

Fiscal Estimate - 2011 Session

Original
 Updated
 Corrected
 Supplemental

LRB Number 11-2930/1	Introduction Number AB-0374	
Description Creating a preference in state procurement for Wisconsin-based businesses		
Fiscal Effect		
State:		
<input type="checkbox"/> No State Fiscal Effect <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate		
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriations	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs	
Local:		
<input type="checkbox"/> No Local Government Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate		
1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenue <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenue <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	
5. Types of Local Government Units Affected <input type="checkbox"/> Towns <input type="checkbox"/> Village <input type="checkbox"/> Cities <input type="checkbox"/> Counties <input type="checkbox"/> Others <input type="checkbox"/> School Districts <input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts		
Fund Sources Affected		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEGS		
Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations		
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date
UWS/ Paige Rusch (608) 263-3307	Freda Harris (608) 262-2734	11/23/2011

Fiscal Estimate Narratives

UWS 11/23/2011

LRB Number	11-2930/1	Introduction Number	AB-0374	Estimate Type	Original
Description Creating a preference in state procurement for Wisconsin-based businesses					

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

AB 374 proposes that when awarding contracts the State of Wisconsin, including the UW System institutions, would award up to 5% in preference points to any firm that has 50% of their operations based in the State of Wisconsin. The bill also proposes to grant the preference to any firm that intends to have 50% of its operations in the state in the future year.

Issues

- It would be difficult to assess whether a bidder has 50% of their operations in the State of Wisconsin. Most likely this would be confirmed by having a firm certify they are located in the state.
- The term "operations" needs to be defined – for example would it require 50% of their employees, or 50% of their revenues generated in state to qualify for having "operations" in state?
- It would be very difficult to assess whether a firm intends to move 50% of their operations in the next year to the state. A firm could certify they are moving to Wisconsin and then due to circumstances not move, but they would have already been awarded a contract. We would recommend dropping this aspect of the bill.
- As an unintended consequence, this new in-state preference could potentially be used against Wisconsin based businesses competing for contracts in other states. For example, if SC Johnson was competing for a state contract in Illinois, the new Wisconsin state preference of 5% might be applied to a competing Illinois vendor in that bid process. Thus giving the Wisconsin 5% preference to the Illinois vendor, or a negative 5% to SC Johnson's bid. It is common practice for states to apply in-state preferences against firms from those states with in-state preferences. Under current Wisconsin law that is statute. So if an Alaskan firm was competing in a State of Wisconsin Bid against a Wisconsin firm and was the low bid, we would apply Alaskan preferences to the Wisconsin businesses.

Cost impact

This new language could result in Wisconsin based businesses losing contract awards in other states. So this could cost Wisconsin firms thousands if not millions of dollars. As noted above it is common practice for states to apply an in-state preference against firms from that state. So the 5% preference in this bill could be applied against Wisconsin based businesses competing for business in other states with this law.

The UW already conducts business with many Wisconsin firms, so the cost impact would be incremental. Potentially the cost for some goods and services could increase up to 5% under this statute. It is difficult to assess the dollar value since we do not track this information.

There will be an administrative cost for staff validating that a firm has 50% of their operations in the state. This administrative cost would likely increase in those cases involving firms intending to relocate to the state in the next year.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications