. 26; 1977 c. 29 ss. 7, 8r, 1654 (8) (c); 1977 c. 325; 1977 c. 418 ss. 5, 5m, 924 (18) (c); 1979 c. 34, 221, 350; 1981 c. 341; 1983 a. 27 ss. 11 to 12n, 2202 (5); 1983 a. 36 ss. 18 to 20, 96 (3); 1983 a. 207; 1985 a. 29, 120; 1987 a. 27, 186, 395, 399; 1989 a. 31, 366; 1991 a. 39, 269, 315; 1993 a. 16, 288, 414; 1995 a. 27, 216, 225, 227; 1997 a. 5, 27, 35, 237; 1999 a. 9; 1999 a. 150 s. 672; 1999 a. 197; 2001 a. 16, 103; 2003 a. 33 ss. 25 to 26i, 9160; 2003 a. 91; 2005 a. 25, 253, 391; 2007 a. 20; 2009 a. 28, 185, 361; 2011 a. 7.

9 **3.** Page 23 line 2: after that line insert:

10 “SECTION 41m?. 16.85 (17) of the statutes is created to read:

11 16.85 (17) At the request of the building commission, ^{to} perform a consolidation
12 study with respect to any proposed new enclosed state facility examining the other
13 state facilities located within 30 miles of the site of the proposed facility that could
14 be used to meet the need for the proposed new facility, the suitable enclosed space
15 within those facilities, the age of the other facilities, and the land surrounding those
16 facilities that would be available for expansion of those facilities.”.

17 (END)