

## Fiscal Estimate - 2011 Session

Original                     
  Updated                     
  Corrected                     
  Supplemental

<b>LRB Number</b> <b>11-2466/1</b>	<b>Introduction Number</b> <b>AB-0475</b>	
<b>Description</b> Medical use of marijuana, the regulation of marijuana distribution organizations, requiring the exercise of rule-making authority, making appropriations, and providing a penalty		
<b>Fiscal Effect</b>		
<b>State:</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> No State Fiscal Effect <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate		
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriations	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs	
<b>Local:</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> No Local Government Costs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate		
1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenue <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenue <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	
5. Types of Local Government Units Affected <input type="checkbox"/> Towns <input type="checkbox"/> Village <input type="checkbox"/> Cities <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Counties <input type="checkbox"/> Others <input type="checkbox"/> School Districts <input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts		
<b>Fund Sources Affected</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEGS		
<b>Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations</b>		
<b>Agency/Prepared By</b>	<b>Authorized Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>
SPD/ Adam Plotkin (608) 264-8572	Adam Plotkin (608) 264-8572	1/23/2012

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives

SPD 1/23/2012

LRB Number	11-2466/1	Introduction Number	AB-0475	Estimate Type	Original
<b>Description</b> Medical use of marijuana, the regulation of marijuana distribution organizations, requiring the exercise of rule-making authority, making appropriations, and providing a penalty					

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The State Public Defender (SPD) is statutorily authorized and required to appoint attorneys to represent indigent defendants in criminal and certain commitment proceedings. The SPD plays a critical role in ensuring that the Wisconsin justice system complies with the right to counsel provided by both the state and federal constitutions. Any legislation has the potential to increase SPD costs if it creates a new criminal offense, expands the definition of an existing criminal offense, or increases the penalties for an existing offense.

The bill does not provide for a new criminal offense, expand the definition of a criminal offense, or increase criminal penalties. The bill would amend procedures in criminal cases in which the defendant is charged with possession of marijuana. Specifically, the bill would provide a defense to prosecution if the defendant can show that he or she possessed the marijuana for medical reasons (the bill would also provide for an administrative process to document the medical reason for using the substance). Therefore, the SPD does not anticipate any increase in its caseload as a result of this proposal.

It is possible that the law could result in prosecutors electing not to issue charges in some cases in which criminal charges would be issued under current law. However, it is also possible that the persons who would qualify for legal marijuana use are not persons who would otherwise use the substance, who would be likely to be apprehended for its possession, or who would meet the financial eligibility criteria for SPD representation. Therefore, the SPD cannot predict a decrease in charges for possession of marijuana as a result of this bill.

Counties are also subject to increased costs when a new crime is created. There are some defendants who, despite exceeding the SPD's statutory financial guidelines, are constitutionally eligible for appointment of counsel because it would be a substantial hardship for them to retain an attorney. The court is required to appoint counsel at county expense for these defendants. However, for the same reason that the SPD does not anticipate any impact on its caseload or expenditures, the counties are unlikely to see any increase in case appointments or costs.

### Long-Range Fiscal Implications