

2011 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-AB64)

Received: **05/12/2011**

Received By: **agary**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Companion to LRB:

For: **Jerry Petrowski (608) 266-1182**

By/Representing: **Tim Fiocchi**

May Contact:

Drafter: **agary**

Subject: **Transportation - motor vehicles**
Transportation - traffic laws

Addl. Drafters:

Extra Copies: **EVM**

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Rep.Petrowski@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Carbon copy (CC:) to: **aaron.gary@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Combined load clarification

Instructions:

See attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?							
/1	agary 05/18/2011	jdyer 05/18/2011	rschluet 05/18/2011	_____	sbasford 05/18/2011	sbasford 05/18/2011	

FE Sent For:

<END>

2011 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-AB64)

Received: 05/12/2011

Received By: agary

Wanted: As time permits

Companion to LRB:

For: Jerry Petrowski (608) 266-1182

By/Representing: Tim Fiocchi

May Contact:

Drafter: agary

Subject: Transportation - motor vehicles
Transportation - traffic laws

Addl. Drafters:

Extra Copies: EVM

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email: Rep.Petrowski@legis.wisconsin.gov

Carbon copy (CC:) to: aaron.gary@legis.wisconsin.gov

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Combined load clarification

Instructions:

See attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	agary	15/17 JLD	SLK	_____	_____		

FE Sent For:

<END>

Gary, Aaron

From: Fiocchi, Tim
Sent: Wednesday, May 18, 2011 8:42 AM
To: Gary, Aaron
Subject: RE: 2011 AB 64

Hey Aaron,

I tried calling a couple times but missed you. The intent here is "to provide that trips would be eligible for the permit countenanced under the bill only in the event that at least one of the original loads to be combined under the permit would have required a permit if not combined." Basically I think DOT is worried about them starting to combine loads on these oversized trailers that could otherwise run on separate standard trailers without a permit. Let me know if that makes sense. I should be in the office most of the day today.

Thank you,

Tim

From: Gary, Aaron
Sent: Thursday, May 12, 2011 3:30 PM
To: Fiocchi, Tim
Subject: RE: 2011 AB 64

Hi Tim,

I have entered this amendment as LRBa1005.

Can somebody explain to me what this amendment is intended to do? It is not apparent to me what the effect of this amendment would be or what problem DOT believes this language would correct. The amended language of the bill allows the transportation (by permit) only of modular home sections (and each section must be a component of the same home). So it is not apparent to me how the suggested language for the amendment relates to the existing language in the bill.

Thanks for any guidance you can provide, or I'd be happy to talk to Steve.

Aaron

Aaron R. Gary
Attorney, Legislative Reference Bureau
608.261.6926 (voice)
608.264.6948 (fax)
aaron.gary@legis.state.wi.us

From: Fiocchi, Tim
Sent: Thursday, May 12, 2011 9:53 AM
To: Gary, Aaron
Subject: FW: 2011 AB 64

Hi Aaron,

When you have a chance, please draft a simple amendment as described below. We'll probably be having a hearing on this bill on the 24th.

Thanks,

Tim

From: Krieser, Steven - DOT [mailto:Steven.Krieser@dot.wi.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2011 3:16 PM
To: Fiocchi, Tim
Cc: Newson, Reggie - DOT
Subject: RE: 2011 AB 64

Thanks, Tim – I appreciate you getting back to me.

Now, I'm no bill drafter, but here's what I'd add:

CURRENT BILL LANGUAGE:

AB64, s. 1 SECTION 1. 348.25 (4) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:
AB64, 2.62 348.25 (4) (intro.) Except as provided under s. 348.26 (4), (5), (6), or (7) or 348.27
3(3m), (4m), (9), (9m), (9r), (9t), (10), (12), (13), or (15) permits shall be issued only for
4the transporting of a single article or vehicle which exceeds statutory size, weight or
5load limitations and which cannot reasonably be divided or reduced to comply with
6statutory size, weight or load limitations, except that:

AB64, s. 2 SECTION 2. 348.26 (4) of the statutes is amended to read:

AB64, 2.188 348.26 (4) MOBILE HOME, MANUFACTURED HOME, AND MODULAR HOME PERMITS.
9Single trip permits for the movement of oversize mobile homes, manufactured
10homes, or modular homes may be issued only by the department, regardless of the
11highways to be used. Every such permit shall designate the route to be used by the
12permittee. No permit may be issued under this subsection for operation of a vehicle
13combination exceeding 110 feet in overall length or for movement of a mobile home,
14manufactured home, or modular home exceeding 80 feet in length. A permit may be
15issued under this subsection for the movement of more than one modular home
16section on a carrier if each home section is a component of the same home as
17evidenced by serial numbers or other distinguishing marks and the overall
18dimensions of the load will not exceed the size limits specified in the permit.

ADDED BY ME

19 A permit may be issued under this subsection only if at least one portion of the load
20 under this permit would require a permit had it been transported on a separate carrier.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Steven Krieser
Legislative Liaison
Wisconsin Division of Motor Vehicles
Phone: 608-266-7857
Cell: 608-576-0573
Fax: 608-266-9996

From: Fiocchi, Tim [mailto:Tim.Fiocchi@legis.wisconsin.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2011 10:27 AM
To: Krieser, Steven - DOT
Subject: RE: 2011 AB 64

Hey Steve,

Sorry not to get back to you sooner. I had been working with Ross Kinzler on that bill and he'd been out of town. I'll try and set up another meeting on this soon to address some of the other concerns, but that amendment should be fine. If you have language you would like please send it over.

Thanks,

Tim

From: Krieser, Steven - DOT [<mailto:Steven.Krieser@dot.wi.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2011 9:51 AM

To: Fiocchi, Tim

Subject: 2011 AB 64

Hi Tim,

You may recall that, when we met a few weeks ago to discuss this legislation, we had respectfully requested that Rep. Petrowski consider an amendment to AB64 to provide that trips would be eligible for the permit countenanced under the bill only in the event that at least one of the original loads to be combined under the permit would have required a permit if not combined. At that time, the Representative suggested that he would have to check with the affected industry to ensure that this change would work for them.

I just wanted to follow up to see how the folks in that industry responded to that inquiry. Thanks, as always, for your help!

Steven Krieser

Legislative Liaison

Wisconsin Division of Motor Vehicles

Phone: 608-266-7857

Cell: 608-576-0573

Fax: 608-266-9996



State of Wisconsin
2011 - 2012 LEGISLATURE

TODAY



LRBa1005/1
ARG: ^:...

in
5/18

JLd

ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT,
TO 2011 ASSEMBLY BILL 64

1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

2 1. Page 2, line 18: after "permit." insert "A permit may be issued under this
3 subsection only if at least one portion of the load under this permit would require a
4 permit had it been transported on a separate carrier."

5 (END)