

11

12

occupant of real property.

State of Misconsin



September 2011 Special Session

SENATE SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1, TO SENATE BILL 22

1	AN ACT $\it to~amend$ 895.52 (7); and $\it to~create$ 895.529 of the statutes; $\it relating~to:$
2	the duty of care owed to trespassers.
	The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:
3	SECTION 1. 895.52 (7) of the statutes is amended to read:
4	895.52 (7) NO DUTY OR LIABILITY CREATED. Except as expressly provided in this
5	section, nothing in this section er , s. 101.11 , or s. 895.529 nor the common law
6	attractive nuisance doctrine creates any duty of care or ground of liability toward any
7	person who uses another's property for a recreational activity.
8	Section 2. 895.529 of the statutes is created to read:
9	895.529 Civil liability limitation; duty of care owed to trespassers. (1)
10	In this section:

(a) "Possessor of real property" means an owner, lessee, tenant, or other lawful

- (b) "Trespasser" means a natural person who enters or remains upon property in possession of another without express or implied consent.
- **(2)** Except as provided in sub. (3), a possessor of real property owes no duty of care to a trespasser.
- **(3)** A possessor of real property may be liable for injury or death to a trespasser under the following circumstances:
- (a) The possessor of real property willfully, wantonly, or recklessly caused the injury or death. This paragraph does not apply if the possessor used reasonable and necessary force for the purpose of self–defense or the defense of others under s. 939.48 or used reasonable and necessary force for the protection of property under s. 939.49.
 - (b) The person injured or killed was a child and all of the following apply:
- 1. The possessor of real property maintained, or allowed to exist, an artificial condition on the property that was inherently dangerous to children.
- 2. The possessor of real property knew or should have known that children trespassed on the property.
- 3. The possessor of real property knew or should have known that the artificial condition he or she maintained or allowed to exist was inherently dangerous to children and involved an unreasonable risk of serious bodily harm or death to children.
- 4. The injured or killed child, because of his or her youth or tender age, did not discover the condition or realize the risk involved in entering onto the property, or in playing in close proximity to the inherently dangerous artificial condition.

subsection.

5. The possessor of real property could have reasonably provided safeguards	
that would have obviated the inherent danger without interfering with the purpose	
for which the artificial condition was maintained or allowed to exist.	
(4) This section does not create or increase any liability on the part of a	
possessor of real property for circumstances not specified under this section and does	
not affect any immunity from or defenses to liability available to a possessor of real	
property under common law or another statute.	
SECTION 3. Initial applicability.	
(1) This act first applies to actions that are filed on the effective date of this	

(END)