

**2011 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Assembly Substitute Amendment (ASA-AB7)**

Received: **05/07/2011**

Received By: **jkuesel**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Companion to LRB:

For: **Donna Seidel (608) 266-0654**

By/Representing: **Chris McKinny**

May Contact:

Drafter: **jkuesel**

Subject: **Elections - miscellaneous**

Addl. Drafters:

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Rep.Seidel@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

---

**Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

---

**Topic:**

Election management

---

**Instructions:**

Per attached #3.

---

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	jkuesel 05/09/2011	wjackson 05/09/2011		_____			
/1			rschluet 05/09/2011	_____	sbasford 05/09/2011	sbasford 05/09/2011	

FE Sent For:

<END>

**2011 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Assembly Substitute Amendment (ASA-AB7)**

Received: 05/07/2011

Received By: **jkuesel**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Companion to LRB:

For: **Donna Seidel (608) 266-0654**

By/Representing: **Chris McKinny**

May Contact:

Drafter: **jkuesel**

Subject: **Elections - miscellaneous**

Addl. Drafters:

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Rep.Seidel@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

---

**Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

---

**Topic:**

Election management

---

**Instructions:**

Per attached #3.

---

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
--------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------	----------------	------------------	-----------------	-----------------

12/1	jkuesel 5/9/11	1/1 Wlj 5/9					
------	-------------------	-------------	--	--	--	--	--

FE Sent For:

<END>

## Kuesel, Jeffery

---

**From:** Janssen, Andy  
**Sent:** Friday, May 06, 2011 11:25 AM  
**To:** McKinny, Chris; Kuesel, Jeffery  
**Cc:** Selkowe, Vicky; Gillis, George; Murray, Mike; Ullsvik2, Christian  
**Subject:** RE: A Couple of Additional Amendments

I like the idea of having standard and consistent software and processes in place.

---

**From:** McKinny, Chris  
**Sent:** Friday, May 06, 2011 11:23 AM  
**To:** Kuesel, Jeffery  
**Cc:** Selkowe, Vicky; Gillis, George; Murray, Mike; Ullsvik2, Christian; Janssen, Andy  
**Subject:** A Couple of Additional Amendments

Hi Jeff,

I have a couple of additional Voter ID amendments to have drafted. The first would just add to the sub I already requested on the MOVE provisions. Could you also add to that amendment that state elections would also have to be MOVE compliant?

The second would be to insert a trigger before funding would be allowed for Voter ID. I don't know if this is possible, but I was thinking that the trigger could be the deposits required under 2011 AJR 21 (Tranel), which requires that the state set money aside into the budget stabilization fund. This is a proposed constitutional amendment that recently passed the Assembly. Would that work?

Lastly, we were thinking about doing some sort of sub amendment on election management as opposed to Voter ID. More specifically, we are trying to highlight the issues that were uncovered in Waukesha County during the recent Supreme Court election. Could we do something like requiring universal software for election management? I am open to suggestions on this-we have really only thought about the larger issue, not the specifics.

I realize that these requests are a bit open ended so if you have any suggestions they would be greatly appreciated. Please let me know if you have any questions. Thanks again for all of your help Jeff.

Chris McKinny  
**Office of Rep. Donna Seidel**  
*Assistant Democratic Leader*  
608-266-0654 (office)  
1-888-534-0085 (toll free)



State of Wisconsin  
2011 - 2012 LEGISLATURE



LRBs0102/7

JTK.../.....

WLj

MON 5/9

~~PRELIMINARY DRAFT NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION~~  
ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT,  
TO 2011 ASSEMBLY BILL 7

Gen Cont

1

AN ACT ...; relating to: administration of elections.

*Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau*

This substitute amendment directs the Government Accountability Board (GAB) to analyze and review the process for administering elections on a continuing basis. The review must include identification of statutory violations as well as problems or inefficiencies that occur in administering specific elections and examination of potential solutions to the problems and opportunities to improve the administrative process without compromising the integrity of the process. Under the substitute amendment, GAB may adopt customized software and prescribe the use of that software by counties or municipalities or may require counties or municipalities to use specific noncustomized software identified by GAB for purposes specified by GAB if GAB determines that use of the software will enhance the elections administration process and will not compromise the integrity of elections. The substitute amendment also permits GAB to exempt categories of counties or municipalities from any such requirements under certain conditions.

No similar provisions exist currently.

*The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:*

1 SECTION 1. 5.05 (16)<sup>↓</sup> of the statutes is created to read:

2 5.05 (16) ELECTION ADMINISTRATION PROCESS. (a) The board shall analyze and  
3 review the process for administering elections on a continuing basis. The review  
4 shall include identification of statutory violations ~~and well as~~ <sup>and</sup> problems or  
5 inefficiencies that occur in administering specific elections and examination of  
6 potential solutions to the problems and opportunities <sup>to</sup> improve the administrative  
7 process without compromising the integrity of the process.

8 (b) The board may adopt customized software and prescribe use of that  
9 software by counties or municipalities or may require counties or municipalities to  
10 use specific noncustomized software identified by the board for purposes specified by  
11 the board if the board determines that use of the software will enhance the elections  
12 administrative process and will not compromise the integrity of that process.

13 (c) Prior to adopting or prescribing any software for use by counties or  
14 municipalities, the board shall refer its proposed action to the members of the  
15 election assistance commission standards board from this state for their review.  
16 After receiving the report of that review, the board shall hold at least one public  
17 hearing concerning its proposed action.

18 (d) The board may exempt categories of counties or municipalities from using  
19 any software prescribed under par. (b) <sup>↓</sup> if the board determines that universal usage  
20 would impose costs on those categories of counties or municipalities that would not  
21 justify the benefits to the elections administration process that would be realized  
22 from that usage.

23 (END)